

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
§250.198(h)(63)	(63) API Standard 53, Blowout Prevention Equipment and Systems for Drilling Wells, Fourth Edition, November,2012, incorporated by reference at §§ 250.730m 250.735, 250.737 and 240.739.	<p>In order to remain current with the standards developed and adopted by industry, industry recommends that the regulations incorporate API Standard 53 4th Edition with its Addendum 1, issued in July 2016.</p> <p>Industry is finalizing the 5th edition of API 53, once it is published, consideration for incorporation by reference should be taken to ensure the U.S. OCS is operating to the latest API standard for well control systems and is consistent with the remainder of operations around the world.</p>	<p>Revise 250.198(h)(63) to read: API Standard 53, Blowout Prevention Equipment and Systems for Drilling Wells, Fourth Edition, November,2012, with Addendum 1, July 2016, incorporated by reference at §§ 250.730m 250.735, 250.737 and 240.739.</p>
§250.198(h)(78)	(78) API Standard 65—Part 2, Isolating Potential Flow Zones During Well Construction; Second Edition, December 2010; incorporated by reference at §§ 250.415(f) and 250.420(a)(6);	Industry supports the proposed change which will clarify that the centralization requirements will be governed by API Standard 65-2, reducing the	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		possibility of inconsistent application across BSEE.	
§250.198 (h)(94)	(94) API Recommended Practice 17H, Remotely Operated Tool and Interfaces on Subsea Production Systems, Second Edition, June 2013, Errata January 2014, incorporated by reference at § 250.734(a)(4);	Industry supports the incorporation by reference of the updated edition of this standard for the reasons given in the preamble of the proposed rule.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.413(g)	(g) A single plot containing curves for estimated pore pressures, formation fracture gradients, proposed drilling fluid weights (surface and downhole), planned safe drilling margin, and casing setting depths in true vertical measurements;	In accordance with long standing practices between BSEE and Industry, Industry has reviewed and concurs with providing additional details as requested by BSEE. This continues to follow industry practice of providing additional data at the request of BSEE.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.414(c)	(c) Planned safe drilling margin that is between the estimated pore pressure and the lesser of estimated fracture gradients or casing shoe pressure integrity test and that is based on a risk assessment consistent with expected well conditions and operations. (1) Your safe drilling margin must also include use of equivalent downhole mud weight that is: (i) greater than the estimated pore pressure, and	The 0.5 ppg value is arbitrary and does not ensure safety. Maintaining the equivalent downhole mud weight above pore pressure manages the potential for influx while managing equivalent circulating	(c) Your Conceptual Deepwater Operations Plan or APD must include a planned safe drilling margin that is between the estimated pore pressure and the lesser of estimated fracture gradients or casing shoe pressure integrity test

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>(ii) except as provided in paragraph (c) (2) of this section, a minimum of 0.5 pound per gallon below the lower of the casing shoe pressure integrity test or the lowest estimated fracture gradient.</p> <p>(2) In lieu of meeting the criteria in paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, you may use an equivalent downhole mud weight as specified in your APD, provided that you submit adequate documentation (such as risk modeling data, off-set well data, analog data, seismic data) to justify the alternative equivalent downhole mud weight.</p> <p>(3) When determining the pore pressure and lowest estimated fracture gradient for a specific interval, you must consider related off-set and analogous well behavior observations, if available.</p>	<p>density below fracture gradient (or casing shoe pressure integrity test) manages lost circulation. The regulation should focus on establishing downhole mud weight within this operational window. Further, retaining the arbitrary 0.5 ppg margin hinders promotion of enhanced technology related to drilling fluids and engineering in well design. By prohibiting this evolution, the regulation could preclude future wells from being drilled safer. The implementation of these technologies will be necessary to enable development of future offshore resources.</p> <p>Industry would like to propose an engineered, performance-based approach standard and suggest replacing current</p>	<p>and based on a risk assessment consistent with expected well conditions and operations.</p> <p>(1) Your safe drilling margin must provide for:</p> <p>(i) equivalent downhole mud weight that is greater than the estimated pore pressure, and</p> <p>(ii) equivalent circulating density, supported with hydraulic modeling or other documentation (such as risk modeling data, related analog well data, seismic data), that is actively managed below the lower of the casing shoe pressure integrity test or the lowest estimated fracture gradient.</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>text to the rule with recommended industry text. In the view of industry, the proposed text was developed to address the concerns and issues that BSEE raised within the preamble text. It is believed that the comments in this letter demonstrate the improved safety and clarity, to industry and the regulator, due to this proposed change.</p> <p>In an effort to build confidence for field development, industry proposes that BSEE apply this proposed text and include CDWOP and APD into the text, in an effort to provide opportunity for early alignment with BSEE for major capital investments going forward.</p> <p>Industry believes that the proposed text changes</p>	

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		supports current practices and District Manager approval requirement is retained for all cases.	
§250.420(a)(6)	(6) Provide adequate centralization consistent with the guidelines of API Standard 65 –Part 2 (as incorporated by reference in § 250.198); and	Industry supports the proposed change which will clarify that the centralization requirements will be governed by API Standard 65-2, reducing the possibility of inconsistent application across BSEE.	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text																		
§§250.421(c), (d), (e) and (f)	<p>What are the casing and cementing requirements by type of casing string? * * * * *</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="464 444 1119 1232"> <thead> <tr> <th>Casing type</th> <th>Casing requirements</th> <th>Cementing requirements</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">*****</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Surface</td> <td>Design casing and select setting depths based on relevant engineering and geologic factors. These factors include the presence or absence of hydrocarbons, potential hazards, and water depths</td> <td>Use enough cement to fill the calculated annular space to at least 200 feet measured depth (MD) inside the conductor casing. When geologic conditions such as near-surface fractures and faulting exist, you must use enough cement to fill the calculated annular space to the mudline.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Intermediate</td> <td>Design casing and select setting depth based on anticipated or encountered geologic characteristics or wellbore conditions</td> <td>Use enough cement to cover and isolate all hydrocarbon-bearing zones and isolate abnormal pressure intervals from normal pressure intervals in the well. As a minimum, you must cement the annular space 500 feet MD above the casing shoe and 500 feet MD above each zone to be isolated.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Production</td> <td>Design casing and select setting depth based on anticipated or encountered geologic characteristics or wellbore conditions</td> <td>Use enough cement to cover or isolate all hydrocarbon-bearing zones above the shoe. As a minimum, you must cement the annular space at least 500 feet MD above the casing shoe and 500 feet MD above the uppermost hydrocarbon-bearing zone.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Liners</td> <td>If you use a liner as surface casing, you must set the top of the liner at least 200 feet MD above the previous casing/liner shoe. If you use a liner as an intermediate string below a surface string or production casing below an intermediate string, you must set the top of the liner at least 100 feet MD above the previous casing shoe. You may not use a liner as conductor casing. A subsea well casing string whose top is above the mudline and that has been cemented back to the mudline will not be considered a liner.</td> <td>Same as cementing requirements for specific casing types. For example, a liner used as intermediate casing must be cemented according to the cementing requirements for intermediate casing.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Casing type	Casing requirements	Cementing requirements	*****			(c) Surface	Design casing and select setting depths based on relevant engineering and geologic factors. These factors include the presence or absence of hydrocarbons, potential hazards, and water depths	Use enough cement to fill the calculated annular space to at least 200 feet measured depth (MD) inside the conductor casing. When geologic conditions such as near-surface fractures and faulting exist, you must use enough cement to fill the calculated annular space to the mudline.	(d) Intermediate	Design casing and select setting depth based on anticipated or encountered geologic characteristics or wellbore conditions	Use enough cement to cover and isolate all hydrocarbon-bearing zones and isolate abnormal pressure intervals from normal pressure intervals in the well. As a minimum, you must cement the annular space 500 feet MD above the casing shoe and 500 feet MD above each zone to be isolated.	(e) Production	Design casing and select setting depth based on anticipated or encountered geologic characteristics or wellbore conditions	Use enough cement to cover or isolate all hydrocarbon-bearing zones above the shoe. As a minimum, you must cement the annular space at least 500 feet MD above the casing shoe and 500 feet MD above the uppermost hydrocarbon-bearing zone.	(f) Liners	If you use a liner as surface casing, you must set the top of the liner at least 200 feet MD above the previous casing/liner shoe. If you use a liner as an intermediate string below a surface string or production casing below an intermediate string, you must set the top of the liner at least 100 feet MD above the previous casing shoe. You may not use a liner as conductor casing. A subsea well casing string whose top is above the mudline and that has been cemented back to the mudline will not be considered a liner.	Same as cementing requirements for specific casing types. For example, a liner used as intermediate casing must be cemented according to the cementing requirements for intermediate casing.	Industry agrees with proposed changes to paragraphs (c), (d), (e), and (f) for the reasons described in the preamble.	None. The proposed change is supported.
Casing type	Casing requirements	Cementing requirements																			

(c) Surface	Design casing and select setting depths based on relevant engineering and geologic factors. These factors include the presence or absence of hydrocarbons, potential hazards, and water depths	Use enough cement to fill the calculated annular space to at least 200 feet measured depth (MD) inside the conductor casing. When geologic conditions such as near-surface fractures and faulting exist, you must use enough cement to fill the calculated annular space to the mudline.																			
(d) Intermediate	Design casing and select setting depth based on anticipated or encountered geologic characteristics or wellbore conditions	Use enough cement to cover and isolate all hydrocarbon-bearing zones and isolate abnormal pressure intervals from normal pressure intervals in the well. As a minimum, you must cement the annular space 500 feet MD above the casing shoe and 500 feet MD above each zone to be isolated.																			
(e) Production	Design casing and select setting depth based on anticipated or encountered geologic characteristics or wellbore conditions	Use enough cement to cover or isolate all hydrocarbon-bearing zones above the shoe. As a minimum, you must cement the annular space at least 500 feet MD above the casing shoe and 500 feet MD above the uppermost hydrocarbon-bearing zone.																			
(f) Liners	If you use a liner as surface casing, you must set the top of the liner at least 200 feet MD above the previous casing/liner shoe. If you use a liner as an intermediate string below a surface string or production casing below an intermediate string, you must set the top of the liner at least 100 feet MD above the previous casing shoe. You may not use a liner as conductor casing. A subsea well casing string whose top is above the mudline and that has been cemented back to the mudline will not be considered a liner.	Same as cementing requirements for specific casing types. For example, a liner used as intermediate casing must be cemented according to the cementing requirements for intermediate casing.																			
§250.423(a)	(a) You must ensure that the latching mechanisms or lock down mechanisms are engaged upon successfully installing the casing string. If there is an indication of an inadequate cement job, you must comply with §250.428(c).	Industry agrees with proposed change but believe that the second sentence "If there is any	(a) You must ensure that the latching mechanisms or lock down mechanisms are engaged upon successfully																		

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>indication of an inadequate cement job, you must comply with § 250.428(c)." should be removed. There is no longer a reference to cementing outside of this sentence. The proposed text concerns latching/lock down mechanisms engaging properly. This statement is redundant with the requirements in §250.428, and its removal here would not change the requirement there regarding indications of inadequate cement jobs.</p>	<p>installing the casing string. If there is an indication of an inadequate cement job, you must comply with § 250.428(c).</p>
§250.423(b)	<p>(b) If you run a liner that has a latching mechanism or lock down mechanism, you must ensure that the latching mechanisms or lock down mechanisms are engaged upon successfully installing the liner. If there is an indication of an inadequate cement job, you must comply with §250.428(c).</p>	<p>Industry agrees with proposed change but believe that the second sentence "If there is any indication of an inadequate cement job, you must comply with §250.428(c)." should be removed. There is no longer a reference to cementing outside of this sentence. The proposed text concerns latching/lock</p>	<p>(b) If you run a liner that has a latching mechanism or lock down mechanism, you must ensure that the latching mechanisms or lock down mechanisms are engaged upon successfully installing the liner.</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>down mechanisms engaging properly. This statement is redundant with the requirements in §250.428, and its removal here would not change the requirement there regarding indications of inadequate cement jobs.</p>	
<p>§250.427(b)</p>	<p>(b) While drilling, you must maintain the safe drilling margins identified in §250.414. When you cannot maintain the safe margins, you must suspend drilling operations and remedy the situation.</p>	<p>The industry proposed text to the safe drilling margin should be considered for incorporating into the rule. The one size, fits all approach of 0.5 ppg margin could potentially lead to unsafe conditions during the course of certain drilling operations as the BSEE acknowledged in the preamble.</p> <p>Industry has prepared and will submit a spreadsheet document that is similar to existing OMB documents that could easily be adopted and would aid the BSEE in auditing the</p>	<p>(b) While drilling, you must maintain the safe drilling margins identified in §250.414. When you cannot maintain the safe drilling margins, you must remedy the situation through the implementation of an approved contingency plan ((92L) API BULLETIN 92L or (AP) analogous plan) or suspend drilling operations until the District reviews and approves proposed remedial actions, which may include limited drilling through a weak zone.</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>process for safely drilling ahead with an acceptable drilling margin that is relative to the specific well conditions to be encountered. This document has served as a guide in discussions between industry and the BSEE, in order to obtain approval based on alternate compliance to already established current practices, including managing mud losses during routine well construction operations in both deepwater and shelf GoM wells. Should the BSEE adopt this proposed language it would serve to codify those current practices and provide industry with the certainty that is necessary for large capital investments into field developments.</p>	
§250.428(c)	If you encounter the following situation: (c) Have indication of inadequate cement job (such as unplanned lost returns, no	Concerns to c (1) (iii). The use of tracers would be	If you encounter the following situation: (c) Have

