Attachment N2. On-site Assessment Tool

This information is being collected from State agencies, school food authorities, schools. This is a revision of a currently approved information collection. The Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (NSLA) 42 U.S.C. § 1758, as amended, authorizes the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). This information is required to administer and operate this program in accordance with the NSLA. Under the Privacy Act of 1974, any personally identifying information obtained will be kept private to the extent of the law. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-0006. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 47.5 hours of reporting burden per response. The burden consists of the time it takes for the State agency to conduct the off-site portion of the review which includes scheduling of the review and the completion of the Off-site Assessment, Resource Management Risk Indicator, and Site Selection Tools. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Services, Office of Policy Support, 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 1014, Alexandria, VA 22302, ATTN: PRA (0584-0006). Do not return the completed form to this address.

SECTION II: MEAL ACCESS AND REIMBURSEMENT SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY LEVEL

OFF-SITE ASSESSMENT TOOL VALIDATION

In the areas of Certification and Benefit Issuance, Verification, Meal Counting and Claiming, Civil Rights, Local School Wellness Policy, Smart Snacks, SBP and SFSP Outreach, and Special Provision Options address discrepancies between a SFA's responses to specific areas of the *Off-site Assessment Tool* and the SFA's current practices as observed on-site. Explain any technical assistance provided and/or corrective action required to address discrepancies.

CERTIFICATION AND BENEFIT ISSUANCE

Any Certification or Benefit Issuance Error is a Performance Standard (PS) 1 violation and must be corrected.

If the SFA is implementing CEP SFA wide skip questions 124-215 and proceed to question 314.

- 124. a. Determine if the SFA conducted an independent review of applications according to FNS requirements.
 - b. Determine if the SFA completed and submitted the FNS-874 (Local Educational Agency Second Review of Applications Report) according to FNS requirements.

If NO, explain in the Comments section.

125. If the SFA is an RCCI without day students, verify that the SFA has the correct eligibility documentation for each student. Record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1). Skip questions 126 – 215 and proceed to question 314.

If the RCCI has day students, proceed with standard certification review as described (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module).

If not an RCCI mark N/A and proceed to the next question.

126. Indicate whether all certifications or a statistically valid sample of certifications are reviewed. If using a statistically valid sample, indicate the confidence level, the universe, and the sample.

Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), review and evaluate eligibility determinations for completeness and accuracy. Indicate whether the application approval process is implemented correctly. Answer YES if all applications are approved correctly. If any errors are noted, answer NO and explain in the Comments section. Record the Count of Reviewed Students by category (even if doing a 100% review) and all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit

Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1) and/or the Other Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-2).

- 127. Determine whether the SFA is using the current year Income Eligibility Guidelines to process applications for free and reduced price meal benefits.
- 128. Determine whether the SFA is using the correct conversion factors when processing applications that indicate more than one income frequency. Correct conversion factors are: weekly times 52; bi-weekly times 26; twice per month 24; and monthly times 12.
- 129. Determine whether households were notified of the student's eligibility according to regulations. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 130. Determine whether denied households were notified of: reason for denial of benefits; right to appeal; instructions on how to appeal; and statement that household may reapply at any time during the school year.
- 131. Review a minimum of 10 denied applications. Determine whether benefits were denied correctly. Record students incorrectly denied benefits on the Other Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Errors Worksheet (SFA-2).
- 132. Determine whether the direct certification list utilized by the SFA contains all required information and is provided by the proper agency. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 133. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), review and evaluate the direct certification documentation for completeness and accuracy. Indicate if students directly certified for free meals were certified correctly. Answer YES if certified correctly. If any errors are noted, answer NO and explain in the Comments section. Record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1).
- 134. Determine whether the SFA performs direct certification matches with the State agency according to regulations. If NO, indicate how often matches are conducted by the SFA.
- 135. Indicate whether direct certification documentation is kept on file at the SFA. If NO, explain.
- a. Observe and evaluate, where possible, that the benefit issuance document(s) is safeguarded to ensure that there is no overt identification of free and reduced price meal eligible students during the meal service or any other time. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
 - b. Confirm through observation and dialogue with the SFA that free and reduced price eligible students are not required to work for their meals. If YES, explain in the Comments section.

- 137. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), test the system of benefit issuance e.g., tickets, rosters, tokens by comparing the benefit issuance documentation to the eligibility determinations. Answer YES if certified eligibility was transferred correctly. If any errors are noted, answer NO and explain in the Comments section. Record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1).
- 138. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), determine whether there were changes in eligibility as a result of verification, resubmitted applications, new students, transferred students, or withdrawn students during the review period. If changes were required and made within the required timeframes, answer YES. If changes were required and not made within the required timeframes, answer NO and explain in the Comments section. If there were no changes, select N/A. Record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1).
- 139. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), determine whether the SFA's manual system documents the changes in a student's eligibility/enrollment. If the SFA is utilizing an electronic system, indicate N/A. If NO, explain in the Comments section. Record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1).
- 140. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), determine whether the SFA made timely and accurate updates for direct certification as applicable. If NO, explain in the Comments section and record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1).
- 141. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), determine whether the SFA extends free meal benefits to all students living in households that have either: been directly certified for SNAP, FDPIR, or TANF; or submitted applications with case numbers for these programs. If NO, explain in the Comments section and record all discrepancies on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1). Select N/A if none of the selected students were certified for free meals through this method.
- 142. Based on the selected students (see Certification and Benefit Issuance Module), determine whether the SFA changed the eligibility category of households requesting a lower level of benefits and reflected this request on the Benefit Issuance document. If NO, explain in the Comments section. Select N/A if none of the selected student's households requested a lower level of benefits.

VERIFICATION

- 207. Count the number of verified applications on file for the most recently submitted FNS-742. Confirm that verified applications on file match the number reported on the most recently submitted FNS-742 (see Question 200 on Off-site Assessment Tool). Record any discrepancies in the Comments section.
- 208. a. Determine how the SFA conducted confirmation reviews and whether documentation is available demonstrating that they occurred.
 - b. If a confirmation review did not validate the initial determination, determine whether the SFA followed appropriate procedures.

If NO to a. or b., explain in the Comments section.

- 209. The Verification Review must be completed according to the following guidelines:
 - If the review is scheduled for a timeframe prior to the completion of verification and the submission of the verification collection report (FNS-742) for the current year:
 - Use the previous year's verified applications
 - Verified students selected in the Certification and Benefit Issuance review will not count toward the verification review requirements
 - If the review is scheduled for a timeframe that falls before the verification collection report (FNS-742) has been submitted to the State Agency for the current year, but after verification has been completed by the SFA:
 - Use current year verified applications. Verified students selected in the Certification and Benefit Issuance review can count toward the verification review requirements.
 - If the review is scheduled for a timeframe after the current school year verification collection has been submitted:
 - Use current year verified applications. Verified students selected in the Certification and Benefit Issuance review can count toward the verification review requirements.

Select 10% of the verified applications (but not fewer than 3, if available, and no more than 30 verified applications) to determine whether verification was completed correctly.

If a verified application was selected as part of the Certification and Benefit Issuance review, the application may contribute toward the 10% verification sample size. Record all verification errors on the Other Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Errors Worksheet (SFA-2) unless the student was selected as part of the Certification and Benefit Issuance review. Record verification errors found for students in the Certification and Benefit Issuance review on the Eligibility Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet (SFA-1). Any other student(s) appearing on the verified application selected as part of the Certification and Benefit Issuance review will be recorded on the SFA-2 and require corrective action ONLY. If a verified application is selected as part of the 10% verification sample and was not part of the Certification and

Benefit Issuance review, any discrepancies will be recorded on the SFA-2 and require corrective action ONLY.

- a. Determine whether the applications selected for verification were appropriate for the sample size option used.
- b. Determine whether the SFA replaced applications selected for verification, the replacement was selected based on the same criterion and the replacements were limited to 5% of the applications selected. If NO, explain in the Comment section.
- c. Evaluate whether the post verification determinations for the applications selected for review were correct.

If NO to a. or b., explain in the Comments section.

- 210. Identify whether the SFA directly verified selected applications. If YES, determine whether requirements were met. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 211. Determine whether the SFA's verification notification letter includes the required information. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 212. Determine whether the SFA met the follow-up requirements for households failing to respond to the verification request. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 213. Determine whether the SFA's notice of adverse action contained all the required information, including notification of appeal rights. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- a. Determine whether the SFA updated the eligibility status on the benefit issuance document(s) for students whose eligibility changed due to verification according to regulations. If NO, explain in the Comments section and record discrepancies according to the instructions under question 209.
 - b. If a student's eligibility status changed due to verification, determine whether benefits were increased no later than 3 calendar days or decreased no later than 10 calendar days from the final verification decision. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 215. a. Determine whether the SFA completed verification by November 15th. Answer for the current school year unless review occurs prior to November 15. In that instance, answer for the previous school year.
 - b. If not, indicate whether the SFA was approved by the State agency for an extension until December 15th. If NO, explain in the Comments section.

MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING

- Any Meal Counting and Claiming Error is a Performance Standard (PS) 1 violation and must be corrected.
- 314. Determine whether the SFA is following their approved SA-SFA Agreement/application, including POS.
- 315. If each school submits a Claim for Reimbursement directly to the State, answer no. If the SFA submits one Claim for Reimbursement for all schools, answer yes and complete question 316.
- 316. a. Record the SFA's claim and the SA's validated counts by category for all schools for the review period on the chart provided.
 - b. If there are no differences between the SFA's claim and the SA's validated counts for lunch and/or breakfast by category for all schools for the review period, answer YES. If there are differences between the SFA's claim and the SA's validated counts for lunch and/or breakfast by category for any schools for the review period, answer NO and explain the problem and determine whether the cause(s) are non-systemic or systemic.

SECTION IV: RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY LEVEL

RESOURCE MANAGMENT

SFAs receiving one or more risk indicators under the *Resource Management Risk Indicator Tool* will receive a Resource Management (RM) comprehensive review for each RM area receiving a risk indicator. See supplemental form, *Resource Management Comprehensive Review Form*. SAs are required to provide SFAs technical assistance and/or corrective action for all risk indicators assessed in the *Resource Management Risk Indicator Tool* and document any technical assistance/corrective action provided in the *Resource Management Comprehensive Review Form*.

