

**Author Full Name :** Fred Trotter**Received Date :** 01/21/2022 03:40 PM**Comments Received :**

To start, please make it easier to comment.

There is no reason why the various documents should not have been available on the federal register, and no reason why commenting should have been disabled on the federalregister.gov site.

Also, we have been unable to find a way to comment from the regulations.gov site despite the fact that the federalregister indicates that this is the way to submit electronic comments.

Apparently, the only way to submit comments electronically is actually through the reginfo.gov website. Which is what the document here should have said: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2022/01/11/2022-00237/agency-information-collection-request-30-day-public-comment-request#addresses>

There is a certain point where a UX failure becomes so egregious that it serves to limit the portion of the public able and willing to figure out how to submit comments. It is inappropriate to deprive the majority of those who would comment from the ability to do so, and introduces a serious bias in the remaining commenters. It serves to ensure that the comments you receive are limited to those who are extremely motivated, which includes those who are obsessed with this issues (yours truly) or those who have a financial motivation. This bias in regulatory commenting does not serve the public well and ensures that critical feedback that you might need from designers, health IT professionals and those who are using the data outputs of this system and those who are responsible for filling out these forms are all under-represented.

Please fix this, at least for the next one.

--Fred Trotter

Editor CareSet Journal