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>cement returns to mudline or expected height, cement channeling, or failure of equipment),</p> <p>Then you must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Locate the top of cement by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Running a temperature survey; (ii) Running a cement evaluation log; (iii) Using tracers in the cement and logging them prior to drill out; or (iv) Using a combination of these techniques. (2) Determine if your cement job is inadequate. If your cement job is determined to be inadequate, refer to paragraph (d) of this section. (3) If your cement job is determined to be adequate, report the results to the District Manager in your submitted WAR. 	<p>helpful. The concern is around the requirement to log prior to drill out. Some operators are creating extensive shoe tracks to avoid wet shoes and requiring logging be complete prior to drill out might create some inefficiencies that do not change the risk profile. Tracers are meant to be used when the losses are more likely, and TOC should be able to be found through the BHA.</p>	<p>indication of inadequate cement job (such as unplanned lost returns, no cement returns to mudline or expected height, cement channeling, or failure of equipment),</p> <p>Then you must:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Locate the top of cement by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Running a temperature survey; (ii) Running a cement evaluation log; (iii) Using tracers in the cement and logging them prior to drill out; or (iv) Using a combination of these techniques. (2) Determine if your cement job is inadequate. If your cement job is determined to be inadequate, refer to paragraph (d) of this section. (3) If your cement job is determined to be adequate, report the results to the District Manager in your submitted WAR.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
§250.428(d)	<p>Comply with § 250.428(c)(1) and take remedial actions. The District Manager must review and approve all remedial actions either through a previously approved contingency plan within the permit or remedial actions included in a revised permit before you may take them, unless immediate actions must be taken to ensure the safety of the crew or to prevent a well-control event. If you complete any immediate action to ensure the safety of the crew or to prevent a well-control event, submit a description of the action to the District Manager when that action is complete. Any changes to the well program, that are not included in the approved permit, will require submittal of a certification by a professional engineer (PE) certifying that they have reviewed and approved the proposed changes. You must also meet any other requirements of the District Manager for remedial actions.</p>	<p>Industry agrees with the proposed changes. In part D, changes will allow for preapproval of contingency plans such as liner top squeezes, shoe squeezes, etc. in addition to the normal method of approval via RPD. This should help minimize rigging having idle time associated with RPD process.</p>	<p>Recommend adding “if necessary” in §250.428(d). I.e.: Comply with §250.428(c)(1), and take remedial actions, if necessary. The District Manager must review and approve all remedial actions either through a previously approved contingency plan within the permit or remedial actions included in a revised permit before you may take them, unless immediate actions must be taken to ensure the safety of the crew or to prevent a well-control event. If you complete any immediate action to ensure the safety of the crew or to prevent a well-control event, submit a description of the action to the District Manager when that action is complete. Any changes to the well program, that are not included in the approved permit, will require submittal of a certification by a professional engineer (PE)</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
			certifying that they have reviewed and approved the proposed changes. You must also meet any other requirements of the District Manager for remedial actions.
§250.433(b)	(b) For floating drilling operations with a subsea BOP stack, you must actuate the diverter system within 7 days after the previous actuation. For subsequent testing, you may partially actuate the diverter element and a flow test is not required.	Industry agrees with the proposed change. This language reduces the possibility of damaging the diverter element during frequent total actuation and will improve safety.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.461(b)	(b) Survey requirements for directional well. You must conduct directional surveys on each directional well and digitally record the results. Surveys must give both inclination and azimuth at intervals not to exceed 500 feet during the normal course of drilling. Intervals during angle changing portions of the hole may not exceed 180 feet.	Industry agrees with the proposed change. This is a practical change that will improve efficiency without sacrificing accuracy as many rigs now have stands of drill pipe over150 feet.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.462	What are the source control, containment, and collocated equipment requirements?	The proposed changes to 30 CFR 250.462 clarify the source control equipment requirements based on the operator's Regional Containment Demonstration (RCD) or	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>Well Containment Plan (WCP). Similar to spill equipment (e.g. skimmers, sorbent boom, etc.), the majority of source control equipment has no other commercial purpose and is used solely for emergent containment operations, such as capping stacks, top hats and subsea dispersant wands. This unique containment equipment is maintained by HWCG or Marine Well Containment Company and readily available for inspection at any time and maintained and stored for immediate use if an event occurs.</p> <p>Other equipment listed for source control that has broad commercial purpose, such as Remotely Operated Vehicles and vessels are readily available and frequently inspected and maintained for safe and efficient normal operations.</p>	

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>Proposed revisions to paragraph (e)(3) would clarify that subsea utility equipment utilized solely for containment operations must be available for inspection at all times. Paragraph (e)(4) would also be revised to clarify that it is applicable only to collocated equipment identified in the Regional Containment Demonstration (RCD) or Well Containment Plan and not all collocated equipment. The proposed revisions to both paragraphs (e)(3) and (e)(4) would help ensure that the applicable respective equipment is available for inspection. BSEE recognizes that some of the equipment used for containment is used for other types of operations on the OCS and would be available for inspection</p>	

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		when in use during other well operations.	
§250.518(e)(1)	(1) All permanently installed packers and bridge plugs qualified as mechanical barriers must comply with ANSI/API Spec. 11D1 (as incorporated by reference in §250.198).	Industry agrees with the proposed change as it would minimize the number of alternate equipment requests submitted to BSEE.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.519	Once you install your wellhead, you must meet the casing pressure management requirements of API RP 90 (as incorporated by reference in § 250.198) and the requirements of §§ 250.519 through 250.531. If there is a conflict between API RP 90 and the casing pressure requirements of this subpart, you must follow the requirements of this subpart.	Industry agrees with the proposed administrative change to update incorrect citations.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.522	A newly completed or recompleted well often has thermal casing pressure during initial startup. Bleeding casing pressure during the startup process is considered a normal and necessary operation to manage thermal casing pressure; therefore, you do not need to evaluate these operations as a casing diagnostic test. After 30 days of continuous production, the initial production startup operation is complete, and you must perform casing diagnostic testing as required in §§ 250.521 and 250.523.	Industry agrees with the proposed administrative change to update incorrect citations.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.525(d)	(d) Any well that has sustained casing pressure (SCP) and is bled down to prevent it from exceeding its MAWOP, except during initial startup operations described in §250.522;	Industry agrees with the proposed administrative change to update incorrect citations.	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text												
§250.526	<table border="1" data-bbox="464 334 1136 488"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 334 600 383">You must submit either . . .</th> <th data-bbox="600 334 768 383">to the appropriate . . .</th> <th data-bbox="768 334 884 383">and it must include . . .</th> <th data-bbox="884 334 1136 383">You must also . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 383 600 448">(a) a notification of corrective action; or,</td> <td data-bbox="600 383 768 448">District Manager and copy the Regional Supervisor, Field Operations,</td> <td data-bbox="768 383 884 448">requirements under § 250.527,</td> <td data-bbox="884 383 1136 448">submit an Application for Permit to Modify or Corrective Action Plan within 30 days of the diagnostic test.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 448 600 488">(b) a casing pressure request,</td> <td data-bbox="600 448 768 488">Regional Supervisor, Field Operations,</td> <td data-bbox="768 448 884 488">requirements under § 250.528.</td> <td data-bbox="884 448 1136 488"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must submit either . . .	to the appropriate . . .	and it must include . . .	You must also . . .	(a) a notification of corrective action; or,	District Manager and copy the Regional Supervisor, Field Operations,	requirements under § 250.527,	submit an Application for Permit to Modify or Corrective Action Plan within 30 days of the diagnostic test.	(b) a casing pressure request,	Regional Supervisor, Field Operations,	requirements under § 250.528.		Industry agrees with the proposed administrative change to update incorrect citations.	None. The proposed change is supported.
You must submit either . . .	to the appropriate . . .	and it must include . . .	You must also . . .												
(a) a notification of corrective action; or,	District Manager and copy the Regional Supervisor, Field Operations,	requirements under § 250.527,	submit an Application for Permit to Modify or Corrective Action Plan within 30 days of the diagnostic test.												
(b) a casing pressure request,	Regional Supervisor, Field Operations,	requirements under § 250.528.													
§250.530(b)	(b) You must submit the casing diagnostic test data to the appropriate Regional Supervisor, Field Operations, within 14 days of completion of the diagnostic test required under §250.523(e).	Industry agrees with the proposed administrative change to update incorrect citations.	None. The proposed change is supported.												
§250.601(m)	(m) Acid treatments	Industry agrees the proposed change is helpful in minimizing confusion about the definition of routine operations.	None. The proposed change is supported.												
§250.616	[Reserved]	Industry agrees with the proposed change.	None. The proposed change is supported.												
§250.619(e)(1)	(1) All permanently installed packers and bridge plugs qualified as mechanical barriers must comply with ANSI/API Spec. 11D1 (as incorporated by reference in §250.198). You must have two independent barriers, one being mechanical, in the exposed center wellbore prior to removing the tree and/or well control equipment;	Industry agrees the proposed change provides clarity as to when packers and bridge plugs need to be qualified as mechanical barriers.	None. The proposed change is supported.												
§§250.720(a)(1) and (a)(3)	(a) * * * (1) The events that would cause you to interrupt operations and notify the District Manager include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) Evacuation of the rig crew;	Industry agrees with the proposed change to codify existing BSEE policy and guidance.	None. The proposed change is supported.												

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>(ii) Inability to keep the rig on location; (iii) Repair to major rig or well-control equipment; (iv) Observed flow outside the well's casing (e.g., shallow water flow or bubbling); or (v) Impending National Weather Service-named tropical storm or hurricane. * * * * *</p> <p>(3) If you unlatch the BOP or LMRP: (i) Upon relatch of the BOP, you must test according to §250.734(b)(2), or (ii) Upon relatch of the LMRP, you must test according to §250.734(b)(3); and (iii) You must receive District Manager approval before resuming operations.</p>	<p>While we agree with the revision, we have concerns with the requirement in §250.734(b), incorporated here, to re-test the deadman systems when they have not been repaired or affected by the suspension. It is important to verify that the system is functional, but in cases where the system has not been modified, the previous test should be sufficient. Full discussion of the potential safety risk and proposed alternate text is included below in §250.734(b).</p>	
§250.720(d)	<p>(d) For subsea completed wells with a tree installed, you must have the equipment and capabilities for intervention on those wells. All equipment utilized solely for intervention operations (e.g., tree interface tools) must be readily available, maintained in accordance with OEM recommendations, and available for inspection by BSEE upon request.</p>	<p>Industry agrees with the inclusion of requirements for the location of required tools for well intervention operations.</p> <p>However, the industry believes the proposed text is overly prescriptive and does not consider the</p>	<p>(d) For subsea completed wells with a tree installed, you must risk assess based on reservoir pressure, MAWHP, production annulus pressure management, and availability of BOP stack with standard intervention kit or develop and maintain a readiness plan that identifies the</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>relative risk of active production wells and operators procedures and pressure management guidelines. Industry recommends that BSEE consider applying the following risk-based context to the subsea wells.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the reservoir pressure depleted to a pressure below the seawater hydrostatic pressure at the subsea wellhead? If the answer is yes, then sufficient mitigations are in place. 2. Is the well's current Maximum Anticipated Wellhead Pressure (MAWHP) reduced to a pressure below 50% of the initial well MAWHP, and does the operator have the ability to monitor the pressure in the production annulus (A annulus)? If the 	<p>equipment, timeline and capabilities for intervention on those wells. Equipment utilized for intervention operations (e.g., tree interface tools) must be identified, available, and maintained in accordance with the readiness plan. The risk assessment or readiness plan must be available for review by BSEE upon request.</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>answer is yes, then sufficient mitigations are in place.</p> <p>3. Does the well have the ability and the operator's annulus pressure management plan allow the production annulus (A annulus) to be bled to the production system? If the answer is yes, then sufficient mitigations are in place.</p> <p>4. Can the operator utilize a BOP stack with an industry standard intervention kit (e.g. the Q4000 with IRS), or existing equipment referenced in their well containment plans? If the answer is yes, then sufficient mitigations are in place.</p> <p>If an operator cannot demonstrate at least one of the risk criteria outlined above on an individual well</p>	