New SFAs in their first year of operation should not receive a risk assessment. Instead, the SA must determine on-site if the new SFA has a sound financial accounting system and sufficient procedures in place to ensure compliance with resource management requirements. The SA must document the SFA's resource management processes and procedures and any technical assistance/corrective action provided in the *On-site Assessment Tool*.

SECTION V: GENERAL PROGRAM COMPLIANCE SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY LEVEL

CIVIL RIGHTS

Civil Rights requirements are outlined in FNS Instruction 113-1, *Civil Rights Compliance and Enforcement – Nutrition Programs and Activities*, dated November 8, 2005.

- 809. a. Determine whether a disproportionate number of the denied applications reviewed in question 131 were submitted by minority households.
 - b. If YES, determine whether these applications were denied for any reason other than the applications being incomplete or the household being ineligible based on income or other program requirements. If NO, explain in the Comments Section.
- 810. Determine if the appropriate Program materials use the non-discrimination statement.

Professional Standards

- 1210. Review employee list for the day of review and verify that the SFA's information matches the current (day of review) employee list. If personnel count does not match, list any employees that are no longer employed with the SFA in the comments. If any new personnel have been hired, see question 1220.
- 1211. If the SFA hired a new director on or after July 1, 2015, review hiring information. If a new director was not hired select NA and proceed to question 1216. If hiring requirements were not met, explain in the comments section and review any flexibility allowed for LEAs with less than 500 students.
- 1212. If a new director was hired, validate that he/she obtained food safety certification within the last 5 years. If no new director select NA and proceed to 1216.
- 1213-1215.

Validate the responses on the Off-site Assessment Tool. If school nutrition program staff have not met annual training requirements at the time, review documentation of scheduled/planned trainings for the remainder of the school year or next school year if the State agency allows the SFA the flexibility for training requirements to be met across two years. Determine if school nutrition program staff is expected to meet annual training requirements.

1216. Does the SFA training tracking method meet requirements?

a. Describe the frequency (i.e. annually, quarterly, monthly etc.) in which training hours are being tracked and which mechanism is being used. b. Does the tracking methods contain all of the required fields?

- 1217. The SA must determine if any employees hired between the completion of the Off-site and On-site Assessment Tools met annual training requirements. If there are non-compliant employees, list their names, titles/positions, and the number of training hours currently completed.
- 1218. Review employee lists and functions and assess whether any other employees outside of the school nutrition program whose responsibilities include duties related to the operation of the programs have not yet received applicable training.

FOOD SAFETY, STORAGE, AND BUY AMERICAN

- 1400. a. Review documentation to determine whether a food safety plan is in place and complies with the HACCP program criteria found in 7 CFR 210.13(c). Describe any missing elements in the Comments section. For additional information, refer to *Guidance for School Food Authorities: Developing a Food Safety Program Based on the Process Approach to HACCP. Principles,* United States Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, June 2005.
 - b. Determine whether a copy of the written food safety plan is available at each school. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1401. If conducted as part of the Administrative Review, determine whether the SFA has any contracted or self-operated warehouses and, if so, the SA must determine whether all foods (commercial and USDA) are being stored properly. If no, explain in the comments section.
- 1402. Determine if agricultural food commodities and products are domestic as required by the Buy American provision in 7 CFR 210.21(d). On-site reviews will be conducted as follows:
 - The number of agricultural food components to review will be determined based on the SFA storage facility practices used:
 - if the majority of agricultural food components used are located at individual schools only, the SA will review at each reviewed school 2-3 items in each agricultural food component category below;
 - if the majority of agricultural food components used are located at an SFA's off-site storage facility only, the SA will review at the SFA's off-site storage facility 2-3 items in each agricultural food component category below; or
 - if agricultural food components used are located at a combination of places both onsite at schools and at the SFA's off-site storage facilities, the SA will review 1-2 items in each agricultural food components category below for each school reviewed and 1-2 items in each agricultural food component category below at the SFA's offsite storage facilities.
 - Agriculture Food Component categories include:
 - Bakery, pasta, and miscellaneous (grain components delivered ready to serve; or wheat flour, cornneal, or other grain flours, used to prepare grain components, pasta, bread, etc.)
 - Canned fruit and fruit juice

- Canned vegetables and vegetable juice
- Frozen fruit and fruit juice
- o Frozen vegetables and vegetables juice
- Frozen meat/entrée items
- Refrigerated foods (produce; dairy such as cheese, yogurt, milk; and food components that may be thawing for future meal service, etc.)
- If any noncompliant food items are observed list in the comments section.

1403. Determine whether observations indicate any violations of the Buy American provision as found in 7 CFR 210.21(d) either during review of foods on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable. If there are violations, obtain documentation to determine if an exception was granted by the SFA because:

- The agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality; or
- Competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones.

Identify the noncompliant food in the comments section. Inform SA staff conducting the procurement review of this finding in order for them to identify if the Buy American provision is in the SFA's solicitation and contract documents. Note: documented USDA Foods are presumed to be domestic; however, processed end products that contain USDA Foods must meet the over 51% domestic requirement, by weight or volume.

REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

- 1500. Determine whether the SFA prepares and submits reports as required. If NO, explain in the Comments section. (See 7 CFR 210.20 for reporting and recordkeeping requirements and Module: Reporting and Recordkeeping.)
- 1501. Determine whether the SFA is retaining the appropriate records regarding program management for 3 years after the final Claim for Reimbursement for the fiscal year or until resolution of any audits. If NO, explain on in the Comments section (see Module: Reporting and Recordkeeping.

SECTION VII: SPECIAL PROVISION OPTIONS SCHOOL FOOD AUTHORITY LEVEL*

***NOTE:** <u>Some of these activities may be assessed at the site level. If some or any of these activities occur at the site level, record the activities below in the School Food Authority Level area.</u>

2113. Obtain the total meal counts and applicable claiming percentages and/or funding levels established during the Base Year and ensure that they were calculated correctly (i.e.,

appropriate rounding was used; SFA/site meal counting and claiming system results in reliable daily and monthly total meal counts and meal counts by category, consolidating meal counting and claiming data appropriately). The SA must ensure claiming percentages and/or funding levels established during the Base Year were calculated correctly for, at a minimum, the Review Period and Month of On-site Review. Record any errors for NSLP and SBP separately on the SFA-1A.

- 2114. Obtain the total meal counts and applicable claiming percentages and/or funding levels and ensure they equal what was established in the Base Year calculations or latest approval for Community Eligibility Provision. (If adjustments were made to the funding levels based on enrollment, number of operating days, and/or inflation, reviewer must ensure that the adjustment was calculated correctly as specified in 7 CFR 245.9 d (4). The SA must ensure claiming percentages and/or funding levels established during the Base Year are being applied correctly to, at a minimum, the Review Period and Month of On-Site Review. If errors are identified, every Non-Base Year SPO site must show documentation that the correct claiming percentages and/or funding levels are applied correctly for every closed claim period from the current SY. Record any errors for NSLP and SBP separately on the SFA-1A.
- 2115. The SA must validate the accuracy of Identified Student Percentage (ISP) and the claiming percentages calculated for free and paid meals (complete the SFA-2A). The SA will obtain the certification documentation used to determine the ISP and claiming percentages that were submitted for the latest approval to operate CEP. The certification documentation may include direct certification lists, documentation for extension of eligibility, and lists from homeless/migrant liaisons). The certification documentation review must include obtaining the list of all students used to derive the free claiming percentage and selecting 10 student names at random to verify that those students can be found on source documentation that certifies free eligibility. If more than 20% of the 10 randomly selected students cannot be properly verified on source documentation, the SFA must be required, as part of their corrective action plan, to provide source documentation for every student used to establish the free claiming percentage. Record any errors for NSLP and SBP separately on SFA-2A.
- 2116. The SA should verify whether the SFA has distributed Free and Reduced Price meal applications for sites operating Provision 2 or3 in Non-Base Years. If so, ascertain whether the SFA's distribution of the applications and use of the data obtained is appropriate. List the reasoning in the comments section. If the SFA has distributed meal applications in a Provision 2 or 3 Non-Base Year, they must use the information provided by families to develop claiming percentages (by conducting an entire new Base Year), or return to standard counting and claiming procedures. The only exception would be for a school taking new applications in the last year of a cycle in order to develop socioeconomic data of the school's population for purposes of requesting an extension.
- 2117. For Provision 1 sites only: The SA should review the meal counting and claiming system to ensure that meal counts are recording the number of meals served by type.

SECTION II: MEAL ACCESS AND REIMBURSEMENT SCHOOL LEVEL

MEAL COUNTING AND CLAIMING

Any Meal Counting and Claiming error is a Performance Standard (PS) 1 violation and must be corrected.

School Level – Day of Review

- 317. a. Observe and indicate whether the meal counting system as implemented prevents overt identification of students receiving free or reduced–price meals. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
 - b. Observe and indicate whether the school has separate dining areas, meal service times, or serving lines based on a student's eligibility status. If YES, explain in the Comments section.
 - c. Observe and indicate whether the school limits choice of reimbursable meals based on a student's eligibility status. If YES, explain in the Comments section.
 - d. Observe and indicate whether the school uses different mediums of exchange based on a student's eligibility status. If YES, explain in the Comments section.
- 318. Observe and indicate whether an accurate count of reimbursable meals by eligibility category is taken at the point of service or an approved alternate. FNS suggests that reviewers observe 5% to 25% of meals served on each reimbursable meal service line. To answer YES, the system must be based on an actual count of students served by category and must consistently yield correct results. A NO answer is needed when an accurate count of meals by category is not observed.

If NO, describe the problem and determine whether the problem is nonsystemic or systemic. If second meals or meals served to ineligibles were observed, list the total in the comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #321 and record in S-1, Line 10.

- 319. a. If an electronic point of service system is used, determine whether excessive overrides to the meal counting system occurred during the meal service.
 - b. If excessive overrides do occur, investigate and document the reasons for the excessive overrides.
 - c. Determine if the use of the excessive overrides is reasonable and supports the school having an accurate meal count. If the answer in c. is NO, describe the problem and determine whether the problem is nonsystemic or systemic.
- 320. Observe how the meal count totals by category are obtained from each point of service and combined for the total meal count by category for the school. Record the School's Combined Counts for the Day of Review, Reviewer's Counts for the Day of Review, and any differences on the S-1, Line 13. If there were differences between the school's combined counts and the reviewer's combined counts, answer NO and describe the problem and determine whether the causes are nonsystemic or systemic.

