Public Comments for ICR 202005-1117-002

Author Full Name: Errol Flannery Received Date: 06/17/2020 06:01 PM

Comments Received:

1. Chemical and Drug Theft/Loss Report Details, Number of Thefts and Losses in the past 24 months*

Inmar suggests that this field be removed as it has no practical utility for the proper performance of the functions of the agency. Further, Inmar believes that the removal of this field would minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond.

The information collected from this field can easily be obtained by aggregating the data collected. Additionally, collection in this manner requires the responder to keep track of the number of theft/loss reports for a rolling 24 months, creating additional burden on the responder and subjecting the responder to unnecessary risk of error.

2. Chemical and Drug Theft/Loss Report Details (Employee Theft (or Suspected), Customer Theft (or Non Employee), Loss in Transit), Pharmaceuticals or Merchandise Taken?

Inmar suggests that this field be removed from the listed Theft/Loss types as it has no practical utility for the proper performance of the functions of the agency related specifically to Losses in Transit.

This question is not relevant to Employee Theft (or Suspected), Customer Theft (or Non Employee) or Loss in Transit scenarios as the response will always be to the affirmative.

3. Chemical and Drug Theft/Loss Report Details (Hijacking of Transport Vehicle/Loss in Transit), Telephone Number of Common Carrier

Inmar suggests that this field be removed, and believes that this information is unnecessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency.

The information collected from this field is readily available online and is more likely to provide the agency with accurate and useful contact information.