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>or field basis, then an operator should develop an Intervention Readiness Plan (IRP). The IRP should address response actions required to respond to a potential release for the specific wells or fields identified.</p> <p>Industry can use the proposed criteria to determine whether sufficient mitigations are in place for individual wells / fields or a Readiness Plan is required. This approach builds on and codifies effective pressure and well management programs existent in industry and ensures operators are ready to intervene, when the risk of an intervention is appropriate.</p>	
§250.722(a)(2)	(2) Report the results of your evaluation to the District Manager and obtain approval of those results before resuming operations. Your report must include calculations that indicate the well's integrity is above the minimum safety factors, if an imaging tool	Industry agrees with the change allowing for continued operations when a successful pressure test	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	or caliper is used. District Manager approval is not required to resume operations if you conducted a successful pressure test as approved in your permit. You must document the successful pressure test in the WAR.	(as per the permit) is obtained.	
§250.724(a)	<p>(a) No later than April 29, 2019, when conducting well operations with a subsea BOP or with a surface BOP on a floating facility, or when operating in an high pressure high temperature (HPHT) environment, you must gather and monitor real-time well data using an independent, automatic, and continuous monitoring system capable of recording, storing, and transmitting data regarding the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The BOP control system; (2) The well's fluid handling system on the rig; and (3) The well's downhole conditions with the bottom hole assembly tools (if any tools are installed). 	<p>Industry has concerns with the scope of the rule which would result from the adoption of the proposed text. The proposed text would remove an existing boundary in the regulation limiting the scope of §250.724 to Applications for Permits to Drill (APDs). Industry recommends the addition of language defining RTM applications to those operations covered by API Standard 53 to clearly state, consistent with the current regulations and with the incorporation of Standard 53, 4th Edition, with its Addendum 1, which systems must be covered by an Operator's RTM plan. This would provide clarity on scope in the</p>	<p>(a) No later than April 29, 2019, when conducting well operations with a subsea BOP or with a surface BOP on a floating facility, as defined by API Standard 53 incorporated by reference in §250.198(h)(63), or when operating in an high pressure high temperature (HPHT) environment, you must gather and monitor real-time well data using an independent, automatic, and continuous monitoring system capable of recording, storing, and transmitting data regarding the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) The BOP control system; (2) The well's active fluid circulating system; and (3) The well's downhole conditions with the bottom hole assembly tools (if any tools are installed).

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>proposed rule consistent with current regulation.</p> <p>Industry also believes that the existing language in §250.724(a)(2), “well’s fluid handling system on the rig” is potentially unclear as some fluid “handling systems” are not part of the active well barrier. For clarity, industry proposes changing the language to read as “well’s active circulating system”. The industry recommended text relies on standard industry definitions to demonstrate the intent of the current regulations. Additionally, by focusing on the active system, the text of the rule would be aligned with standard industry vernacular for the primary fluid system that is relied on for well control. The most relevant volumes to trend in real time are the active,</p>	

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>collectively the “active system”. The current version “well’s fluid handling system” could be inadvertently be interpreted as including other systems on the rig such as sand traps, reserve pits, storage pits, and offline volume. In this case, monitoring those systems could make it difficult to differentiate well behavior by diluting the well response over a larger volume and trending data that is not directly connected to the well. Each operator’s RTM plan should address managing the monitored pits as the active system on the rig changes. This is commonly managed in industry by the use of the pit volume totalizer (PVT) and flow measurement systems.</p>	
§250.724(b)	Remove existing §250.724(b) and redesignate existing paragraph (c) with minor revisions as paragraph (b).	Industry supports the removal from the rule of the current §250.724(b),	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>(b) You must develop and implement a real-time monitoring plan. Your real-time monitoring plan, and all real-time monitoring data, must be made available to BSEE upon request. Your real-time monitoring plan must include the following:</p> <p>(1) A description of your real-time monitoring capabilities, including the types of the data collected;</p> <p>(2) A description of how your real-time monitoring data will be transmitted during operations, how the data will be labeled and monitored by qualified personnel, and how the data will be stored as required in §§250.740 and 250.741;</p> <p>(3) A description of your procedures for providing BSEE access, upon request, to your realtime monitoring data;</p> <p>(4) The qualifications of the personnel monitoring the data;</p> <p>(5) Your procedures for, and methods of, communication between rig personnel and the monitoring personnel; and</p> <p>(6) Actions to be taken if you lose any real-time monitoring capabilities or communications between rig personnel and monitoring personnel, and a protocol for how you will respond to any significant and/or prolonged interruption of monitoring capabilities or communications, including your protocol for notifying BSEE of any significant and/or prolonged interruptions.</p>	<p>allowing a greater degree for operators to develop RTM plans consistent with their specific operational risk, their governing principles, and SEMS procedures.</p> <p>Additionally, industry supports the removal of references to “onshore” from the existing rule.</p> <p>These changes retain the risk ownership of the operation and decision-making with the individual Operator.</p>	
§§250.730(a) and (b)	<p>(a) You must ensure that the BOP system and system components are designed, installed, maintained, inspected, tested, and used properly to ensure well control. The working-pressure rating of each BOP component (excluding annular(s)) must exceed MASP as defined for the operation. For a subsea BOP, the MASP must be taken at the mudline. The BOP system includes the BOP stack, control system, and any other associated system(s) and equipment. The BOP system and individual components must be able to perform their expected functions and be compatible with each other. Your BOP system must be</p>	<p>Industry agrees with the proposed change as it aligns the document with existing industry practices proven very successful in Drilling activities worldwide.</p>	<p>None. The proposed change is supported.</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>capable of closing and sealing the wellbore in the event of flow due to a kick, including under anticipated flowing conditions for the specific well conditions, without losing ram closure time and sealing integrity due to the corrosiveness, volume, and abrasiveness of any fluids in the wellbore that the BOP system may encounter. Your BOP system must meet the following requirements:</p> <p>(1) The BOP requirements of API Standard 53 (incorporated by reference in § 250.198) and the requirements of §§ 250.733 through 250.739. If there is a conflict between API Standard 53 and the requirements of this subpart, you must follow the requirements of this subpart.</p> <p>(2) The provisions of the following industry standards (all incorporated by reference in § 250.198) that apply to BOP systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) ANSI/API Spec. 6A; (ii) ANSI/API Spec. 16A; (iii) ANSI/API Spec. 16C; (iv) API Spec. 16D; and (v) ANSI/API Spec. 17D. <p>(3) For surface and subsea BOPs, the pipe and variable bore rams installed in the BOP stack must be capable of effectively closing and sealing on the tubular body of any drill pipe, workstring, and tubing (excluding tubing with exterior control lines and flat packs) in the hole under MASP, as defined for the operation, with the proposed regulator settings of the BOP control system.</p> <p>(4) The current set of approved schematic drawings must be available on the rig and at an onshore location. If you make any modifications to the BOP or control system that will change your BSEE-approved schematic drawings, you must suspend operations until you obtain approval from the District Manager.</p>		

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>(b) You must ensure that the design, fabrication, maintenance, and repair of your BOP system is in accordance with the requirements contained in this part, applicable Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM) recommendations unless otherwise directed by BSEE, and recognized engineering practices. The training and qualification of repair and maintenance personnel must meet or exceed applicable OEM training recommendations unless otherwise directed by BSEE.</p>		
§250.730(c)	<p>(c) You must follow the failure reporting procedures contained in API Standard 53, (incorporated by reference in § 250.198), and:</p> <p>(1) You must provide a written notice of equipment failure to BSEE, unless BSEE has designated a third party as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, and the manufacturer of such equipment within 30 days after the discovery and identification of the failure. A failure is any condition that prevents the equipment from meeting the functional specification.</p> <p>(2) You must ensure that an investigation and a failure analysis are started within 120 days of the failure to determine the cause of the failure and are completed within 120 days upon starting the investigation and failure analysis. You must also ensure that the results and any corrective action are documented. You must ensure that the analysis report is submitted to BSEE, unless BSEE has designated a third party as provided in paragraph (c)(4) of this section, as well as the manufacturer.</p> <p>(3) If the equipment manufacturer notifies you that it has changed the design of the equipment that failed or if you have changed operating or repair procedures as a result of a failure, then you must, within 30 days of such changes, report the design change or modified procedures in writing to BSEE, unless BSEE has designated a third party as provided in paragraph (c)(4) of this section.</p>	<p>Industry appreciates the additional time provided by the proposed changes (120 days from incident to 120 days from start of the investigation). Industry recognizes that not all failures will require a detailed investigation. However, industry is concerned that extenuating circumstances (operational or investigation related) may prevent the completion of the investigation within 120 days.</p> <p>Industry proposes that the rule provide a method for extending investigations that have been started but are not complete within</p>	<p>(c) You must follow the failure reporting procedures contained in API Standard 53, (incorporated by reference in § 250.198), and:</p> <p>(1) You must provide a written notice of equipment failure to BSEE, unless BSEE has designated a third party as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, and the manufacturer of such equipment within 30 days after the discovery and identification of the failure. A failure is any condition that prevents the equipment from meeting the functional specification.</p> <p>(2) You must ensure that an investigation and a failure analysis are started within 120 days of the failure to</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>(4) BSEE may designate a third party to receive the data and reports on behalf of BSEE. If BSEE designates a third party, you must submit the data and reports to the designated third party.</p>	<p>the 120 days. The Operator would submit a status update to BSEE detailing the progress to date, reason(s) as to why the investigation is not completed, and a defined extension period. A hard and fast deadline of 120 days may result in conclusions that do not identify the true root cause if an artificial deadline is set, which would ultimately compromise safety.</p>	<p>determine the cause of the failure and are completed within 120 days upon starting the investigation and failure analysis. If the investigation cannot be completed within the 120 day period, you must submit a status update of the investigation. You must also ensure that the results and any corrective action are documented. You must ensure that the analysis report and any investigation status updates are submitted to BSEE, unless BSEE has designated a third party as provided in paragraph (c)(4) of this section, as well as the manufacturer.</p> <p>(3) If the equipment manufacturer notifies you that it has changed the design of the equipment that failed or if you have changed operating or repair procedures as a result of a failure, then you must, within 30 days of such changes, report the design</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
			<p>change or modified procedures in writing to BSEE, unless BSEE has designated a third party as provided in paragraph (c)(4) of this section.</p> <p>(4) BSEE may designate a third party to receive the data and reports on behalf of BSEE. If BSEE designates a third party, you must submit the data and reports to the designated third party.</p>
§250.730(d)	<p>(d) If you plan to use a BOP stack manufactured after the effective date of this regulation, you must use one manufactured pursuant to an ANSI/API Spec. Q1 (as incorporated by reference in § 250.198) quality management system. Such quality management system must be certified by an entity that meets the requirements of ISO/IEC 17021-1 (as incorporated by reference in §250.198).</p> <p>(1) BSEE may consider accepting equipment manufactured under quality assurance programs other than ANSI/API Spec. Q1, provided you submit a request to the Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs for approval, containing relevant information about the alternative program.</p> <p>(2) You must submit this request to the Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs; Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement; 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166.</p>	<p>Industry requests the addition of “or stack sub-assemblies” to provide clarity that the rule is covering the overall BOP Stack and the component assemblies contained within.</p>	<p>(d) If you plan to use a BOP stack and/or Stack sub-assemblies (covered under the specifications incorporated by reference in 250.198) manufactured after the effective date of this regulation, you must use one manufactured pursuant to an ANSI/API Spec. Q1 (as incorporated by reference in § 250.198) quality management system. Such quality management system must be certified by an entity that meets the requirements of ISO/IEC</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
			<p>17021-1 (as incorporated by reference in §250.198).</p> <p>(1) BSEE may consider accepting equipment manufactured under quality assurance programs other than ANSI/API Spec. Q1, provided you submit a request to the Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs for approval, containing relevant information about the alternative program.</p> <p>(2) You must submit this request to the Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs; Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement; 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166.</p>
§250.731(a)(5)	(5) Control system pressure and regulator settings needed to close each ram BOP under MASP as defined for the operation;	Industry agrees with proposed change based on field testing.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.731(c)	<p>Verification that:</p> <p>(1) Test data demonstrate the shear ram(s) will shear the drill pipe at the water depth as required in § 250.732;</p> <p>(2) The BOP was designed, tested, and maintained to perform under the maximum environmental and operational conditions anticipated to occur at the well;</p>	Industry agrees with proposed change based on on-going verification, witnessing by independent third parties, and validation procedures	None. The proposed change is supported.