- a. Obtain a copy of the meal counts by category for each serving day of the review period and compare it to the day of review count. Record the school's meal counts by category for the day of review and for the lowest day and the highest day during the Review Period in the chart in the Comments section. This comparison should be made to the number of meals reported by the school, prior to any daily meal adjustments which may have been made by the SFA as a result of edit checks, etc. However, if a nonsystemic error occurred in the school's day of review count, the validated counts on the day of review may be used. Evaluate the counts and indicate whether unreasonable shifts occurred in the counts by category from the review month to the day of review.
 - b. If NO to a, obtain the school's explanation and record in the Comments section.
 - c. Determine whether the explanation describes an acceptable meal count system.
 - d. If NO, list the total number of second meals and meals served to ineligibles in the comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #318 and record on the S-1, Line 10.

School Level - Review Period

- 322. a. Determine whether the school claimed more free, reduced-price, or paid meals on any day of the review period than the number of students eligible for free or reduced-priced meals. Record by category the dates in the review period where the eligible counts were exceeded. This determination should be made using the number of meals reported by the school, prior to any daily meal adjustments that may have been made by the SFA as a result of edit checks, etc.
 - b. If YES, determine whether there was an acceptable explanation for each day the number of free, reduced-priced, or paid meals claimed was greater than the number of eligible by category. Indicate N/A if there were no days where the meal counts by category exceeded the number of students eligible by category.
 - c. If no acceptable explanation is available, list the number of ineligible meals by Program in the Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #323 and #324 and record on the S-1, Line 14.
- 323. a. Determine whether there were any days in the review month where the free lunch count exceeded the attendance adjusted free eligible count; or the reduced priced lunch count exceeded the attendance adjusted reduced eligible count; or the paid lunch count exceeded the attendance adjusted paid eligible count. To determine this, multiply the number of students eligible for free meals or reduced price meals by the attendance factor in S-1, Line 5. Round to the nearest whole number. Compare the number of free, reduced price, and paid lunches claimed each day of the review period to the number of attendance adjusted free, reduced price, and paid eligible students.
 - b. If YES, indicate whether there were 50% or more of the serving days in the review period when free lunches exceeded the number of attendance adjusted free eligible students; or reduced-price lunches exceeded the number of attendance adjusted reduced-price eligible students; or paid lunches exceeded the number of attendance adjusted adjusted paid eligible students.

- c. If YES, determine whether there was an acceptable explanation for each day the free lunch count was greater than the number of attendance adjusted free eligible students; or the reduced-price lunch count was greater than the number of attendance adjusted reduced-price eligible students; or the paid lunch count was greater than the number of attendance adjusted reduced-price eligible students.
- d. If NO, explain in the Comments section. List the number of ineligible lunches in the Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified by Program in #322 and #324 and record on the S-1, Line 14.
- 324. a. Examine the patterns of the reported counts of free, reduced, and paid meals for the review period and indicate whether the counts are questionable for the population of students participating in the observed meal program.
 - b. If YES, obtain and record the school's explanation.
 - c. If the explanation provided is acceptable, answer NO. If the explanation provided is not acceptable, answer YES and explain in the Comments section. List the number of ineligible meals by Program in the Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #322 and #323 and record on the S-1, Line 14.
- 325. a. Record the school's meal count by category for the review period, the SFA's count by category for the review period for the Claim for Reimbursement, and the State agency count on the S-1, Line 17. If the school submits one monthly claim period report, validate the entire month by summing the daily totals by category. If the school submits for timeframes other than a monthly claim period report, validate one of the school's report submissions, e.g., daily, weekly, or bi-weekly. Combine the validated count with the other reports for the review period and record the results in State agency count. If the validated count is different than the school reported count, investigate and determine whether the SFA correctly claimed meal counts for the school. If the validated count is different from the SFA claim for this school, record the difference.
 - b. Answer YES if the validated count matches the SFA's claim for this school. If the validated meal counts did not match the SFA's claimed counts, answer NO and describe the problem.
 - c. If NO, explain and determine whether the causes are nonsystemic or systemic. Record differences on the S-1, Line 17.

SECTION III: NUTRITIONAL QUALITY AND MEAL PATTERN SCHOOL LEVEL

MEAL COMPONENTS AND QUANTITIES

All meals missing required meal components are Performance Standard (PS) 2 violations and must be corrected.

When multiple meal pattern violations are identified for a meal, list all violations in the appropriate comments section. Record meals with multiple violations on the S-1 under only one meal violation category to ensure that fiscal action is applied only once. If multiple violations include meals served with missing meal components, record the meal under the missing meal component category. For all other multiple meal pattern error violations, record repeated vegetable sub-group or milk type violations before all other violations. For all other multiple meal pattern violations, use discretion and record the most egregious violation for fiscal action.

Day of Review

Record menu observed the day of review.

- 400. a. Prior to the beginning of meal services, identify whether all required meal components are available on every reimbursable meal service line. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. The school should be advised and given the opportunity to add any missing meal component(s) before the meal service begins. If the missing meal component(s) is added, answer YES but record the error and technical assistance that was provided in the Comments section. If the deficiency was not corrected prior to the beginning of meal service, list the number of meals served from the applicable meal service line that were missing required meal components in the comments section.
 - b. During the meal service, observe that all required meal components are available on every reimbursable meal service line throughout the entire meal service. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. If deficiencies are identified, list the number of meals served from the applicable meal service line missing required meal components in the comments section. Combine the total in the comments section with errors identified in #401 and record on the S-1, Line 11.
- 401. During the meal service, observe a significant number of program meals counted as reimbursable on every reimbursable meal service line. FNS suggests observing 5% to 25% of meals served on each reimbursable meal service line. Meals should be observed at the beginning, middle, and end of the meal service. During meal service observation, determine whether the meals selected by students as they exit the POS contain the meal components and food quantities required for the age/grade group being served. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. If deficiencies are identified, record the number of meals observed missing required meal components, which includes the requirement for at least ½ cup of fruits/vegetables under OVS, in the comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #400 and record on the S-1, Line 11.

402. Evaluate whether the portion sizes of meal components from the planned menu and served on the day of review meet the minimum meal pattern requirements for the age/grade group(s) being served. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA. Record only the number of incomplete meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 12. (Refer to 7 CFR 210.10 for more guidance.)

403. a. Determine whether at least two required milk varieties are available throughout the serving period on all meal service lines. If SFA/school is offering 1% flavored milk, check that approved waiver from State Agency is on file.

b. If substitutions are made, evaluate whether the substitutions were allowable per 7 CFR Part 210.10. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA.

Record only the number of incomplete meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 12.

- 404. a.-b. Determine whether what constitutes a reimbursable meal is identified near or at the beginning of the meal service line(s) for both breakfast and lunch. (See 7 CFR 210.10(a) (2))
 - c. Ensure that program operators do not promote or offer water or any other beverage as an alternative selection to fluid milk throughout the food service area.
- 405. If the school reviewed serves meals Family Style, the reviewer must evaluate whether the meal service is acceptable per FNS Instruction 783-9. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided.
- 406. If a school is serving multiple menus and/or age/grade groups, the reviewer must evaluate how the school has structured the meal service to meet the specific meal pattern requirements for each menu type and/or age/ grade group being served. List all errors identified and the technical assistance provided.
- 407. Obtain a copy of the planned menu for the day of review and compare it to what was actually offered on the meal service line. While substitutions are allowed, the reviewer must ensure that the daily meal pattern minimums for each meal service line, menu type, and age/grade group are still met. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Record only the number of incomplete meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 12.

408. If any finding cited for the day of review resulted in the turning off of the Performance-Based Reimbursement, answer YES and record on S-1, Line 18. Identify the issues in the comments section.

OFFER VS. SERVE

Day of Review

- 500. Evaluate whether the cashier demonstrates a clear understanding of OVS and its requirements. Meals missing a required meal component to include the ½ cup F/V requirement under OVS are recorded under Question 401. For any instances where meals were claimed for reimbursement that were incomplete due to required meal components being selected in insufficient portion sizes, not to include the requirement for at least ½ cup of fruits/vegetables, record only those meals claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 12. For instances where a student has selected a reimbursable meal, but is made to select an additional item(s), record the error(s) in the comments section and provide technical assistance to ensure Offer vs. Serve is properly implemented in the future.
- 501. Obtain sufficient information demonstrating that the cafeteria staff has been trained on Offer vs. Serve requirements for both NSLP and SBP. If NO, explain the technical assistance provided.
- 502. Determine if signage regarding what constitutes a reimbursable meal includes the requirement that students must select at least ½ cup fruit or vegetable. (See 7 CFR 210.10(a) (2))

MEAL COMPONENTS AND QUANTITIES

All meals missing required meal components are Performance Standard (PS) 2 violations and must be corrected.

When multiple meal pattern violations are identified for a meal, list all violations in the appropriate comments section. Record meals with multiple violations on the S-1 under only one meal violation category to ensure that fiscal action is applied only once. If multiple violations include meals served with missing meal components, record the meal under the missing meal component category. For all other multiple meal pattern error violations, record repeated vegetable sub-group or milk type violations before all other violations. For all other multiple meal pattern violations, use discretion and record the most egregious violation for fiscal action.

Review Period

409. Reviewer must examine production records for a week from the review period. The minimum number of school days in the week reviewed is three (3), and the maximum is seven (7). All must be consecutive days. Reviewer may examine any food crediting documentation, including but not limited to food labels, product formulation statements, CN labels, and bid documentation to ensure meal pattern compliance. Evaluate whether the meals served meet the appropriate daily and weekly meal pattern requirements for the age/grade group(s) being served. If critical issues are identified from the initial

documentation review, the reviewer must expand the scope of review to the entire review period. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA. Record the number of meals missing required meal components on the S-1, Line 15. Record only the number of incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 16.