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text						
	<p>(3) The accumulator system has sufficient fluid to operate the BOP system without assistance from the charging system; and</p> <p>(4) If using a subsea BOP, a BOP in an HPHT environment as defined in § 250.804(b), or a surface BOP on a floating facility, the BOP has not been compromised or damaged from previous service.</p>	<p>which are in place. These practices have proved to be successful in Drilling activities worldwide.</p>							
§250.731(f)	MIA	<p>Agree with proposed change based on on-going verification, I3P witnessing, and validation procedures in place. These practices have proved to be successful in Drilling activities worldwide. An MIA is effectively provided for each well by I3P validation procedures.</p>	<p>None. The proposed change is supported.</p>						
§250.732(a)(1)	<p>(a) Prior to beginning any operation requiring the use of any BOP, you must submit verification by an independent third party and supporting documentation as required by this paragraph to the appropriate District Manager and Regional Supervisor.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="464 1073 1232 1398"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 1073 806 1133">You must submit verification and documentation related to:</th> <th data-bbox="806 1073 1232 1133">That:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1133 806 1222">(1) Shear testing,</td> <td data-bbox="806 1133 1232 1222">(i) Demonstrates that the BOP will shear the drill pipe and any electric-, wire-, and slick-line to be used in the well;</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1222 806 1398"></td> <td data-bbox="806 1222 1232 1398">(ii) Demonstrates the use of test protocols and analysis that represent recognized engineering practices for ensuring the repeatability and reproducibility of the tests, and that the testing was performed by a facility that</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must submit verification and documentation related to:	That:	(1) Shear testing,	(i) Demonstrates that the BOP will shear the drill pipe and any electric-, wire-, and slick-line to be used in the well;		(ii) Demonstrates the use of test protocols and analysis that represent recognized engineering practices for ensuring the repeatability and reproducibility of the tests, and that the testing was performed by a facility that	<p>Industry agrees with proposed change based on on-going verification, witnessing by independent third parties, and validation procedures which are in place. These practices have proved to be successful in Drilling activities worldwide. I3P currently used are professional engineers and licensed accordingly. Requiring approval by</p>	<p>None. The proposed change is supported.</p>
You must submit verification and documentation related to:	That:								
(1) Shear testing,	(i) Demonstrates that the BOP will shear the drill pipe and any electric-, wire-, and slick-line to be used in the well;								
	(ii) Demonstrates the use of test protocols and analysis that represent recognized engineering practices for ensuring the repeatability and reproducibility of the tests, and that the testing was performed by a facility that								

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text		Comments	Recommended Industry Text	
		meets generally accepted quality assurance standards;	BSEE of the I3P would add no technical improvements and merely increase bureaucracy and paperwork.		
		(iii) Provides a reasonable representation of field applications, taking into consideration the physical and mechanical properties of the drill pipe;			
		(iv) Demonstrates the shearing capacity of the BOP equipment to the physical and mechanical properties of the drill pipe; and			
		(v) Includes relevant testing results.			
§250.732(a)(2)	You must submit verification and documentation related to:	That:	<p>Industry proposes that “immediately” be removed from the rule and that “after the shearing is completed and prior to opening the rams” be added as this will provide clarity to the requirement.</p> <p>Industry supports using a 5-minute test as minimum requirement is in line with existing test data and has proved to be successful in Drilling activities worldwide.</p>	You must submit verification and documentation related to:	That:
	(2) Pressure integrity testing, and	(i) Shows that testing is conducted immediately after the shearing tests;		(2) Pressure integrity testing, and	(i) Shows that testing is conducted after the shearing is completed and prior to opening the rams;
		(ii) Demonstrates that the equipment will seal at the rated working pressures (RWP) of the BOP for 5 minutes; and			(ii) Demonstrates that the equipment will seal at the rated working pressures
		(iii) Includes all relevant test results.			

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text		Comments	Recommended Industry Text				
				<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1623 321 1808 412"></td> <td data-bbox="1808 321 1974 412">(RWP) of the BOP for 5 minutes; and</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1623 412 1808 500"></td> <td data-bbox="1808 412 1974 500">(iii) Includes all relevant test results.</td> </tr> </table>		(RWP) of the BOP for 5 minutes; and		(iii) Includes all relevant test results.
	(RWP) of the BOP for 5 minutes; and							
	(iii) Includes all relevant test results.							
§250.732(a)(3)	<p>You must submit verification and documentation related to:</p> <p>(3) Calculations</p>	<p>That:</p> <p>Include shearing and sealing pressures for all pipe to be used in the well including corrections for MASP.</p>	Industry agrees with the proposed change.	None. The proposed change is supported.				
§250.732(b)	(b) The independent third-party must be a technical classification society, or a licensed professional engineering firm, or a registered professional engineer capable of providing the required certifications and verifications.		Industry agrees with the proposed change based on existing shear testing demonstrating that the BOP is capable of shearing the required tubulars.	None. The proposed change is supported.				
§250.732(c) & (d)	(c) For wells in an HPHT environment, as defined by § 250.804(b), you must submit verification by an independent third party that the independent third party conducted a comprehensive review of the BOP system and related equipment you propose to use. You must provide the independent third party access to any facility associated with the BOP system or related equipment during the review process. You must submit the verifications required by this paragraph (c) to the appropriate District Manager and Regional Supervisor before you begin any operations in an HPHT environment with the proposed equipment.		Industry agrees with proposed change based on on-going verification, witnessing by independent third parties, and validation procedures which are in place. These practices have proved to be successful in Drilling activities worldwide.	None. The proposed change is supported.				

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text										
	<table border="1" data-bbox="478 332 1150 711"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="478 332 821 365">You must submit:</th> <th data-bbox="821 332 1150 365">Including:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="478 365 821 446">(1) Verification that the independent third party conducted a detailed review of the design package to ensure that all critical components and systems meet recognized engineering practices.</td> <td data-bbox="821 365 1150 446"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="478 446 821 548">(2) Verification that the designs of individual components and the overall system have been proven in a testing process that demonstrates the performance and reliability of the equipment in a manner that is repeatable and reproducible.</td> <td data-bbox="821 446 1150 548">(i) Identification of all reasonable potential modes of failure; and (ii) Evaluation of the design verification tests. The design verification tests must assess the equipment for the identified potential modes of failure.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="478 548 821 618">(3) Verification that the BOP equipment will perform as designed in the temperature, pressure, and environment that will be encountered, and</td> <td data-bbox="821 548 1150 618"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="478 618 821 711">(4) Verification that the fabrication, manufacture, and assembly of individual components and the overall system uses recognized engineering practices and quality control and assurance mechanisms.</td> <td data-bbox="821 618 1150 711">For the quality control and assurance mechanisms, complete material and quality controls over all contractors, subcontractors, distributors, and suppliers at every stage in the fabrication, manufacture, and assembly process.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="464 727 1182 792">(d) You must make all documentation that supports the requirements of this section available to BSEE upon request.</p>	You must submit:	Including:	(1) Verification that the independent third party conducted a detailed review of the design package to ensure that all critical components and systems meet recognized engineering practices.		(2) Verification that the designs of individual components and the overall system have been proven in a testing process that demonstrates the performance and reliability of the equipment in a manner that is repeatable and reproducible.	(i) Identification of all reasonable potential modes of failure; and (ii) Evaluation of the design verification tests. The design verification tests must assess the equipment for the identified potential modes of failure.	(3) Verification that the BOP equipment will perform as designed in the temperature, pressure, and environment that will be encountered, and		(4) Verification that the fabrication, manufacture, and assembly of individual components and the overall system uses recognized engineering practices and quality control and assurance mechanisms.	For the quality control and assurance mechanisms, complete material and quality controls over all contractors, subcontractors, distributors, and suppliers at every stage in the fabrication, manufacture, and assembly process.		
You must submit:	Including:												
(1) Verification that the independent third party conducted a detailed review of the design package to ensure that all critical components and systems meet recognized engineering practices.													
(2) Verification that the designs of individual components and the overall system have been proven in a testing process that demonstrates the performance and reliability of the equipment in a manner that is repeatable and reproducible.	(i) Identification of all reasonable potential modes of failure; and (ii) Evaluation of the design verification tests. The design verification tests must assess the equipment for the identified potential modes of failure.												
(3) Verification that the BOP equipment will perform as designed in the temperature, pressure, and environment that will be encountered, and													
(4) Verification that the fabrication, manufacture, and assembly of individual components and the overall system uses recognized engineering practices and quality control and assurance mechanisms.	For the quality control and assurance mechanisms, complete material and quality controls over all contractors, subcontractors, distributors, and suppliers at every stage in the fabrication, manufacture, and assembly process.												
§250.733(a)(1)	(1) The blind shear rams must be capable of shearing at any point along the tubular body of any drill pipe (excluding tool joints, bottom-hole tools, and bottom hole assemblies that include heavy-weight pipe or collars), workstring, tubing and associated exterior control lines, and any electric-, wire-, and slick-line that is in the hole and sealing the wellbore after shearing.	<p data-bbox="1270 805 1587 1187">Industry does not agree with BSEE’s assertion that “The alternative cutting device is no longer necessary because the currently commercially available shear rams have increased design capabilities, which are capable of shearing these types of lines.”</p> <p data-bbox="1270 1227 1587 1399">While rigs utilizing wire-, electric-, slick-line do have a method for cutting these lines, Industry wishes to clarify that BSEE’s</p>	(1) The blind shear rams (within the scope of API 16A incorporated by reference in 250.198) must be capable of shearing at any point along the tubular body of any drill pipe (excluding tool joints, bottom-hole tools, and bottom hole assemblies that include heavy-weight pipe or collars), workstring, tubing, and any electric-, wire-, and slick-line that is in the hole and sealing the wellbore after shearing. These blind shear rams should be available by April 29, 2021. If										

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>statement is not wholly accurate as the OEMs do not offer, and are not expected to offer, wireline cutting capability for all the BOP sizes and rated working pressures currently utilized in the GOM.</p> <p>OEMs do currently offer wireline shear & seal Blind Shear Rams for a range of BOPs, predominately 18-3/4" bore sizes. However, utilizing an 18-3/4" bore BOP is not possible for all applications because of limitations and/or restrictions for weight, size, and configuration.</p> <p>Accordingly, it will be necessary for BSEE and Industry work together to discuss the available options and limitations of their use.</p> <p>Industry believes it is appropriate to establish a</p>	<p>your blind shear rams are unable to cut any electric-, wire-, or slick-line under MASP as defined for the operation and seal the wellbore, you must use a non-BOP based solution capable of shearing the lines before closing the BOP. This device must be available on the rig floor during operations that require their use.</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>minimum time period of 5 years from the original release of the WCR for design, testing, manufacture, and installation of the requested Blind Shear Rams for all known bore size and rated working pressure combinations that are available. Until these Rams are available, Industry must be allowed to continue to utilize the Alternative Cutting Device referenced in §250.733(a)(1) and inclusive of the response to this item below.</p> <p>There are other available cutting device solutions that will cut wireline/etc. As the Cutting Device is part of a system based approach for the Drilling Operation, the regulatory requirement for the Blind Shear Ram and the BOP Stack itself to be the sole device capable of cutting</p>	