- 410. Evaluate productions records to determine whether the portion sizes of meal components from the planned menu and served during the review period meet the minimum meal pattern requirements for the age/grade group(s) being served. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA. Record only the number of incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 16. (Refer to 7 CFR 210.10 for more guidance.)
- 411. Obtain a copy of the planned menu for the review period and compare it to a week (3-7 days) of production records from the review period. While substitutions are allowed, ensure that the daily meal pattern minimums for each meal service line, menu type, and age/grade group are still met. If NO, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA. Record the number of meals observed missing required meal components on the S-1, Line 15. Record only the number of incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, Line 16.
- 412. If any finding cited for the review period resulted in the turning off of the Performance-Based Reimbursement, answer YES and record on the S-1, Line 18. Identify the issues in the comments section.

DIETARY SPECIFICATIONS AND NUTRIENT ANALYSIS

- 603. Determine whether any applicable off-site Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis activities resulted in areas being identified that required technical assistance or corrective action prior to the beginning of the on-site portion of the review. If technical assistance or corrective action was necessary, explain in the comments section.
- 604. If Option 1 is selected to complete the targeted menu review, indicate the on-site validation risk determination (see Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis Module).
- 605. If a nutrient analysis was not conducted due to a validated low risk score from the *Dietary Specifications Assessment Tool, check the low risk box.* If a nutrient analysis was conducted by the State agency (due to a high risk score from the *Dietary Specifications Assessment Tool* or the State agency selected Option 3) or the SFA conducted a nutrient analysis that was validated by the State agency, and not all the Dietary Specifications were met for the established age/grade group and menu type, record which method was used and which Program was deficient in the comments section and answer NO.

If a nutrient analysis was conducted or validated, follow the Nutrient Analysis and Validation Checklist for properly completing this question. If the State agency elects to use a different method when conducting Nutrient Analysis activities required for answering this question, the method must address at a minimum the information contained within this checklist. Explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA. Record only the number of meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action on the S-1, 16A.

SECTION V: GENERAL PROGRAM COMPLIANCE SCHOOL LEVEL

CIVIL RIGHTS

Civil Rights requirements are outlined in FNS Instruction 113-1, *Civil Rights Compliance and Enforcement – Nutrition Programs and Activities*, dated November 8, 2005.

- 811. Verify whether the approved "And Justice for All" poster is posted in a prominent location and visible to all program participants.
- 812. Ensure that program meals were made available to all students without discrimination.

SFA ON-SITE MONITORING

- 901. Examine the documentation of the on-site review of the reviewed school to determine whether the SFA had monitored the reviewed school's counting and claiming procedures and the general areas of review that are readily observable by February 1. Please note that documentation may be kept on file at the SFA rather than site. If documentation is not available or the on-site review occurred after February 1 without SA approval, answer NO and explain in the Comments section.
- 902. a. Determine whether there were errors in the meal counting and claiming procedures for the reviewed school that required corrective action. If corrective action was required but did not occur, or corrective action was insufficient, answer NO and explain in the Comments section. Select N/A if corrective action from SFA On-site monitoring was not required.
 - b. If corrective action occurred but was insufficient to address the problem identified, answer NO and explain in the comments section.
 - c. Determine, regardless of corrective action sufficiency, whether an on-site follow-up review was conducted within 45 days to ensure that corrective action was implemented. If an on-site follow-up review did not occur within 45 days, answer NO and explain in the Comments section. Select N/A if a follow-up review was not required.

903. Review documented corrective action to determine whether deficiencies cited were successfully corrected. If NO, explain in the Comments section. Select N/A if corrective action resulting from SFA On-site Monitoring was not required.

SMART SNACKS IN SCHOOL

- 1104. Complete the table in the On-site tool where food and beverages are sold during the school day.
- 1105. a. Review documentation for 10% of the food and beverage items sold during the review month. Obtain the time when foods and beverages are sold to students during the school day (defined as 12 am on a day of instruction to 30 minutes after the end of the official school day). This includes a la carte foods and beverages sold during meal services.

b. If the school enrollment includes mixed grades, (e.g., K-12, K-8, 6-12, etc.) determine if the beverages meet the requirements for students in the youngest age/grade group.

- 1106. Review the food sales policies (off-site tool) to determine if schools hold fundraisers. If yes, determine if the schools observe the State-defined limit on fundraisers. For non-exempt fundraisers, determine if these meet the Smart Snack standards. (Note that fundraisers on weekends, off-site, or during non-school hours are also exempt.)
- 1107. Obtain copies of production records to determine if exempt leftover NSLP entrees are only sold the same day, or the day after, they are initially offered.

WATER

1300. Confirm that free potable water is available to all students for lunch (in each location where lunches are served during the meal service) and for breakfast (when breakfast is served in the cafeteria). The location of the potable water must be in the meal service area or immediately adjacent to the meal service area.

FOOD SAFETY, STORAGE, AND BUY AMERICAN

- 1404. Confirm that a copy of the written food safety plan is available.
- 1405. a. Examine documentation from food safety inspections. Record the dates of the inspections in the Comments section.
 - b. If the school has received two food safety inspections in the current school year, answer YES and move to question 1406. If less than two food safety inspections are available for the current school year, answer NO and move to c.
 - c. If the school received two food safety inspections in the previous school year, answer YES and move to question 1406. If the school did not receive two food safety inspections in the previous school year, answer NO and move to d.
 - d. Determine whether the SFA has documentation to indicate that the SFA requested two food safety inspections in the current school year from the applicable agency. If no documentation is available, explain in the Comments section.

- 1406. Determine whether the most recent food safety inspection report (see *Food Safety Module*) is posted in a publicly visible location. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1407. Determine whether observations on the day of review indicate compliance with the SFA's written food safety plan. If NO, explain. Proper HACCP principles include, but are not limited to: proper personal hygiene is evident (e.g., hairnets, gloved hands, appropriate hand washing); cross contamination is prevented; food temperatures are monitored; refrigerator and freezer temperatures are monitored; food preparation and service areas are clean; clean utensils and equipment are used for food preparation and meal service; and no obvious evidence of pests is present.
- 1408. Confirm that selected temperature logs were available for review. If YES, record the dates of the selected temperature logs in the Comments section. If NO, specify which document(s) were unavailable and explain in the Comments section.
- 1409. Determine whether observations on the day of the review indicate any on-site or off-site storage violations. If YES, explain in the Comments section. Proper storage practices include, but are not limited to: temperature is appropriate for the applicable equipment (e.g., freezer, refrigerator, milk cooler); food is stored 6 inches off the floor; the food storage facility is clean and neat; canned goods are free from bulges, leaks, and dents; chemicals are clearly labeled and stored away from food and food-related supplies; open bags of food are stored in containers with tight fitting lids; the FIFO (First In, First Out) method of inventory management is used; and no obvious evidence of pests is present.
- 1410. Determine if agricultural food commodities and products are domestic as required by the Buy American provision in 7 CFR 210.21(d). On-site reviews will be conducted as follows:
- The number of agricultural food components to be reviewed will be determined based on the SFA storage facility practices used:
 - If the majority of agricultural food components used are located at individual schools only, the SA will review at each reviewed school 2-3 items in each agricultural food component category below;
 - If the majority of agricultural food components used are located at an SFA's off-site storage facility only, the SA will review at the SFA's off-site storage facility 2-3 items in each agricultural food component category below; or
 - If agricultural food components used are located at a combination of places both on-site at schools and at the SFA's off-site storage facilities, the SA will review 1-2 items in each agricultural food components category below for each school reviewed and 1-2 items in each agricultural food component category below at the SFA's off-site storage facilities.
- Agricultural food component categories include:

- Bakery, pasta, and miscellaneous (grain components delivered ready to serve; or wheat flour, cornmeal, or other grain flours, used to prepare grain components, pasta, bread, etc.)
- Canned fruit and fruit juice
- o Canned vegetables and vegetable juice
- Frozen fruit and fruit juice
- Frozen vegetables and vegetables juice
- o Frozen meat/entrée items
- Refrigerated foods (produce; dairy such as cheese, yogurt, milk; and food components that may be thawing for future meal service, etc.)
- Noncompliant food items will be listed in the comments section.
- 1411. Observations will be made to determine any violations of the Buy American provision as found in 7 CFR 210.21(d) either during review of foods on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable. If there are non-domestic agricultural food components, documentation will be obtained to determine if any exceptions were granted by the SFA where:
 - The agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality; or
 - Competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones.
 - Noncompliant foods will be identified in the comments section and this information will be shared with the SA staff conducting the procurement review in order for them to identify if the Buy American provision is in solicitation and contract documents. Note that documented USDA foods are presumed to be domestic; however, processed end products that contain USDA Foods must meet the over 51% domestic requirement, by weight or volume.

For 1410 and 1411, corrective action may include:

- Requiring review of food deliveries for contractor compliance;
- Monitoring to ensure the correct domestic food components contracted for are delivered; and/or
- Prior to accepting foods, ensuring that an alternative domestic food component, or an exception to purchase non-domestic foods, has been approved for delivery.

REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING

1502. Determine whether the school is retaining the appropriate records regarding program management for 3 years after the final Claim for Reimbursement for the fiscal year or until resolution of any audits. If NO, describe the reason in the Comments section (see Module: Reporting and Recordkeeping).

SECTION VI: OTHER FEDERAL PROGRAM REVIEWS SCHOOL LEVEL

AFTERSCHOOL SNACK PROGRAM

1700. Identify areas that required technical assistance and/or corrective action from the Afterschool Snack Program Administrative Review Form.

FRESH FRUIT AND VEGETABLE PROGRAM

Review Period

- 1900. Determine whether the school is on track to spend no more than 10% of its total grant on administrative costs. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1901. Review the school's Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program cost documentation provided to support the selected month's Claim for Reimbursement. Compare the total cost claimed for reimbursement against the total cost established by the supporting documentation. If the cost documentation supports the Claim for Reimbursement, answer YES. If the cost documentation does not support the Claim for Reimbursement, answer NO. Record any unsupported Program costs in the Comments section. Combine amount with any applicable amount in 1902 and record on the S-1, Line 21. Additionally, review the cost documentation to ensure that all costs were allowable. If the cost documentation supports the claim for reimbursement or contained unallowable costs, the claim cannot be validated. Fiscal action may be necessary.
- 1902. Review the school's Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program cost documentation provided to support the selected month's Claim for Reimbursement. Determine whether the cost documentation provided is for allowable Program costs. If the cost documentation is for allowable Program costs, answer YES. If any cost documentation is for an unallowable Program costs, answer NO. Record any unallowable Program costs in the Comments section. Combine amount with any applicable amount in 1901 and record on the S-1, Line 21.