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text												
		the wireline/etc is restrictive of innovation related to the intent of this requirement.													
§250.733(b)(1)	(1) For BOPs installed after April 29, 2021, follow the BOP requirements in § 250.734(a)(1).	Industry believes that this proposed change was intended to apply only to NEW floating production facilities.	(1) For BOPs installed on new floating production facilities installed after April 29, 2021, follow the BOP requirements in § 250.734(a)(1).												
§250.733(e)	<p>(e) Additional requirements for surface BOP systems used in well-completion, workover, and decommissioning operations. The minimum BOP system for well-completion, workover, and decommissioning operations must meet the appropriate standards from the following table:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 836 1224 1230"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="474 836 684 873">When . . .</th> <th data-bbox="684 836 1224 873">The minimum BOP stack must include . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 873 684 922">(1) The expected pressure is less than 5,000 psi.</td> <td data-bbox="684 873 1224 922">Three BOPs consisting of an annular, one set of pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 922 684 995">(2) The expected pressure is 5,000 psi or greater or you use multiple tubing strings.</td> <td data-bbox="684 922 1224 995">Four BOPs consisting of an annular, two sets of pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 995 684 1076">(3) You handle multiple tubing strings simultaneously.</td> <td data-bbox="684 995 1224 1076">Four BOPs consisting of an annular, one set of pipe rams, one set of dual pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1076 684 1182">(4) You use a tapered drill string.</td> <td data-bbox="684 1076 1224 1182">At least one set of pipe rams that are capable of sealing around each size of drill string. If the expected pressure is greater than 5,000 psi, then you must have at least two sets of pipe rams that are capable of sealing around the larger size drill string. You may substitute one set of variable bore rams for two sets of pipe rams.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="474 1182 684 1230">(5) You use a surface BOP on a floating facility.</td> <td data-bbox="684 1182 1224 1230">The elements required by § 250.733(b)(1) of this part.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	When . . .	The minimum BOP stack must include . . .	(1) The expected pressure is less than 5,000 psi.	Three BOPs consisting of an annular, one set of pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.	(2) The expected pressure is 5,000 psi or greater or you use multiple tubing strings.	Four BOPs consisting of an annular, two sets of pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.	(3) You handle multiple tubing strings simultaneously.	Four BOPs consisting of an annular, one set of pipe rams, one set of dual pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.	(4) You use a tapered drill string.	At least one set of pipe rams that are capable of sealing around each size of drill string. If the expected pressure is greater than 5,000 psi, then you must have at least two sets of pipe rams that are capable of sealing around the larger size drill string. You may substitute one set of variable bore rams for two sets of pipe rams.	(5) You use a surface BOP on a floating facility.	The elements required by § 250.733(b)(1) of this part.	Industry agrees with the proposed change. Industry recognizes and appreciates the deviation from drilling BOP classes and agrees with this wording, confident it does not adversely affect safety considerations.	None. The proposed change is supported.
When . . .	The minimum BOP stack must include . . .														
(1) The expected pressure is less than 5,000 psi.	Three BOPs consisting of an annular, one set of pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.														
(2) The expected pressure is 5,000 psi or greater or you use multiple tubing strings.	Four BOPs consisting of an annular, two sets of pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.														
(3) You handle multiple tubing strings simultaneously.	Four BOPs consisting of an annular, one set of pipe rams, one set of dual pipe rams, and one set of blind-shear rams.														
(4) You use a tapered drill string.	At least one set of pipe rams that are capable of sealing around each size of drill string. If the expected pressure is greater than 5,000 psi, then you must have at least two sets of pipe rams that are capable of sealing around the larger size drill string. You may substitute one set of variable bore rams for two sets of pipe rams.														
(5) You use a surface BOP on a floating facility.	The elements required by § 250.733(b)(1) of this part.														
§250.734(a)(1)(ii)	(ii) A combination of the shear rams must be capable of shearing at any point along the tubular body of any drill pipe (excluding tool joints, bottom-hole tools, and bottom hole assemblies such as heavy-weight pipe or collars), workstring, tubing and associated exterior control lines, appropriate area for the liner or	Industry agrees with the proposed change which is based on a previously published BSEE interpretation.	None. The proposed change is supported.												

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	casing landing string, shear sub on subsea test tree, and any electric-, wire-, slick-line in the hole; under MASP. At least one shear ram must be capable of sealing the wellbore after shearing under MASP conditions as defined for the operation. Any non-sealing shear ram(s) must be installed below a sealing shear ram(s).		
§250.734(a)(3)	<p>The accumulator capacity must:</p> <p>(i) Close each required shear ram, ram locks, one pipe ram, and disconnect the LMRP.</p> <p>(ii) Have the capability to perform ROV functions within the required times outlined in API Standard 53 with ROV or flying leads.</p> <p>(iii) No later than April 29, 2021, have bottles for the autoshear and deadman (which may be shared between those two systems) to secure the wellbore. These bottles may also be utilized to perform the secondary control system functions (e.g., ROV or acoustic functions).</p> <p>(iv) Perform under MASP conditions as defined for the operation.</p>	<p>Industry agrees with the proposed change based on alignment with API Std 53, 4th edition, with Addendum 1, and in recognition of its proper application and historical success of Subsea BOP Stacks around the world.</p>	<p>None. The proposed change is supported.</p>
§250.734(a)(4)	<p>The ROV must be capable of closing each shear ram, ram locks, one pipe ram, and disconnecting the LMRP under MASP conditions as defined for the operation. The ROV must be capable of performing these functions in the response times outlined in API Standard 53 (as incorporated by reference in §250.198). The ROV panels on the BOP and LMRP must be compliant with API RP 17H (as incorporated by reference in §250.198).</p>	<p>Industry agrees with removing the open function requirement from the ROV Panel.</p> <p>However, industry is not in agreement with the proposed text requiring that the ROV alone (without flying leads) must be capable of meeting the API S53 timing requirements.</p>	<p>The ROV must be capable of closing each shear ram, ram locks, one pipe ram, and disconnecting the LMRP under MASP conditions as defined for the operation. The ROV must be capable of performing these functions independently, via flying lead or external power source in the response times outlined in API Standard 53 (as incorporated by reference in</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>The text as written does not provide clarity as to whether the timing requirements can be met by the ROV alone or whether the ROV can meet these requirements by using a flying lead as allowed in .734(a)(3)(ii).</p> <p>Industry recommends that the timing requirements align with API Standard 53 and the prior references in the WCR with respect to the ROV capability.</p> <p>Industry is also concerned with BSEE's reference to compliance with API 17H 2nd edition, since API Standard 53 (see section 7.3.20.1.3) already covers this requirement.</p> <p>If the intention of this requirement is to ensure compatibility of all ROVs with all BOP Stack mounted ROV panels, then</p>	<p>§250.198). The ROV panels on the BOP and LMRP must be compliant with API RP 17H (as incorporated by reference in §250.198).</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		adherence to API 17H Type A, B, or C stab receptacles can meet this requirement and are dimensionally the same in both API RP 17H 1st and 2nd Edition.	
§250.734(a)(6)(iv)	(iv) Autoshear/deadman functions must close, at a minimum, two shear rams in sequence and be capable of performing their expected shearing and sealing action under MASP conditions as defined for the operation.	Industry agrees with the proposed change based on alignment with API Std 53 4 th edition and proper application / historical success of Subsea BOP Stacks around the world.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.734(a)(16)	(16) Use a BOP system that has the following mechanisms and capabilities; If your control pods contain a subsea electronic module with batteries, a mechanism for personnel on the rig to monitor the state of charge of the subsea electronic module batteries in the BOP control pods	Industry agree with the proposed change to remove the existing §§250.734(a)(16)(i) & (ii).	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.734(b)	(b) If you suspend operations to make repairs to any part of the subsea BOP system, you must stop operations at a safe downhole location. Before resuming operations you must: (1) Submit a revised permit with a verification report from an independent third party documenting the repairs and that the BOP is fit for service; (2) Upon relatch of the BOP, perform an initial subsea BOP test in accordance with § 250.737(d)(4), including deadman in accordance with § 250.737(d)(12)(vi). If repairs take longer than	Retesting the deadman subsea after a successful surface verification is not necessary every time the BOP or LMRP is latched to the wellhead (ex., weather suspensions, disconnect for tubing head spool installation, etc.). Doing so	b) If operations are suspended to make repairs to any part of the subsea BOP system, you must stop operations at a safe downhole location. Before resuming operations, you must:

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
	<p>30 days, once the BOP is on deck, you must test in accordance with the requirements of § 250.737;</p> <p>(3) Upon relatch of the LMRP, you must test according to the following:</p> <p>(i) Pressure test riser connector/gasket in accordance with § 250.737(b) and (c);</p> <p>(ii) Pressure test choke and kill stabs at LMRP/BOP interface in accordance with § 250.737(b) and (c);</p> <p>(iii) Full function test of both pods and both control panels;</p> <p>(iv) Verify acoustic pod communication (if equipped); and</p> <p>(v) Deadman test with pressure test in accordance with §250.737(d)(12)(vi).</p> <p>(4) Receive approval from the District Manager.</p>	<p>presents unnecessary risk to people, asset and the environment. Proposed that deadman retesting subsea only be required when repairs are made to or could impact the deadman circuit. Testing the deadman is not without safety risks (powering down the control system) and should not be done if the test is not necessary.</p>	<p>(1) Submit a revised permit with a verification report from an independent third party documenting the repairs and that the BOP is fit for service;</p> <p>(2) Upon relatch of the BOP, perform an initial subsea BOP test in accordance with § 250.737(d)(4). Deadman test required on surface prior to redeployment and only required subsea if any repairs were made to the deadman circuit;</p> <p>(3) Upon relatch of the LMRP, you must test according to the following:</p> <p>(i) Pressure test riser connector/gasket in accordance with § 250.737(b) and (c);</p> <p>(ii) Pressure test choke and kill stabs at LMRP/BOP interface in accordance with § 250.737(b) and (c);</p> <p>(iii) Full function test of both pods and both control panels;</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
			(iv) Verify acoustic pod communication (if equipped); and (v) Deadman test with pressure test in accordance with §250.737(d)(12)(vi) if any repairs were made to the deadman circuit; and (4) Receive approval from the District Manager.
§250.735(a)	(a) An accumulator system (as specified in API Standard 53 and incorporated by reference in § 250.198). Your accumulator system must have the fluid volume capacity and appropriate pre-charge pressures in accordance with API Standard 53. If you supply the accumulator regulators by rig air and do not have a secondary source of pneumatic supply, you must equip the regulators with manual overrides or other devices to ensure capability of hydraulic operations if rig air is lost;	Industry agrees with the proposed change based on its alignment with API Std 53, 4 th edition and proper application / historical success of Subsea BOP Stacks around the world.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.736(d)(5)	(5) When running casing, a safety valve in the open position available on the rig floor to fit the casing string being run in the hole. For subsea BOPs, the safety valve must be available on the rig floor if the length of casing being run exceeds the water depth, which would result in the casing being across the BOP stack and the rig floor prior to crossing over to the drill pipe running string;	Industry agrees with the proposed change based on proper application / historical success around the world.	None. The proposed change is supported.
§250.737(a)	BSEE has not proposed revision of this section.	Industry proposes BSEE adopt the 21-day test frequency in conformance with API Std 53, 4 th edition. This test period	Revise §250.737(a) to read as follows: (a) <i>Pressure test frequency.</i> You must pressure test your BOP system:

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text
		<p>ensures reliability of the sealing components and is based on industry studies to determine the appropriate test frequency to achieve the highest reliability considering wear and fatigue on systems. The change does not impact the weekly function test requirement, which is the most reliable determinant of system health.</p>	<p>(1) When installed; (2) Before 21 days have elapsed since your last BOP pressure test, or 30 days since your last blind shear ram BOP pressure test. You must begin to test your BOP system before midnight on the 21st day (or 30th day for your blind shear rams) following the conclusion of the previous test; (3) Before drilling out each string of casing or a liner. You may omit this pressure test requirement if you did not remove the BOP stack to run the casing string or liner, the required BOP test pressures for the next section of the hole are not greater than the test pressures for the previous BOP test, and the time elapsed between tests has not exceeded 21 days (or 30 days for blind shear rams). You must indicate in your APD which casing strings and liners meet these criteria;</p>

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text						
			(4) The District Manager may require more frequent testing if conditions or your BOP performance warrant.						
§§250.737(b) & (c)	<p>(b) Pressure test procedures. When you pressure test the BOP system, you must conduct a low-pressure test and a high-pressure test for each BOP component (excluding test rams and non-sealing shear rams). You must begin each test by conducting the low-pressure test then transition to the high-pressure test. Each individual pressure test must hold pressure long enough to demonstrate the tested component(s) holds the required pressure. The table in this paragraph (b) outlines your pressure test requirements.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="466 787 1245 1422"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="466 787 835 868">You must conduct a . . .</th> <th data-bbox="835 787 1245 868">According to the following procedures . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="466 868 835 1091">(1) Low-pressure test</td> <td data-bbox="835 868 1245 1091">All low-pressure tests must be between 250 and 350 psi. Any initial pressure above 350 psi must be bled back to a pressure between 250 and 350 psi before starting the test. If the initial pressure exceeds 500 psi, you must bleed back to zero and reinitiate the test.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="466 1091 835 1422">(2) High-pressure test for blind shear ram-type BOPs, ram-type BOPs, the choke manifold, outside of all choke and kill side outlet valves (and annular gas bleed valves for subsea BOP), inside of all choke and kill side outlet valves below uppermost ram, and other BOP components</td> <td data-bbox="835 1091 1245 1422">(i) The high-pressure test must equal the RWP of the equipment or be 500 psi greater than your calculated MASP, as defined for the operation for the applicable section of hole. Before you may test BOP equipment to the MASP plus 500 psi, the District Manager must have approved those test pressures in your permit. (ii) The blind shear ram (BSR) must be tested to:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must conduct a . . .	According to the following procedures . . .	(1) Low-pressure test	All low-pressure tests must be between 250 and 350 psi. Any initial pressure above 350 psi must be bled back to a pressure between 250 and 350 psi before starting the test. If the initial pressure exceeds 500 psi, you must bleed back to zero and reinitiate the test.	(2) High-pressure test for blind shear ram-type BOPs, ram-type BOPs, the choke manifold, outside of all choke and kill side outlet valves (and annular gas bleed valves for subsea BOP), inside of all choke and kill side outlet valves below uppermost ram, and other BOP components	(i) The high-pressure test must equal the RWP of the equipment or be 500 psi greater than your calculated MASP, as defined for the operation for the applicable section of hole. Before you may test BOP equipment to the MASP plus 500 psi, the District Manager must have approved those test pressures in your permit. (ii) The blind shear ram (BSR) must be tested to:	<p>Would like the WCR to be consistent in requirements by a) aligning with testing requirements of API Std 53 and b) allowing the use of alternative pressure testing systems that can determine test validity in less than 5 minutes.</p> <p>Would like clarity with respect to statement in .737(b) where the text states "...test must hold pressure long enough to demonstrate the tested component(s) holds the required pressure."</p> <p>Vs</p> <p>Section .737(c) where the text states "Each test must hold the required pressure for 5 minutes,..."</p>	<p>(b) Pressure test procedures. When you pressure test the BOP system, you must conduct a low-pressure test and a high-pressure test for each BOP component. You must begin each test by conducting the low-pressure test then transition to the high-pressure test. Each individual pressure test must be consistent with paragraph (c). The table in this paragraph (b) outlines your pressure test requirements.</p> <p>(c) Duration of pressure test. Each Subsea BOP system test must hold the required pressure for 5 minutes, which must be recorded on a chart not exceeding 4 hours or a digital recorder. However, for surface BOP systems and surface equipment of a subsea BOP system, a 3-minute test</p>
You must conduct a . . .	According to the following procedures . . .								
(1) Low-pressure test	All low-pressure tests must be between 250 and 350 psi. Any initial pressure above 350 psi must be bled back to a pressure between 250 and 350 psi before starting the test. If the initial pressure exceeds 500 psi, you must bleed back to zero and reinitiate the test.								
(2) High-pressure test for blind shear ram-type BOPs, ram-type BOPs, the choke manifold, outside of all choke and kill side outlet valves (and annular gas bleed valves for subsea BOP), inside of all choke and kill side outlet valves below uppermost ram, and other BOP components	(i) The high-pressure test must equal the RWP of the equipment or be 500 psi greater than your calculated MASP, as defined for the operation for the applicable section of hole. Before you may test BOP equipment to the MASP plus 500 psi, the District Manager must have approved those test pressures in your permit. (ii) The blind shear ram (BSR) must be tested to:								

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text		Comments	Recommended Industry Text						
		<p>(A) MASP plus 500 psi for the hole section to which it is exposed; or (B) Full well MASP plus 500 psi on initial latch up and all subsequent BSR pressure tests can be done to the casing/liner test pressure for the applicable hole section. (iii) The choke and kill side outlet valves must be tested to, except as provided in paragraph (d)(13) of this section: (A) MASP plus 500 psi for the hole section to which it is exposed; or (B) Full well MASP plus 500 psi on initial latch up and all subsequent pressure tests can be done to the casing/liner test pressure for the applicable hole section.</p>		<p>duration is acceptable. The recorded test pressures must be within the middle half of the chart range, i.e., cannot be within the lower or upper one-fourth of the chart range. If the equipment does not hold the required pressure during a test, you must correct the problem and retest the affected component(s).</p>						
	<p>(3) High-pressure test for annular-type BOPs, inside of choke or kill valves (and annular gas bleed valves for subsea BOP) above the uppermost ram BOP</p>	<p>The high pressure test must equal 70 percent of the RWP of the equipment or be 500 psi greater than your calculated MASP, as defined for the operation for the applicable section of hole. Before you may test BOP equipment to the MASP plus 500 psi, the District Manager must have approved those test pressures in your APD.</p>								
<p>§§250.737(d)(2), (d)(3), (d)(3)(v), (d)(4)(i), (d)(4)(iii), (d)(4)(v)</p>	<p>BOP System Testing Requirements</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="464 1135 1245 1344"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 1135 722 1166">You must...</th> <th data-bbox="722 1135 1245 1166">Additional requirements...</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1166 722 1255">(2) * * *</td> <td data-bbox="722 1166 1245 1255">(ii) Contact the District Manager at least 72 hours prior to beginning the initial test to allow BSEE representative(s) to witness testing.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1255 722 1344">(3) * * *</td> <td data-bbox="722 1255 1245 1344">(iii) Contact the District Manager at least 72 hours prior to beginning the stump test to allow BSEE representative(s) to witness testing</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		You must...	Additional requirements...	(2) * * *	(ii) Contact the District Manager at least 72 hours prior to beginning the initial test to allow BSEE representative(s) to witness testing.	(3) * * *	(iii) Contact the District Manager at least 72 hours prior to beginning the stump test to allow BSEE representative(s) to witness testing	<p>Industry agrees with proposed changes, with one exception to 250.737(d)(iv).</p>	<p>250.737(d)(iv) You must verify closure of all critical ROV intervention functions as defined in API 53 during predeployment testing.</p> <p>Any additional installed ROV intervention functions must be verified per the equipment owner's</p>
You must...	Additional requirements...									
(2) * * *	(ii) Contact the District Manager at least 72 hours prior to beginning the initial test to allow BSEE representative(s) to witness testing.									
(3) * * *	(iii) Contact the District Manager at least 72 hours prior to beginning the stump test to allow BSEE representative(s) to witness testing									

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text		Comments	Recommended Industry Text								
		(v) You must follow paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section. Pressure testing of each ram and annular component is only required once.		maintenance program but not to exceed once per year.								
(4) * * *	(i) You must begin the initial subsea BOP test on the seafloor within 30 days of the stump test.											
* * * * *												
	(iii) You must pressure test well-control rams and annulars according to paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section.											
* * * * *	(v) You must test and verify closure of at least one set of rams during the initial subsea test through a ROV hot stab. You must confirm closure of the selected ram through the ROV hot stab with a 1,000 psi pressure test for 5 minutes.											
250.737(d)(5)(ii)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="451 808 722 841">You must...</th> <th data-bbox="722 808 1257 841">Additional requirements...</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="451 841 722 1159">(5) Alternate tests between control stations</td> <td data-bbox="722 841 1257 1159">(i) For two complete BOP control stations you must: (A) Designate a primary and secondary station; (B) Alternate testing between the primary and secondary control stations on a weekly basis; and (C) For a subsea BOP, develop an alternating testing schedule to ensure the primary and secondary control stations will function each pod. (ii) Remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g., life boat panels) must be function-tested upon the initial BOP tests.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must...	Additional requirements...	(5) Alternate tests between control stations	(i) For two complete BOP control stations you must: (A) Designate a primary and secondary station; (B) Alternate testing between the primary and secondary control stations on a weekly basis; and (C) For a subsea BOP, develop an alternating testing schedule to ensure the primary and secondary control stations will function each pod. (ii) Remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g., life boat panels) must be function-tested upon the initial BOP tests.		<p>Industry agrees with the removal of “and monthly thereafter” from the rule.</p> <p>Industry would like to see additional alignment between the proposed rule and API Std 53 Section 7.6.5.1.4 which states “If installed, remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g. lifeboat panels, etc.) shall be function tested in accordance with the equipment owner's procedures.”</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1610 808 1759 868">You must...</th> <th data-bbox="1759 808 1988 868">Additional requirements...</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1610 868 1759 1421">(5) Alternate tests between control stations</td> <td data-bbox="1759 868 1988 1421">(i) For two complete BOP control stations you must: (A) Designate a primary and secondary station; (B) Alternate testing between the primary and secondary control stations on a weekly basis; and (C) For a subsea BOP, develop an alternating testing schedule to ensure the primary and secondary control</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must...	Additional requirements...	(5) Alternate tests between control stations	(i) For two complete BOP control stations you must: (A) Designate a primary and secondary station; (B) Alternate testing between the primary and secondary control stations on a weekly basis; and (C) For a subsea BOP, develop an alternating testing schedule to ensure the primary and secondary control
You must...	Additional requirements...											
(5) Alternate tests between control stations	(i) For two complete BOP control stations you must: (A) Designate a primary and secondary station; (B) Alternate testing between the primary and secondary control stations on a weekly basis; and (C) For a subsea BOP, develop an alternating testing schedule to ensure the primary and secondary control stations will function each pod. (ii) Remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g., life boat panels) must be function-tested upon the initial BOP tests.											
You must...	Additional requirements...											
(5) Alternate tests between control stations	(i) For two complete BOP control stations you must: (A) Designate a primary and secondary station; (B) Alternate testing between the primary and secondary control stations on a weekly basis; and (C) For a subsea BOP, develop an alternating testing schedule to ensure the primary and secondary control											

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text												
		The inclusion of “in accordance with the equipment owner’s procedures” allows the user to conduct the test with the BOP on-deck and does not alter the effectiveness or intent of the proposed BSEE text.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="1619 321 1759 763"></td> <td data-bbox="1759 321 1982 763">stations will function each pod. (ii) Remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g., life boat panels) must be function-tested in accordance with the equipment owner’s procedures during the stump (pre-deployment) BOP tests.</td> </tr> </table>		stations will function each pod. (ii) Remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g., life boat panels) must be function-tested in accordance with the equipment owner’s procedures during the stump (pre-deployment) BOP tests.										
	stations will function each pod. (ii) Remote panels where all BOP functions are not included (e.g., life boat panels) must be function-tested in accordance with the equipment owner’s procedures during the stump (pre-deployment) BOP tests.														
§§250.737(d)12(iv), (d)(12)(vi) & (d)(13)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 800 722 829">You must...</th> <th data-bbox="722 800 1245 829">Additional requirements...</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 829 722 919">(12) * * *</td> <td data-bbox="722 829 1245 919">(iv) Following the deadman system test on the seafloor you must document the final remaining pressure of the subsea accumulator system.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="464 919 1245 948">* * * * *</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 948 722 1037"></td> <td data-bbox="722 948 1245 1037">(vi) You must confirm closure of the BSR(s) with a 1,000 psi pressure test for 5 minutes.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="464 1037 1245 1066">* * * * *</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1066 722 1416">(13) Pressure test the choke and kill side outlet valves</td> <td data-bbox="722 1066 1245 1416">According to paragraph (b), except as follows: (i) For 14 day BOP testing, test the wellbore side of the choke and kill side outlet valves above the uppermost pipe ram to the approved annular test pressure. Choke and kill side outlet valves below the uppermost pipe ram must be tested to MASP plus 500 psi for the applicable hole section. (ii) For the 30 day BSR testing, test the wellbore side of the choke and kill side outlet valves between the upper most pipe ram and the upper most ram, to the casing/liner test pressure or annular test pressure, whichever is greater.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must...	Additional requirements...	(12) * * *	(iv) Following the deadman system test on the seafloor you must document the final remaining pressure of the subsea accumulator system.	* * * * *			(vi) You must confirm closure of the BSR(s) with a 1,000 psi pressure test for 5 minutes.	* * * * *		(13) Pressure test the choke and kill side outlet valves	According to paragraph (b), except as follows: (i) For 14 day BOP testing, test the wellbore side of the choke and kill side outlet valves above the uppermost pipe ram to the approved annular test pressure. Choke and kill side outlet valves below the uppermost pipe ram must be tested to MASP plus 500 psi for the applicable hole section. (ii) For the 30 day BSR testing, test the wellbore side of the choke and kill side outlet valves between the upper most pipe ram and the upper most ram, to the casing/liner test pressure or annular test pressure, whichever is greater.	Industry agrees with the proposed changes. The only critical pressure in the test is the final pressure. The pressure reduction during the test is immaterial and not necessary.	None. The proposed change is supported.
You must...	Additional requirements...														
(12) * * *	(iv) Following the deadman system test on the seafloor you must document the final remaining pressure of the subsea accumulator system.														
* * * * *															
	(vi) You must confirm closure of the BSR(s) with a 1,000 psi pressure test for 5 minutes.														
* * * * *															
(13) Pressure test the choke and kill side outlet valves	According to paragraph (b), except as follows: (i) For 14 day BOP testing, test the wellbore side of the choke and kill side outlet valves above the uppermost pipe ram to the approved annular test pressure. Choke and kill side outlet valves below the uppermost pipe ram must be tested to MASP plus 500 psi for the applicable hole section. (ii) For the 30 day BSR testing, test the wellbore side of the choke and kill side outlet valves between the upper most pipe ram and the upper most ram, to the casing/liner test pressure or annular test pressure, whichever is greater.														