Day of Review

- 1903. Determine whether the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program is available to all enrolled children at no cost. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1904. Determine whether the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program is offered during the school day, but outside the meal service times of the NSLP and/or SBP. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1905. Determine whether the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program is widely publicized within the school. If NO, explain in the Comments section.

- 1906. Determine whether any of the following unallowable products were offered to children during the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program meal service: frozen, canned, and other types of processed fruits/vegetables; fruit/vegetable juice, nuts, cottage cheese, trail mix, fruit/vegetable pizza, smoothies, fruit strips, fruit drops, fruit leather, jellied fruit, or carbonated fruit. If YES, explain in the Comments section.
- 1907. a. Determine whether dip was offered with the day's Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program offering.
 - b. If YES, determine whether the dip was fat-free or low-fat, no greater than 2 Tablespoons, and provided for vegetables only. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1908. a. Determine whether a cooked vegetable is the day's Fresh Fruit and Vegetable offering.If YES, answer b and c.
 - b. Determine whether the cooked vegetable is included as part of a nutrition education lesson.
 - c. Determine whether today is the only offering of a cooked vegetable this week. If NO to b or c, explain in the Comments section.
- 1909. If adults are provided fresh fruits and vegetables during the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program meal service, determine whether the adults are teachers who are in the classroom with students during the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable meal service. If NO, explain in the Comments section.
- 1910. Determine whether the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program meal service followed HACCP principles and applicable sanitation and health standards, including the handling of any left overs. If NO, explain. See Food Safety module for HACCP principle compliance requirements.

SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM

2000. Identify areas that required technical assistance and/or corrective action from the Special Milk Program Administrative Review Form.

SFA Name_____

Off-Site Assessment Tool Validation		
For each question on the <i>Off-site Assessment Tool</i> , do the responses provided demonstrate compliance with FNS requirements and reflect current practices? If NO,	YES	NO
explain technical assistance and/or corrective action provided.		
Comments:		

Section II: Meal Access and Reimbursement				
	Module: Certification and Benefit Issuance			
124.	If the SFA is implementing CEP SFA wide skip questions 124-215 and proceed to question 314.	YES	NO	N/A
	a. Was the SFA required to conduct an independent review of applications in the previous or current school year according to FNS requirements?			
	If yes, please identify in the comments section who is responsible for the second review of applications.			
	If NO, explain in comments.			
	b. Did the SFA complete and submit the FNS-874 (Local Educational Agency Second Review of Applications Report) according to FNS requirements?			
	If NO, explain.			
Comm	ients:			
a. (Names and/or position titles): b.				
125.	For RCCIs with NO day students and no applications on file, does the SFA have the correct eligibility documentation available for residential students? Skip questions	YES	NO	N/A
	126 – 215 and proceed to question 314.			
	For all other RCCIs, proceed with the standard certification review. Record errors on the SFA-1.			
	If not an RCCI mark N/A and proceed to the next question.			
Comm	ients:			

_

126.	Certification and Benefit Issuance Review Method:	YES	NO
	100% of students determined to be eligible for free and reduced price benefits; or		
	Statistically Valid Sample of students determined to be eligible for free and reduced price benefits		
	Confidence Level: 95% 99%		
	Universe: Sample Size		
	Were all selected applications correctly approved?		
	If NO, explain. Record the Count of Reviewed Students by category and any errors on the SFA-1 and/or SFA-2.		
Comm	ients:		
127.	Are the correct income eligibility guidelines used to certify applications?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
Comm	ients:		
128.	Are the correct conversion factors used when processing applications that indicate more than one income frequency?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
Comm	ients:		
129.	Is household notification of eligibility consistent with the responses provided on the <i>Off-site Assessment Tool</i> ?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
Comm	ients:		

130.	Is household notification of denied benefits consistent with FNS requirements?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
Comm	ients:		
131.	Are any applications denied incorrectly?	YES	NO
	If YES, record student names on the SFA-2.		
Comm	ients:		
132.	Does the direct certification list utilized by the SFA: a) Contain the correct information for direct certification?	YES	NO
	a) Contain the correct information for direct certification?		
	b) Come from the proper agency (SNAP, TANF, FDPIR, foster, homeless,		
	runaway, migrant, Head Start)?		
	If NO, explain.		
Comm	ients:		
a.			
b.			
133.	Were all direct certifications (SNAP, TANF, FDPIR, foster, homeless, runaway, migrant, and/or Head Start) correctly certified?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
	Record errors on the Certification and Benefit Issuance Error Worksheet, SFA-1.		
Comm	ients:		L

SFA Name_____

134.	Does the SFA perform Direct Certification matches according to the required timeframes?	YES	NO
	If NO, how often are matches conducted?		
Comm	ents:		
135.	Are the Direct Certification lists retained on file by the SFA?	YES	NO
	lf NO, explain.		
Comm	ents:		
136.	a. Are free and reduced price benefits provided to students in a manner that	YES	NO
	assures confidentiality and prevents overt identification during meal service or at any other time in accordance with regulations and FNS Policy Memos.?		
	If NO, explain.		
	b. Are free and reduced price eligible students required to work for their meals?		
	If YES, explain.		
Comm	ents:		
a.			
b.			
137.	Did the SFA accurately transfer the correct benefit from the eligibility determination document to the Point of Service benefit issuance document?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
	Record errors on the SFA-1.		
Comm	ents:		

SFA Name_____

138. Did the SFA update the benefit issuance document(s) accurately and in a timely manner, including those students that are new, transferred, or withdrawn?	YES	NO	N/A
If NO, explain.			
Record errors on the SFA-1.			
Comments:			
139. For Manual Systems: If number sheets and coded rosters are used, did the	YES	NO	N/A
SFA/school document changes in student eligibility/enrollment throughout the month?			
If NO, explain.			
Record errors on the SFA-1.			
Comments:			
140. Did the SFA update benefit issuance documents accurately and in a timely manner	YES		NO
upon receipt of Direct Certification updates?			
If NO, explain.			
Record errors on the SFA-1.			
Comments:			
141. Does the SFA account for benefits that have been extended to students living in a	YES	NO	N/A
household that is receiving SNAP, TANF or FDPIR benefits?			
If NO, explain.			
Record errors on the SFA-1.			
Record errors on the SFA-1. Comments:			

SFA Name_____

142.	If any family declined or requested a lower level of benefits, were benefits changed on the Benefit Issuance document?	YES	NO	N/A
	If NO, explain.			
Comm	ients:			

	Module: Verification			
207.		YES	N	O N/A
	a. Did the SFA complete verification?			
	b. Do the verified applications on file match the number reported on the most recent FNS-742 as reviewed in Question 200 of the <i>Off-site Assessment Tool</i> ? Record any discrepancies in the Comments section.			
Comme	nts:			
a.				
b.				
208.	When a confirmation review was conducted:	YES	NO	N/A
	a. Is documentation demonstrating that a confirmation review took place on file at the SFA?			
	b. Did the SFA follow the required procedures if the confirmation review did not validate the original determination?			
	If NO to a or b, explain in comments.			
Comme	nts:			
a.				
b.				

SFA Name_____

209.	Based on the review of verified applications:	YES	NO	N/A
	a. Were the applications subject to verification properly selected in accordance with the sample size option used (e.g., error prone)?			
	b. If the SFA chose to replace applications selected for verification, was it done correctly and limited to 5% of the applications selected?			
	c. Were all applications selected for review verified correctly?			
	If NO to a, b, or c, explain.			
Comme	nts:			
a.				
b.				
с.				
210.		YES	NO	N/A
210.	a. Did the SFA attempt to directly verify selected applications?	YES	NO	N/A
210.	a. Did the SFA attempt to directly verify selected applications?	YES	NO	N/A
210.		YES	NO	N/A
210.	a. Did the SFA attempt to directly verify selected applications?b. If YES, were the requirements met?If NO to b, explain in comments.	YES	NO	N/A
	b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments.	YES	NO	N/A
Comme	b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments.	YES	NO	N/A
	b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments.	YES	NO	N/A
Comme	b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments.	YES	NO	N/A
Comme b.	b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments. nts:			
Comme	 b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments. nts: Does the SFA's verification notification letter include all required information? 	YES	NO	N/A
Comme b.	b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments. nts:			
Comme b.	 b. If YES, were the requirements met? If NO to b, explain in comments. nts: Does the SFA's verification notification letter include all required information? If NO, explain. 			

SFA Name_____

212.	Did the SFA meet the follow-up requirements if the household failed to respond to the request for verification?	YES	NO	N/A		
	If NO, explain.					
Comme	Comments:					
213.	If applicable, did the SFA's notice of adverse action contain all required information, including notification of appeal rights?	YES	NO	N/A		
	If NO, explain.					
Comme	nts:					
214.	If a student's eligibility changed due to verification:	YES	NO	N/A		
	a. Did the SFA make updates to the eligibility status to benefit issuance list?					
	b. Were benefits increased no later than 3 calendar days?					
	c. Were households for whom benefits were to be reduced or terminated given 10 calendar days' written advance notice of the change? to a, b, or c explain.					
Comments:						
a.						
b.						
215.		YES	NO	N/A		
	a. Did the SFA complete verification by November 15?					
	b. If not, did the SFA get SA approval for an extension until December 15?					
	If NO to a or b, explain.					
Comments:						
a.						

SFA Name_____

Date of Review_____

b.