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text		Comments	Recommended Industry Text								
		(iii) For BOPs with only one choke and kill side outlet valve, you are only required to pressure test the choke and kill side outlet valves from the wellbore side.										
§250.738(b)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 480 722 540">If you encounter the following situation:</th> <th data-bbox="722 480 1245 540">Then you must . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 540 722 652">b) * * *</td> <td data-bbox="722 540 1245 652">(4) You must submit a report from an independent third party to the District Manager certifying that the BOP is fit for service</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .	b) * * *	(4) You must submit a report from an independent third party to the District Manager certifying that the BOP is fit for service	Industry agrees with the proposed changes.	None. The proposed change is supported.				
If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .											
b) * * *	(4) You must submit a report from an independent third party to the District Manager certifying that the BOP is fit for service											
§250.738(f)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 696 722 756">If you encounter the following situation:</th> <th data-bbox="722 696 1245 756">Then you must . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 756 722 963">(f) Plan to install casing rams or casing shear rams in a surface BOP stack;</td> <td data-bbox="722 756 1245 963">Before running casing, perform a shell test to the permit approved test pressure of the BOP component above the casing ram/casing shear. If this installation was not included in your approved permit, and changes the BOP configuration approved in the APD or APM, you must notify and receive approval from the District Manager</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .	(f) Plan to install casing rams or casing shear rams in a surface BOP stack;	Before running casing, perform a shell test to the permit approved test pressure of the BOP component above the casing ram/casing shear. If this installation was not included in your approved permit, and changes the BOP configuration approved in the APD or APM, you must notify and receive approval from the District Manager	Industry agrees with the intent of this revision but would likely clarity added regarding the timing/location of the test.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1625 696 1766 841">If you encounter the following situation:</th> <th data-bbox="1766 696 1976 841">Then you must . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1625 841 1766 1425">(f) Plan to install casing rams or casing shear rams in a surface BOP stack;</td> <td data-bbox="1766 841 1976 1425">Before running casing, perform a shell test to the permit approved test pressure of the BOP component above the casing ram/casing shear. Initial pressure testing shall be performed before operations commence. If this installation was not included in your approved permit, and</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .	(f) Plan to install casing rams or casing shear rams in a surface BOP stack;	Before running casing, perform a shell test to the permit approved test pressure of the BOP component above the casing ram/casing shear. Initial pressure testing shall be performed before operations commence. If this installation was not included in your approved permit, and
If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .											
(f) Plan to install casing rams or casing shear rams in a surface BOP stack;	Before running casing, perform a shell test to the permit approved test pressure of the BOP component above the casing ram/casing shear. If this installation was not included in your approved permit, and changes the BOP configuration approved in the APD or APM, you must notify and receive approval from the District Manager											
If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .											
(f) Plan to install casing rams or casing shear rams in a surface BOP stack;	Before running casing, perform a shell test to the permit approved test pressure of the BOP component above the casing ram/casing shear. Initial pressure testing shall be performed before operations commence. If this installation was not included in your approved permit, and											

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text												
			changes the BOP configuration approved in the APD or APM, you must notify and receive approval from the District Manager.												
§§250.738(i), (m) & (o)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 597 722 654">If you encounter the following situation:</th> <th data-bbox="722 597 1247 654">Then you must . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 654 722 805">(i) You activate any shear ram and pipe or casing is sheared;</td> <td data-bbox="722 654 1247 805">Retrieve, physically inspect, and conduct a full pressure test of the BOP stack after the situation is fully controlled. You must submit to the District Manager a report from an independent third party certifying that the BOP is fit to return to service.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="464 805 1247 833">*****</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 833 722 1154">(m) Plan to utilize any other circulating or ancillary equipment (e.g., but not limited to, subsea isolation device, subsea accumulator module, or gas handler) that is in addition to the equipment required in this subpart;</td> <td data-bbox="722 833 1247 1154">Contact the District Manager and request approval in your APD or APM. Your request must include a report from an independent third party on the equipment's design and suitability for its intended use as well as any other information required by the District Manager. The District Manager may impose any conditions regarding the equipment's capabilities, operation, and testing.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="464 1154 1247 1182">*****</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1182 722 1414">(o) You install redundant components for well control in your BOP system that are in addition to the required components of this subpart (e.g.,</td> <td data-bbox="722 1182 1247 1414">Comply with all testing, maintenance, and inspection requirements in this subpart that are applicable to those well-control components. If any redundant component fails a test, you must submit a report from an independent third party that describes the failure and confirms that there is no impact on the BOP that will make it unfit for well-control purposes. You must submit this</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .	(i) You activate any shear ram and pipe or casing is sheared;	Retrieve, physically inspect, and conduct a full pressure test of the BOP stack after the situation is fully controlled. You must submit to the District Manager a report from an independent third party certifying that the BOP is fit to return to service.	*****		(m) Plan to utilize any other circulating or ancillary equipment (e.g., but not limited to, subsea isolation device, subsea accumulator module, or gas handler) that is in addition to the equipment required in this subpart;	Contact the District Manager and request approval in your APD or APM. Your request must include a report from an independent third party on the equipment's design and suitability for its intended use as well as any other information required by the District Manager. The District Manager may impose any conditions regarding the equipment's capabilities, operation, and testing.	*****		(o) You install redundant components for well control in your BOP system that are in addition to the required components of this subpart (e.g.,	Comply with all testing, maintenance, and inspection requirements in this subpart that are applicable to those well-control components. If any redundant component fails a test, you must submit a report from an independent third party that describes the failure and confirms that there is no impact on the BOP that will make it unfit for well-control purposes. You must submit this	Industry agrees with the proposed changes.	None. The proposed change is supported.
If you encounter the following situation:	Then you must . . .														
(i) You activate any shear ram and pipe or casing is sheared;	Retrieve, physically inspect, and conduct a full pressure test of the BOP stack after the situation is fully controlled. You must submit to the District Manager a report from an independent third party certifying that the BOP is fit to return to service.														

(m) Plan to utilize any other circulating or ancillary equipment (e.g., but not limited to, subsea isolation device, subsea accumulator module, or gas handler) that is in addition to the equipment required in this subpart;	Contact the District Manager and request approval in your APD or APM. Your request must include a report from an independent third party on the equipment's design and suitability for its intended use as well as any other information required by the District Manager. The District Manager may impose any conditions regarding the equipment's capabilities, operation, and testing.														

(o) You install redundant components for well control in your BOP system that are in addition to the required components of this subpart (e.g.,	Comply with all testing, maintenance, and inspection requirements in this subpart that are applicable to those well-control components. If any redundant component fails a test, you must submit a report from an independent third party that describes the failure and confirms that there is no impact on the BOP that will make it unfit for well-control purposes. You must submit this														

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text		Comments	Recommended Industry Text									
	pipe/variable bore rams, shear rams, annular preventers, gas bleed lines, and choke/kill side outlets or lines);	report to the District Manager and receive approval before resuming operations. The District Manager may require you to provide additional information as needed to clarify or evaluate your report.											
§250.739(b) introductory text	(b) A major, detailed inspection of the well control system components (including but not limited to riser, BOP, LMRP, and control pods) must be performed every 5 years. This major inspection may be performed in phased intervals. You must track and document all system and component inspection dates. These records must be available on the rig. An independent third party is required to review the inspection results and must compile a detailed report of the inspection results, including descriptions of any problems and how they were corrected. You must make these reports available to BSEE upon request. This major inspection must be performed every 5 years from the following applicable dates, whichever is later:		Industry agrees with the proposed changes.	None. The proposed change is supported.									
250.741(b)(2)	BSEE has not proposed revision of this section.		Industry proposes that BSEE include in the revised rule, a revision to 250.741, that real-time monitoring data retention be adjusted from §250.741(b) two years to §250.741(a) 90 days from completion of the operation. The primary value of the RTM data is in diagnostic of ongoing operation and response to incidents.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1619 966 1795 1068">You must keep records relating to . . .</th> <th data-bbox="1803 966 1978 1068">Until . . .</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1619 1068 1795 1182">(a) Drilling and real-time monitoring data;</td> <td data-bbox="1803 1068 1978 1182">90 days after you complete operations.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1619 1182 1795 1317">(b) Casing and liner pressure tests, diverter tests, BOP tests;</td> <td data-bbox="1803 1182 1978 1317">2 years after the completion of operations.</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1619 1317 1795 1416">(c) Completion of a well or of any workover activity</td> <td data-bbox="1803 1317 1978 1416">You permanently plug and</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	You must keep records relating to . . .	Until . . .	(a) Drilling and real-time monitoring data;	90 days after you complete operations.	(b) Casing and liner pressure tests, diverter tests, BOP tests;	2 years after the completion of operations.	(c) Completion of a well or of any workover activity	You permanently plug and	
You must keep records relating to . . .	Until . . .												
(a) Drilling and real-time monitoring data;	90 days after you complete operations.												
(b) Casing and liner pressure tests, diverter tests, BOP tests;	2 years after the completion of operations.												
(c) Completion of a well or of any workover activity	You permanently plug and												

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text	
		<p>These scenarios occur during or immediately following conclusion of the operation. Requiring operators to retain the real-time monitoring data for 2 years presents a burden on resource and data storage considering the volume of RTM data anticipated without materially increasing the safety of operations or the ability of industry or BSEE to learn from events. Industry remains supportive of retaining the rest of §250.741(b) with a 2 year requirement. The barrier verification, casing test, and BOP test data retains value for diagnostic purposes beyond the immediate completion of the operation and should continue to be retained as prescribed in the current regulations.</p>	<p>that materially alters the completion configuration or affects a hydrocarbon-bearing zone.</p>	<p>abandon the well or until you assign the lease and forward the records to the assignee.</p>
§ 250.750				
§ 250.751				

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed New Regulation Text	Comments	Recommended Industry Text															
§250.1703	(b) Permanently plug all wells. Packers and bridge plugs used as qualified mechanical barriers must comply with ANSI/API Spec. 11D1 (as incorporated by reference in § 250.198). You must have two independent barriers, one being mechanical, in the exposed center wellbore prior to removing the tree and/or well control equipment;	Industry agrees with the changes. They provide clarity as to when packers and bridge plugs need to be qualified as mechanical barriers.	None. The proposed change is supported.															
§250.1704(g)(4) & (h)(2)	<table border="1" data-bbox="478 586 1230 878"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="478 586 688 651">Decommissioning applications and reports</th> <th data-bbox="688 586 982 651">When to submit</th> <th data-bbox="982 586 1230 651">Instructions</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="478 651 1230 683">*****</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="478 683 688 764">(g) ***</td> <td data-bbox="688 683 982 764">(4) Within 30 days after you complete site clearance verification activities,</td> <td data-bbox="982 683 1230 764">Include information required under § 250.1743(a).</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="478 764 688 846">(h) ***</td> <td data-bbox="688 764 982 846">(2) Within 30 days after completion of decommissioning activity,</td> <td data-bbox="982 764 1230 846">Include information required under §§ 250.1712 and 250.1721.</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="478 846 1230 878">*****</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Decommissioning applications and reports	When to submit	Instructions	*****			(g) ***	(4) Within 30 days after you complete site clearance verification activities,	Include information required under § 250.1743(a).	(h) ***	(2) Within 30 days after completion of decommissioning activity,	Include information required under §§ 250.1712 and 250.1721.	*****			Industry agrees with the change.	None. The proposed change is supported.
Decommissioning applications and reports	When to submit	Instructions																

(g) ***	(4) Within 30 days after you complete site clearance verification activities,	Include information required under § 250.1743(a).																
(h) ***	(2) Within 30 days after completion of decommissioning activity,	Include information required under §§ 250.1712 and 250.1721.																

§250.1706	Remove and reserve	Industry agrees with the change.	None. The proposed change is supported.															
§250.1716(b)	(3) The water depth is greater than 1,000 feet.	Industry agrees with the change.	None. The proposed change is supported.															
§250.1722(d) introductory text	(d) Within 30 days after you complete the trawling test described in paragraph (c) of this section, submit a report to the appropriate District Manager using form BSEE-0125, End of Operations Report (EOR) that includes the following:	Industry agrees with the change.	None. The proposed change is supported.															

Questions posed by BSEE related to BOP Equipment and Drilling Margin

Proposed Regulation Reference	Proposed Question	Recommended Industry Response
§250.198	<p><u>API Standard 53 – Edition to Incorporate:</u> At this time, BSEE does not propose to incorporate the API Standard 53 addendum into this proposed rule. However, BSEE is considering incorporating the API Standard 53 addendum in the final rule. BSEE is specifically soliciting comments on whether the API Standard 53 addendum should be included within the documents incorporated by reference.</p> <p><i>Please provide reasons for your position. If your comment addresses anticipated monetary or operational benefits associated with using the API Standard 53 addendum, please provide any available supporting data.</i></p>	<p>Industry’s opinion is that the final rule should incorporate the latest released edition of API Standard 53 at the time of its publishing. In this case this is likely to be API Standard 53 4th Edition with its Addendum 1, issued in July 2016.</p> <p>A large portion of the GOM install base is already in compliance with API Standard 53 4th Edition w/ Addendum & Errata (July 2016). This addendum was compiled, reviewed, and approved by Industry representatives from Operators, Equipment Owners, OEMs, Independent Third Parties, and Service Companies within the API community. The addendum and errata provided clarity to existing text and increases operational safety and reliability.</p> <p>Industry would urge the agency to consider how the 5th Edition of API Standard 53 can be expeditiously incorporated into its regulation once it is published.</p>
§250.730	<p><u>General req’s for BOP systems & components - Failures:</u> Based upon the unknown situations that could arise around the completion of the failure analysis and availability of the equipment, BSEE is specifically soliciting comments about whether specifying a completion date for the failure analysis is appropriate and if so whether 120 days from the commencement of the analysis is appropriate.</p> <p><i>Please provide reasons for your position and any applicable associated data.</i></p>	<p>We appreciate the additional time provided by the proposed changes (120 days from incident to 120 days from start of the investigation). We recognize that not all failures will require a detailed investigation. However, we are concerned that extenuating circumstances (operational or investigation related) may prevent the completion of the investigation within 120 days. A hard and fast deadline of 120 days may result in conclusions that do not identify the true root cause and ultimately compromise safety.</p>

		<p>Industry proposes that BSEE allow a method for extending the completion dated for investigations that have been started, but are not complete within the 120 days. In such cases, industry suggests the operator submit a status update to BSEE detailing the progress to date and reason(s) as to why the investigation is not completed.</p>
<p>§250.733</p>	<p><u>Requirements for Surface BOP Stack – Alt Cutting Device:</u> This rulemaking would revise paragraph (a)(1) by removing the reference to an extended time for compliance with exterior control line shearing requirements under the original WCR, which BSEE anticipates will have run and no longer warrant reference in the regulations by the time a final rule is promulgated. BSEE also proposes to remove the requirement to have an alternative cutting device used for shearing electric-, wire-, or slick-line if your blind shear rams are unable to cut and seal under maximum anticipated surface pressure (MASP). The alternative cutting device is no longer necessary because the currently commercially available shear rams have increased design capabilities, which are capable of shearing these types of lines. BSEE is aware of concerns regarding the removal of the alternative cutting device option. Therefore, BSEE is considering other options in the final rule, such as keeping the alternative cutting device provisions in the regulations or extending the compliance date to allow the use of the alternative cutting devices until a more appropriate date when the surface stack shear rams can be upgraded to shear electric-, wire-, or slick-line.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">A. <i>BSEE is specifically soliciting comments about the effectiveness of using an alternative cutting device and whether BSEE should continue to allow its use.</i></p>	<p>Industry does not concur with BSEE’s conclusion that the provisions for alternative cutting devices can be removed “because the currently commercially available shear rams ... are capable of shearing these types of lines.”</p> <p>While rigs utilizing wire-, electric-, slick-line do have a method for cutting these lines, we wish to clarify that BSEE’s statement is not completely accurate as the OEMs do not offer wireline cutting capability for all BOP sizes and rated working pressures currently utilized in the GOM.</p> <p>OEMs do currently offer wireline shear & seal Blind Shear Rams for a range of BOPs, predominately 18-3/4” bore sizes. However, utilizing an 18-3/4” bore BOP is not possible for all applications because of limitations and/or restrictions for weight, size, and configuration.</p> <p>Therefore, we propose that BSEE and Industry work together to discuss the available options and limitations of their use.</p> <p>Industry requests a minimum time period of 5 years from the original release of the WCR for design, testing, manufacture, and installation of the requested Blind Shear Rams for all known bore size and rated working</p>

	<p><i>B. Additionally, BSEE is also specifically soliciting comments on how long it would take for surface stack shear rams to be upgraded to shear electric-, wire-, or slick-line. Please provide reasons for your position and any applicable associated data.</i></p>	<p>pressure combinations that are available. Until these Rams are available, Industry will utilize the Alternative Cutting Device referenced in §250.733(a)(1).</p> <p>There are other cutting device solutions that will cut wireline/etc available. As the Cutting Device is part of a system based approach for the Drilling Operation, the regulatory requirement for the Blind Shear Ram and the BOP Stack itself to be the sole device capable of cutting the wireline/etc is restrictive of innovation related to the intent of this requirement.</p>
§250.734	<p><u>Requirements for Subsea BOP System - Centering:</u> BSEE believes that operators will continue to substitute new components for old ones to comply with the still-required increased shearing capability provisions of the original WCR. BSEE is aware of many technological advancements in shearing ram designs and capabilities. BSEE expects the shear rams to shear pipe or wire in any position within the wellbore; however, BSEE is specifically soliciting comments about the effectiveness of requiring shear rams to center pipe or wire while shearing, or requiring shear rams to have the capability to shear any pipe or wire in the hole without a separate centering mechanism. Another option BSEE is considering is retaining the centering mechanism requirements, but expressly providing that the shear rams with these capabilities satisfy the requirements.</p> <p><i>Please provide reasons for your position and any applicable associated data.</i></p>	<p>Industry agrees with the proposed rule change to remove the existing §§250.734(a)(16)(i) and (ii).</p> <p>Industry does not believe that that the WCR should provide prescriptive design requirements for the Shear Ram itself: The performance standards for such equipment are adequately addressed in API 16A 4th Edition, which should, along with its subsequent editions, serve as the basis for the agency’s regulations going forward.</p>
Section III	<p><u>Additional Comments Solicited – BOP Testing Frequency</u></p> <p><i>A. BSEE is requesting comments on whether the BOP testing interval should be 7 days, 14 days, or 21 days for all types of operations including drilling, completions, workovers, and decommissioning.</i></p>	<p>Propose:</p> <p><u>A: Testing Duration (7, 14, or 21 days)</u> Industry requests that BSEE align the proposed changes to the Well Control Rule with the 21 day testing interval</p>

<p><i>B. BSEE is also requesting comments on the specific cost and operational implications of each testing interval to further its consideration of the issue.</i></p> <p>The industry and BSEE currently rely on function and hydrostatic tests to verify the performance of BOP equipment in the field. These tests have traditionally been the primary method of verifying the capability of in-service equipment.</p> <p>In recent years, the industry has raised concerns related to the benefits of pressure and functional testing of subsea BOPs when compared to the costs and potential operational issues.</p> <p>BSEE requests comments on the adequacy of the current functional and pressure test requirements in predicting the performance of this equipment in subsequent drilling operations.</p> <p><i>C. Under what circumstances or environments should the testing frequency be increased or decreased?</i></p> <p>BSEE is aware of potential technologies that may improve the operability and reliability of BOP systems.</p> <p><i>D. Are there additional technologies, processes, or procedures that can be used to supplement existing requirements and provide additional assurances related to the performance of this equipment?</i></p> <p><i>Please provide supporting reasons and data for your responses.</i></p>	<p>outlined in API Standard 53 4th Edition (July 2016). This 21 day period has proven to provide assurance of a safe and reliable system without causing premature wear on the equipment. The existing 14 day regulation requirement results in an additional 53% of testing over a 12-month period with a corresponding increase in wear of seals and packers.</p> <p><u>B: Cost and Operation Implications</u> Previously submitted Joint Trades sponsored Economic Study remains valid for this issue.</p> <p><u>C: Circumstances or Environments based Frequency</u> Industry believes that the testing frequency of API Standard 53 4th Edition (July 2016) is the optimum requirement for typical worldwide operations.</p> <p><u>D: Technology, Processes, Procedures for Additional Assurance</u> The 21-day testing period of API Standard 53 (July 2016) aligns with the global practice and capabilities of the existing technology installed and utilized in the GOM.</p> <p>Industry and BSEE recognize that there are technologies that exist, or are in development, that can provide the operator, owner, and OEM with data regarding the equipment’s performance.</p> <p>The combination of existing technologies, API Standard 53 failure reporting, and the potential use of emerging technologies may lead to product and process improvements aiding reliability and the goal of further improved safety. As these technologies become more widely proven, Industry will continue to review the test</p>
---	---

		frequency requirement within future revisions of API Standard 53.
§250.414	BSEE request comment on replacing it with a more performance-based standard under which the approved safe drilling margin is established on a case-by-case basis for each well.	Industry welcomes the opportunity to propose a performance based standard for the establishment of appropriate safe drilling margins thru the well permitting process. Evaluation and analysis of industry data of wells drilled demonstrates that operators have safely planned and drilled sections of wells below the current default 0.5 ppg drilling margin.
§250.414	BSEE also request comment on potentially providing for a different drilling margin or multiple drilling margins that are specific to the conditions in which the wells are drilled, such as if the well is drilling in deep water or shallow water.	The industry has a good track record of using software hydraulic model to plan the working drilling margin required to drill a hole section, and while drilling, actively control downhole wellbore pressure to stay between the pore pressure and the expected shoe pressure integrity test or the lowest estimated fracture gradient. The hydraulic model considers factors such as cutting loads, fluid temperature and composition, drill string and wellbore configuration, drill string rotation speed and flowrates. The hydraulic model is also
§250.414	BSEE further request comment on whether removal of a specific reference to a 0.5 ppg standard from the regulation may be appropriate.	

§250.414	BSEE also request comment on the criteria that BSEE could use to apply alternative approaches, such as an operator demonstrating that a well is a development well as opposed to an exploratory well.	<p>calibrated to historically related run data. The use of real time downhole pressure while drilling (PWD) tools allows the operator to confirm that the models are correct before drilling out the casing. While drilling the hole section, drilling parameters are actively managed to keep the circulating and static mud density within the drilling margin planned. Since 2010, the available modeling software and computing resource to quickly build accurate models has improved significantly, therefore making the incorporation of this change an improvement in safety by incorporating the latest technology into the regulations.</p> <p>Industry proposes that a Drilling Margin Plan (example template attached) is submitted as part of the permitting process. This plan will outline the drilling margin required for each section based on engineering work using hydraulic models. Industry experience in managing drilling margin risk has demonstrated that the primary safety risk factors are presence of hydrocarbon, potential for flow, and the consequence of losses. A stable column of fluid is a primary well control barrier in drilling operations. Other risks are primarily economic in nature. This engineered approach, consistent with the requirements of the CFR, is applicable in shallow water or deepwater wells and exploration or development wells. There are reasonable situations where margins less than 0.5ppg can be considered safe when considering the full fluid system and the described risk factors. By adopting this approach, operators and BSEE can partner together to ensure the safe drilling margins considerate of all the hole-section specific risks are applied.</p>
----------	---	--

		<p>The operator will manage downhole pressures for each section within the approved drilling margin plan. Drilling can continue while the operator can manage downhole ECDs below the shoe pressure integrity test or the lowest estimated fracture gradient for the section. Equivalent downhole mud weight will be kept above the estimated pore pressure. District Manager approval is required if the approved plan cannot be maintained.</p>
§250.414	<p>BSEE request comment on what supplemental data would provide an adequate level of justification for deviating from the 0.5 ppg drilling margin under identified circumstances. Etc.....</p>	<p>As specified in comments submitted by industry in reference to §250.414 (c) and as shown on the accompanying spreadsheets, supplemental data could include, hydraulic modeling, related analog well data, seismic data, or risk modeling.</p>
§250.414	<p>BSEE also requests comment on whether there are situations where drilling can continue prior to receiving alternative safe drilling margin approval from BSEE.</p>	<p>Approval to drill ahead with unanticipated losses should be on a case-by-case basis and industry is not requesting departure from going to District Manager for approval. We are unable to identify any specific situations where this would apply, without prior approval when specified within the CDWOP and/or APD. Industry's response is based on the premise that an approval would already exist and the BSEE is seeking information on a situation where any prior approval does not already exist (i.e., contingency lost returns plans are not in place).</p>

<p>§250.414</p>	<p>BSEE request comment on</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) whether there are situations where, despite not being able to maintain the approved safe drilling margin, an operator continued drilling with an alternative drilling margin creates little risk. 2) the criteria that BSEE should use to define those situations and available alternative drilling margins. 3) what level of follow-up reporting (.....) would be appropriate. 	<p>Industry has provided comments and recommended text changes for §250.414(c). Industry members believe that should the BSEE accept the proposed text and accompanying spreadsheet details for the Well Control Rule, then, industry could work with the BSEE in further development of an audit process similar to that required for Cementing using the 65-2 document.</p>
<p>§250.414</p>	<p>BSEE is specifically soliciting comments about the effectiveness of the use of related analogous data and how the pore pressure and fracture gradient are determined without related analogous data. Please provide reasons for your position.</p>	<p>Pore pressure and fracture gradients are not determined on GoM wells without the use of some type of related analogous data such as well data, seismic data and/or other geological data. In addition, there are region specific overburden/pore pressure/fracture gradient models and standard work flows used in conjunction with seismic data for regions without any nearby well control. Operators are responsible for identifying the appropriate analogous data for each well and evaluating their applicability.</p>