Module: Meal Counting and Claiming											
314.	Is the SFA following their approved SFA-SA Agreement/application (including POS)?				ng	YES		NO			
	If NO, explain.										
Comment	s:									1	
										_	
315.	Does the SFA consolidate the Claim for Reimbursement?						YES	NO			
	If YES, complete question 316.										
Comments	s:										
316.	316. a. Complete the chart below for all schools for the review NSLP period.				SBP						
						YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	b. Were the counts for all schools for the review period correctly consolidated and claimed by the SFA?										
	If NO, explain and indicate whether the problem was non- systemic or systemic. If there are differences refer to the instructions to this question for further guidance.										
NSLP		SFA Claim	SA Count	Diff +/-	SBP	SFA C	laim	SA Co	ount	Diff +/-	
Free					Free						
Reduced					Reduced						
Paid Paid											
Comments: <u>N</u>				<u>NSLP</u> :	<u>SLP:</u> Non-systemic						
					Systemic						
								<u>SBP:</u>	•.		
									Non-	system	ic

SFA Name_____

Date of Review_____

Systemic

Section IV: Resource Management

TO BE COMPLETED ONLY FOR SFAS IN FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION

Module: Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account					
Does the new SFA have processes and procedures for ensuring compliance with	YES	NO			
FNS requirements concerning Maintenance of the Nonprofit School Food Service Account, including compliance with allowable costs? (7 CFR 210.14/2 CFR 200 Subpart E)					
Document any technical assistance provided/corrective action necessary					
Comments:					
Module: Paid Lunch Equity					
Does the new SFA have processes and procedures for ensuring compliance with FNS requirements concerning the Paid Lunch Equity? (7 CFR 210.14(e))	YES	NO			
Document any technical assistance provided/corrective action necessary					
Comments:					
Module: Revenue from Nonprogram Foods					
Does the new SFA have processes and procedures for ensuring compliance with FNS requirements concerning Revenue from Nonprogram Foods? (7 CFR 210.14(f))	YES	NO			
and FNS Policy Memo SP 20-2016.)					
Document any technical assistance provided/corrective action necessary					
Comments:					
Module: Indirect Costs					

SFA N	lame Date of Review	v	
	Does the new SFA have processes and procedures for ensuring compliance with FNS requirements concerning Indirect Costs? (2 CFR 225 and SP 41-2011)	YES	NO
	Document any technical assistance provided/corrective action necessary		
Comments:			

Section V: General Program Compliance

Module: Civil Rights						
809.	a. Are denied applications disproportionately submitted by minority households?	YES	NO			
	b. If YES, were they denied correctly?					
	If NO, explain.					
Comments:						
810.	Review, program materials, do appropriate Program materials use the non- discrimination statement?		NO			
Comme	nts:					

SFA Name_____

Module: Professional Standards									
1210.	Does the LEA's enr off-site assessmen more.	YES		NO					
1211.	Off-site matches the list any employees	Review an employee list for the day of the review and verify that the SFA's information from the Off-site matches the current (day of review) employee list. If personnel count does not match, list any employees that are no longer employed with the SFA in the comments. If any new personnel have been hired, see question 1220.							
	SFA Count Off- site Tool	SA Count Day of Review		SFA Count Of site Tool	SFA Count Off- site Tool of Review				
Directors			Managers						
Full-Time Nutrition Staff			Part-Time Nutrition Staff						
Personnel hired after January 1 of the School Year being reviewed, if applicable. Otherwise list NA.			Non-school nutrition staff that have responsibilities that include duties related to the program						
Comments:	<u> </u>								
1212.		5 were hiring requ	he SFA hired any ne irements met? If no question 1216.		YES	NO	NA		
	b) If yes, validate that the SFA has documentation to substantiate hiring requirements on file? If no, for LEAs with student enrollment less than 500 students did the SFA receive approval prior to hiring? For LEAs with more than 500 students explain in the comments section.								
Comments:									

SFA Name	SFA Level Questions ne Date of Review								
1213.	YES	NO	NA						
	Validate that a previous food safety certification was obtained in the last 5 years or that the newly hired School Nutrition Program Director completed food safety training at the time of the on-site review? If yes, list date of certification in the comments								
Comments:									
1214.	.214. Validate the SFA's response to Question 1204 on the Off-site Assessment Tool.			10					
	If the School Nutrition Program Director has not met the training requirements, review documentation of the scheduled/planned trainings for the remainder of the school year and determine if the School Nutrition Program Director is expected to meet annual training requirements.								
	List current completed training hours and expected/planned training hours in the comments.								
Comments:									
1215.	Validate the SFA's response to Question 1205 on the Off-site Assessment Tool, if applicable.	YES	N	10					
	If the School Nutrition Program Manager has not met the training requirement, review documentation of the scheduled/planned trainings for the remainder of the school year and determine if the School Nutrition Program Manager is expected to meet annual training requirements.								
	List current completed training hours and expected/planned training hours in the comments.								
Comments:		1							

SFA Name	Date of Review						
1216.	Validate the SFA's response to Question 1206 on the Off-site Assessment Tool, if applicable.		NO				
	List current completed training hours and expected/planned training hours in the comments.						
Comments:							
1217.	a. Please describe the frequency (i.e. annually, quarterly, monthly etc.) in are being tracked. i.e. entered into a tracking mechanism	which trai	ning hours				
	b. Does the SFA use the USDA Professional Standards Training Tracking Tool? If not, please describe in the comments section what is being used and if the SFA's process clearly documents the required fields listed in the Professional Standards Module.						
Comments:							
а.							
b.							
1218.	Are there any employees that were hired in the time between completion of the Off-site and On-site Assessment Tools? If yes, did they meet the training requirements? If no, explain in comments below. Please list non-compliant employee's name(s), title/position and training hours completed.	YES	NO				
Comments:		<u> </u>					
		YES	NO				
1219.	a. Are there additional employees outside of the School Nutrition Program whose responsibilities include duties related to the operation of the School Nutrition Program?						
	b. If yes, have these employees received the required applicable training?						

SFA Name_____

Date of Review_____

Comments:

	Module: Food Safety, Storage and Buy American							
1400.		YES	NO					
	 a. Does the written food safety plan contain the required elements? If NO, identify which elements are missing in comments section. 							
	b. Is a copy of the written food safety plan available at each school? If NO, explain in comments section.							
Comme	Comments:							

1401.	If conducted as part of the Administrative Review, are SFA contracted and self-		NO
	operated warehouses, storing all foods (commercial and USDA) properly? If no, explain.		
Comme	nts:		

SFA Name_____

1402.	The number of agricultural food components to review will be determined based on the SFA storage facility practices used.	YES	NO
	Does the SFA store a majority of the agricultural food components used at each school reviewed? If yes, skip the next section as the review of Buy American compliance will occur at the school-level review.		
	Does the SFA store a majority of agricultural food components used at an off-site storage facility? If yes, review 2-3 items in each food component listed in the instructions at each reviewed off- site storage facility.		
	Does the SFA store agricultural food components used at both individual schools and off- site storage facilities? If yes, review 1-2 items in each agricultural food component category listed in the instructions at each site reviewed.		
	In the comments section list any noncompliant food components that are observed.		
1403.		YES	NO
	 Did a review of agricultural food components indicate violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable? If yes, proceed to b, if no proceed to next section. 		
	 b. Is there documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA because: The agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality; or Competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones. 		
	 The exception was related to the domestic food as prohibitively costly 		
	or limited quantity availability.		
	(Inform the SA staff conducting the procurement review of any findings in this area in order to identify if the Buy American provision is in the SFA solicitation, contract, and/or bid specifications.)		

_

SFA Name_____

Date of Review_____

Comments:

Module: Reporting and Recordkeeping							
1500.	Are reports submitted to the State agency as required?	YES	NO				
	If NO, explain.						
Comme	nts:						
1501.	Are records retained for 3 years after the final Claim for Reimbursement for the fiscal year or until resolution of any audits?	YES	NO				
	If NO, explain.						
Comme	nts:						

Section VI: Other Federal Program Reviews

Module: Seamless Summer Option

Check box if SFA operates SSO

*If a review of SSO is required, complete Supplemental Seamless Summer Option Administrative Review Form.

Module: Afterschool Care Snack Program

Check box if SFA operates Afterschool Snack Care Program

* If a review of Afterschool Care Snack Program is required, complete Supplemental Afterschool Care Snack Program Administrative Review Form. School_____

Date of Review_____

Section VII: Special Provision Options – SFA Level*

SCHOOL NAME:

*Note: Some of these activities may be assessed at the site level. If some or any of these activities occur at the site level, record the activities here.

	Module: Special Provisions Options					
2113.	Were the claiming percentages and/or funding levels established during the Base Year for Provision 2 and 3 SFAs/sites calculated correctly?	YES	NO	N/A		
	If NO, explain.					
Commen	s:					
	Ι					
2114.	Are the claiming percentages and/or funding levels established during the Base Year for Special Provision Option SFAs/sites being applied correctly to Non-Base		NO	N/A		
	Year claims for reimbursement?					
	If NO, explain.					
Commen	I :s:	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
2115.	Does the SFA documentation support the Identified Student Percentages and claiming percentages at time of latest CEP approval?	YES	NO	N/A		
	If NO, explain.					
Commen						

School	Date of Review					
2116.	Are Free and Reduced-price Meal Applications being distributed in sites operating Provision 2/3 in Non-Base Years?			NO		
	If yes, is the SFA's distribution of applications and use of information acceptable as specified in the USDA Provision 2 Guidance: Free and Reduced Price Applications? Explain in the Comments section.					
Comment	s:					
2117.	For Provision 1 sites only: Are daily meal counts by type used as the basis for calculating claims for reimbursement?	YES	NO	N/A		
Comment	s:					

School_____

School Date of Review									
Section II: Meal Access and Reimbursement									
Module: Meal Counting and Claiming DAY OF REVIEW									
317.	17. NSLP SBP								
		YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A		
	a. Based on meal observation, does the meal counting system as implemented prevent overt identification of students receiving free and reduced price benefits?								
	If NO, explain.								
	b. Does the school have separate dining areas, meal service times, or serving lines based on a student's eligibility status?								
	c. Does the school limit choice of reimbursable meals based on a student's eligibility status?								
	d. Does the school use different mediums of exchange based on eligibility status?								
	If YES to b, c, or d, explain.								
Comn	nents:								
318.	At the selected school(s), does each type of meal service	NSLP			SBP				
	line as observed on the day of review provide an accurate count by eligibility category at the point of service (or approved alternate)?	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A		
	If NO, describe the problem and indicate whether the problem was non-systemic or systemic. If second meals or meals served to ineligibles were observed, list the total in the Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #321 and record in S-1, 10.								
Comments:				NSLP:					
			Non-systemic Systemic			2			
				<u>SBP:</u>					
				Non-systemic Systemic					
						iiiic			

School_____

319.		NSLP			SBP		
		YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	a. <u>Electronic Systems Only</u> : On the day of review, are excessive overrides used at the POS? If yes, for what purposes? Explain in comments.						
	 b. Is the use/purpose of the overrides reasonable? If NO to c, describe the problem and indicate whether the problem was non-systemic or systemic. 						
Comments:				NSLP: Non-systemic Systemic <u>SBP:</u> Non-systemic Systemic			
320.	Are meal count totals by category combined and recorded correctly?	NSLP			SBP		
	If NO, describe the problem and indicate whether the problem is systemic or non-systemic. Record any differences	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	on the S-1, 13.						
Comm	ents:			<u>NSLP:</u>	Syster	ystemic	

School_____

Date of Review_____

321.			NSLP			SBP		
			YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	a. Are total meal counts by category for the rev reasonable compared to meal counts for the da							
	b. If NO, obtain the school's explanation and re Comments section.	cord in the						
	c. Does the explanation describe an acceptable system?	meal count						
	d. If NO, explain and list total number of second meals served to ineligibles in the Comments sec Combine this total with errors identified in #318 in S-1, 10.	ction.						
NSLP -	Day of Review Meal Counts	SBP - Day of	Review	Meal C	ounts			
Free		Free						
Reduce	ed	Reduced						
Paid		Paid						
Total		Total						
NSLP F	eview Period Meal Counts Lowest to Highest	SBP - Review	Period	Meal C	ounts L	owest to	Highes	t
Free	to	Free	to					
Reduce	ed to	Reduced	to					
Paid	to	Paid	to					
Total	to	<u>Total</u>	to _					
Comm	ents:							

	Module: Meal Counting and Claiming REVIEW PERIOD										
322. a. Were there any days when the free, reduced price, or	NSLP			SBP							
	a. Were there any days when the free, reduced price, or paid meal counts exceeded the number of free, reduced price, or paid eligible students?	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A				
	 b. If YES to a, indicate which dates and determine whether there was an acceptable explanation available for each day. If NO to a, skip to question 323. 										

Administrative Review On-site Assessment Tool

School_			evel Questic		of Review		
c. If no acceptable explanation is available, explain and list the number of ineligible meals in the Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #323-324 and record in S-1, 14.							
	NSLI	p			SBP		
School F Count	School Free Eligible School Free Eligible Count						
School Reduced-Price Eligible Count		School Red Eligible Cou	-				
School P Count	Paid Eligible	Eligible School Paid Eligible Count					
Dates Exceeding Eligible Students Dates Exceeding Eligible Stude						ents	
Free			Free				
Reduced	t		Reduced				
Paid			Paid				
323.						YES	NO
		ays when the free, reduced ce adjusted eligible studen		id <u>lunch</u> counts	exceeded the		
t	b. If YES to a, was it	50% or more of the serving	g days?				
C	c. If YES to b, was th	ere an acceptable explana	tion?				
0		and list the number of ine with errors identified by Pro					

School_____

	NSLP							
Date	es Exceeding Attendance Adjusted Eligible Students							
Free:								
Reduc	ed:							
Paid:								
Comm	ents:							
324.			NSLP			SBP		
			YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	a. Were there patterns in the free, reduced, or paid meal counts which appear questionable?							
b. If YES, obtain the school's explanation and record in the Comments section.								
	c. After consideration of this explanation, do the patterns indicate questionable meal count practices?							
	If YES to c, explain and list the number of ineligible meals Program in Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #322 and #323 and record on the S-1, 14.	S to c, explain and list the number of ineligible meals by gram in Comments section. Combine this total with						
Comm	ents:							
325.	a. For the review period, complete S-1, 17.		NSLP			SBP		
	b. Were the counts by category correctly used in the Clain for Reimbursement?	n	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	c. If NO, explain and indicate whether the problem was non-systemic or systemic. List the number of ineligible meals by Program in the Comments section. Record differences on the S-1, 17.							
Comm	ents:				<u>NSLP:</u>	Non-s Syste	systemic mic	

School	Date of Review
	<u>SBP:</u> Non-systemic Systemic

Section III: Nutritional Quality and Meal Pattern										
Module: Meal Components and Quantities DAY OF REVIEW										
NSLP MENU:		SBP MENU:								
400.	Were all required meal components available reimbursable meal service line to all participation of the service line to		NSLP	[SBP				
			YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A		
	a. Prior to the beginning of meal service?	r to the beginning of meal service?								

School_____

	 b. During the meal service? If NO to a or b, explain all errors identified and the technical assistance provided in the Comments section. If the error was not corrected prior to the beginning of the meal service, or if the meal service line did not offer all required meal components throughout the meal service, list the number of meals served from the applicable meal service line missing the required meal components in the Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #401 and record on the S-1, 11. 						
Comments:							
401.	Did all meals observed and counted for reimbursement for the day of review contain all of the required meal	NSLP			SBP		
	components? If NO, explain any errors identified and the technical assistance provided in the Comments section.	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	Record the number of meals observed missing required meal components, which includes the requirement for at least ½ cup of fruits/vegetables under OVS, in the						
	Comments section. Combine this total with errors identified in #400 and record on the S-1, 11.						
Comments:							
Comments: 402.		NSLP			SBP		
	identified in #400 and record on the S-1, 11.	NSLP YES	ΝΟ	N/A	SBP YES	NO	N/A

	NSLP			SBP								
		Indicate Quantity Offered				Indicate Quantity Offered						
Meat/meat a	lternate		Grains/Breads									
Fruits			Fruits									
Vegetables			Milk									
Grains/Bread	ls											
Milk												
Comments:												
403.				NSLP		SBP						
				YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A			
	varieties througl lines? If SFA/sch	available in at least the two hout the serving period on a ool is offering 1% flavored m r from State Agency is on file	ll meal service hilk, check that									
	b. If milk substit	tutions are made, are they al	lowable?									
If NO to a or b, list all the errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA. Record only the number of incomplete meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, 12.												
Comments:												

School_____

404.		YES	NO				
	 a. Is there signage explaining what constitutes a reimbursab for all applicable grade groups? 	le breal	kfast t	o stude	ents		
	b. Is there signage explaining what constitutes a reimbursab all applicable grade groups?	le lunch	n to st	udents	for		
	c. Does signage promote water or any other beverage as an fluid milk throughout the food service area?	alterna	tive se	election	ו to		
	If NO to a, b, or YES to c, explain and list the technical assistation comments.	ance pro	ovideo	l in the			
Comments:							
a.							
b.							
С.							
	If the school has elected to serve meals using the Family Style Method, is the school implementing method as specified in FNS Instruction 783-9? If NO, list the issues identified and the technical assistance	NSLP			SBP		
		YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	provided.						
Comments:							
406.	If the school is serving multiple menus and/or age/grade groups, is the meal service structured to comply with the	NSLP			SBP		
	required age/grade group meal pattern requirements? Describe the structure in the Comments section.	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	List any errors identified and the technical assistance provided.						
Comments:							

School_____

	-							
407.	a. Has the school offered the planned menu for the day of review?	NSLP			SBP			
	b. If changes are being made to the planned menu, are the changes acceptable substitutions?	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A	
	If NO to a or b, list all errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Record only the number of incomplete meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on the S-1, 12.							
Comments:								
408.	Did any findings noted in Questions 400 - 407 result in the tu Performance- Based Reimbursement?	urning o	off of t	:he	YES	NO	N/A	
	If YES, record on the S-1, 18 and identify the issues in the Co	mment	s sect	ion.				
Comments:								

	Module: Offer versus Serve DAY OF REVIEW									
500.	Is Offer vs. Serve being implemented properly by the reviewed school?	NSLP			SBP					
	If the reviewed school does not utilize Offer vs, Serve check N/A and skip 501-502.	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A			
	If NO, list all errors identified and the technical assistance provided in the Comments section. Meals missing a required meal component, which includes the requirement for at least ½ cup of fruits/vegetables, are recorded under Question 401. Record only the number of incomplete meals due to insufficient quantities (<u>not</u> to include the requirement for at least ½ cup of fruits/vegetables) claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on S-1, 12.									

School_____

Date of Review_____

Comm	ents:									
501.		NS								
	Has the cafeteria staff been trained on Offer vs. Serve? If NO, explain and list the technical assistance provided.	YES	NO	NO YES		NO				
Comm	Comments:									
502.	Does signage explaining what constitutes a reimbursable meal to a requirement to select at least ½ cup fruit or vegetable?	students in	clude the		YES	NO				
If NO, explain and list the technical assistance provided.										
Comm	ents:									

	Module: Meal Components and Quantities										
REVIEW PERIOD											
documentation, did all revie period indicate that all of th	Review production records and other supporting documentation, did all reviewed meals during the review	NSLP)		SBP						
		YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A				
	If NO, explain any errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA.										
	Record the number of meals observed missing required meal components on the S-1, 15. Record only the number of incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on S-1, 16.										
Comme	nts:	•				•					

School_____

410.		NSLP			SBP		
	a. Do planned menu quantities meet meal pattern requirements for the review period?	YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/ A
	requirements for the review period:						
	b. If NO to a, do production records and/or other supporting documentation for the review period indicate that the required quantities of food were available?						
	c. If NO to a or b, list all the errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat violations for the SFA.						
	Record only the number of incomplete meals claimed for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action in the appropriate field on S-1, 16.						
Comme	ents:						
411.		NSLP			SBP		
		YES	NO	N/A	YES	NO	N/A
	a. Did the school comply with the planned menu for the review period?						
	b. If changes are being made to the planned menu, are						
	the changes acceptable substitutions?						

School_____

Date of Review_____

412.	Did any findings noted in Questions 409 - 411 result in the turning off of the Performance- Based Reimbursement?	YES	NO	N/A
	If YES, record on S-1, 18 and identify the issues in the Comments section.			
Comme	nts:			

	[] N/A - THIS SITE WAS NOT SELECTED FOR THE TARGETI	ED MENU	REVIEW								
Module: Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis											
This section is completed at only the site selected for targeted menu review for Review Period.											
Administ and Nutr	lete this Module of the Administrative Review, reference the followi rative Review Manual: <i>Meal Compliance Risk Assessment Tool, Dietan</i> <i>ient Analysis and Validation Checklist.)</i> PERIOD:	-			nt Too	I,					
[] ON-SI	TE PORTION OF DIETARY SPECIFICATIONS ASSESSMENT TOOL COM	PLETED									
603.	Were any areas identified during the off-site review requiring			SBP							
	technical assistance or corrective action prior to the beginning of the on-site portion of the review?	YES	NO	YES	NO	N/A					
	If so, explain.										
Commen	ts:										
604.	If Option 1 is selected to complete the targeted menu review (i.e. completed the off-site portion of the <i>Dietary Specifications</i>	Low-Ris	k Hig	h-Risk	N	/A					
Assessment Tool), what was the on-site validation of the risk determination?											
Commen	ts:										

School	C	Date of Review						
605.	Based on the Nutrient Analysis, did the school meet the Dietary Specifications (Calories, Saturated Fat, Sodium, and Trans Fat) for		IF LOW RISK, CHECK HERE [
	the appropriate age/grade group being served? If NO, list all the errors identified and the technical assistance provided. Indicate whether the violations identified were repeat	NSLP		SBP	SBP			
		YES	NO	YES	NO	N/A		
	violations for the SFA. Record only the number of meals counted for reimbursement that will be subject to fiscal action on the S-1, 16A.							
Comme	ints:	I	<u> </u>			1		

Section V: General Program Compliance

	Module: Civil Rights						
811.	Is the USDA "And Justice for All" poster displayed in a prominent location and visible to recipients of benefits?	YES	NO				
	If NO, describe reason.						
Commen	Comments:						
812.	Do meal service observations on the day of review indicate that program benefits were made available to all children without discrimination?	YES	NO				
	If NO, explain.						
Commen	Comments:						

	Module: SFA On-site Monitoring			
901.		YES	NO	N/A
	a. Was the on-site review of the lunch counting and claiming procedure completed prior to February 1?			

School_	Date of Review					
	b. If NO, was an extension requested and approved by the State agency?					
	c. Was the on-site review of the breakfast counting and claiming procedure completed prior to February 1?					
	d. If NO, was an extension requested and approved by the State agency?					
	e. If NO, explain in comments.					
Commen	ts:					
902.	a. Was corrective action of the meal counting and claiming procedure required?	YES	NO	N/A		
	b. If YES, was corrective action sufficient?					
	c. Was a follow-up review conducted within 45 days to ensure that the school implemented corrective action?					
	If NO to a, b, or c, explain.					
Commen	ts:					
a.						
b.						
C.						
903.	Does documentation indicate that corrective action was successful?	YES	NO	N/A		
	If NO, explain.					
Commen	ts:					
904.	Was corrective action in the general areas of review that are readily observable required?	YES	NO	N/A		
Commen	ts:					

School_____

			Mo	odule: Smar	t Snacks in Scho	ol		[]N/A	
1104.			Where are	e students at	ble to purchase	e foods during the school day?			
Check below if foods/beverages		Location	foods/be	If applicable, check below if foods/beverages sold to students meet Smart Snacks standards			Entity Responsik		
are sold to students		YES	NO	N/A	SFA	LEA	If LEA, contact name		
		Cafeteria							
		Vending machines							
		School store(s)							
		Snack/coffee bar							
		Concession Stand							
		Fundraisers							
		Other (please specify)(e.g., booster club, football coach)							
Comments	:								

School_____

1105.	a. Review 10% of the food and beverages sold during the review month. Do foods and beverages sold to students during the	YES	NO	N/A
	school day (defined as 12 am on a day of instruction to 30 minutes after the end of the official school day), including a la carte foods and beverages sold during meal services, meet Smart Snacks standards? (Includes food items sold during non- exempt fundraisers)			
	If NO, explain.			
	b. If mixed grades, do beverages meet requirements for the youngest age?			
	If NO, explain.			
Comme	nts:			
a. b.				
1106.	a. Does the school hold fundraisers during the school day where students may purchase food or beverages? If	YES	NO	N/A
	yes, indicate whether or not the items are Smart Snacks compliant.			
	b. If the items are not Smart Snacks compliant, did the school observe the State-defined limit on fundraisers?			
Comme	nts:			
1107.		YES	NO	N/A

School		Date of Review	N	
	Are exempt SBP and NSLP leftover entrees only sold the same day, or the day after, they are initially offered? If NO, explain.			
Comme	nts:			

	Module: Water									
1300. Is free potable water available to all students for			able water available to all students for							
	lunch (in each location where lunches are served during the meal service) and for breakfast (when	re lunches are served YES NO			YES NO		N/A			
	breakfast is served in the cafeteria)?									
Commen	ts:		I							

	Module: Food Safety, Storage and Buy American					
1404. Is a copy of the written food safety plan site specific and available at each school?						
Commen	ts:					
1405.		YES	NO	N/A		
	a. In the comments section, list the dates of the two (2) most recent food safety inspections					
	b. Are both inspections from the current school year? If YES, move to Question 1404. If NO, go to c.					
	c. Were two inspections received in the previous school year? If NO, go to d.					
	d. Does the SFA have documentation to indicate that the SFA requested two(2) inspections in the current school year from the applicable agency?					

School_	ool Date of Review				
	If NO to d, explain.				
Commen a.	ts: Date(s) of two (2) most recent safety inspections:				
 c. d.					
1406.	Is the most recent food safety inspection report posted in a publicly visible location of NO, explain.	on?	YES	NO	
Commen					
1407.	Was the SFA's written food safety plan implemented? If NO, explain.		YES	NO	
Commen	ts:				
1408.	Were the selected relevant temperature logs available for review? If YES, specify which date was selected.	_	YES	NO	
	If NO, explain.				
Commen	ts:				
Date(s) o	f selected temperature logs:				
1409.	Were on-site (or off-site, if observed) storage violations observed? If YES, explain		YES	NO	
Commen	ts:				

School_____

14010.	The number of agricultural food components to review will be determined based on the SFA storage facility practices used.	YES	NO
	a. Does the SFA store a majority of food products used at each school? If yes, review 2-3 items in each food category listed in the instructions at each school reviewed.		
	b. Does the SFA store agricultural food components used at both individual schools and off- site storage facilities? If yes, review 1-2 items in each agricultural food component category listed in the instructions at each site reviewed		
	In the comments section list any noncompliant food items that are observed.		
Commen	ts:		
1411.		YES	NO
	a. Did a review of agricultural food components indicate violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable? If yes, proceed to b, if no proceed to next question.		
	 b. Is there documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA because: The agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality; or Competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones. The exception was related to the domestic food as prohibitively costly or limited quantity availability. (Inform the SA staff conducting the procurement review of any findings to identify if the Buy American provision is in the SFA solicitation, contract, and/or bid specifications. 		
Commen	ts:		

School_____

Date of Review_____

	Module: Reporting and Recordkeeping		
1502.	Are records retained for 3 years after the final Claim for Reimbursement for the fiscal	YES	NO
	year or until resolution of any audits? If NO, describe reason.		
Commen	ts:		

Section VI: Other Federal Program Reviews

Module: Afterschool Snack Program *See Supplemental Afterschool Snack Program Administrative Review Form.				
1700.	Were any areas identified requiring technical assistance or corrective action? If YES, explain.	YES	NO	
Commen	its:			

	Module: Seamless Summer Option	[] N/A
*If a review of SSO is required, compl	lete Supplemental Seamless Summer Option Admini	istrative Review Form

	Module: Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program Review Period				
1900.	Is the school on track to spend no more than 10% of its total grant on administrative costs?	YES	NO		
Commen	lf NO, explain. ts:				

	Date of Review		
1901.	Did the cost documentation provided support the school's FFVP claim for reimbursement?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain. Record any unsupported Program costs in the Comments section. Combine amount with any applicable amount in 1902 and record on the S-1, 21. Unsupported costs and/or unallowable costs must be recorded on the Fiscal Action Workbook.		
Commen	ts:		
1902.	Is the cost documentation for allowable FFVP costs?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain. Record any unallowable Program costs in the Comments section. Combine amount with any applicable amount in 1901 and record on the S-1, 21. Unsupported costs and/or unallowable costs must be recorded on the Fiscal Action Workbook.		
Commen	ts:		
		[]N/A	
	Day of Review	_	
1903.	Is the FFVP available to all enrolled children at no cost?	YES	NO
	If NO, explain.		
Commen			
		YES	NO
Commen 1904.	ts: Is the FFVP offered during the school day, but outside the meal service times of the		NO
1904.	ts: Is the FFVP offered during the school day, but outside the meal service times of the NSLP and/or SBP? If NO, explain.		NO
	ts: Is the FFVP offered during the school day, but outside the meal service times of the NSLP and/or SBP? If NO, explain.		NO

School_____

1906.	Were any of the following unallowable products offered during the FFVP meal service: frozen, canned, and other types of processed fruits/vegetables; fruit/vegetable juice,	YES	NO
	nuts, cottage cheese, trail mix, fruit/vegetable pizza, smoothies, fruit strips, fruit drops, fruit leather, jellied fruit, or carbonated fruit? If YES, explain.		
Commer	ts:	1	
1907.	a. Did the school choose to offer dip with the day's offering?	YES	NO
	 b. If YES, was it fat-free or low-fat, no greater than 2 tablespoons, and provided for vegetables only? If NO, explain. 		
Commer	ts:		
1908.	a. Is a cooked vegetable offered today?	YES	NO
	If YES, answer b and c. b. Is it included as part of a nutrition education lesson?		
	c. Is this the only offering of a cooked vegetable this week?		
	If NO to b or c, explain.		
Commer	ts:		
1909.	Are teachers who are in the classroom with students during the FFVP meal service the only adults provided with fresh fruits and vegetables? If NO, explain.	YES	NO

_

Schoo	1			

Commen	ts:		
1910.	Did the FFVP meal service follow HACCP principles and applicable sanitation and health standards, including the handling of any left overs? If NO, explain.	YES	NO
Commen	ts:		

	Module: Special Milk Program *See Supplemental Special Milk Program Administrative Review Form.				
2000.	Were any areas identified requiring technical assistance or corrective action? If YES, explain.	YES	NO		
Commen	ts:				