

Division of Elementary and Secondary Education

Transforming Arkansas to lead the nation in student-focused education

Johnny Key Secretary

Dr. Ivy Pfeffer

Deputy Commissioner

Stacy SmithDeputy
Commissioner

State Board of Education

Ouida Newton
Poyen
Chair

Dr. Sarah Moore
Stuttgart
Vice Chair

Charisse Dean Little Rock

Dr. Fitz Hill *Little Rock*

Kathy McFetridge *Springdale*

Steve Sutton Marion

Adrienne Woods *Rogers*

Dr. Beth Anne Rankin Magnolia

Randy Henderson
Blytheville

April 28, 2022

PRA Coordinator of the Strategic Collections and Clearance Governance and Strategy Division

U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Avenue SW LBJ, Room 6W208B

Washington, DC 20202-8240

RE: Docket No. ED-2021- SCC-0159, Comments in Response to EDFacts Data Collection

School Years 2022-23, 2023-24, and 2024-25 (With 2021-22 Continuation)

Division of Elementary and Secondary Education, Office of Special Education appreciates the opportunity to comment on potential changes in the EDFacts data collection. We fully support the collection and use of high-quality data to improve outcomes for the nation's children and youth, particularly those with disabilities.

Arkansas' specific comments follow:

Special Education Directed Question 1: IDEA Personnel Data.

We are in support of the change to personnel reporting to align with the Part B Child Count and Educational Environments data age groups.

Special Education Directed Question 2: MOE and CEIS Data.

Arkansas appreciate the one-year delay in this data collection and the elimination of data collection by age group. More clarification is needed on the reporting of students with and without disabilities. Will the reporting of students who received CCEIS address disability entry status or the end of year status? Since some students may have been non-disabled upon entry and later placed into special education services while maintaining CCEIS services. The answer would determine how a state collects the disability status information.

Special Education Directed Question 3: IDEA State Supplemental Survey.

Arkansas appreciate that the IDEA SSS metadata survey will remain as a single survey, and that the significant disproportionality question will be removed.

Assessments Directed Question 25: IDEA by major racial/ethnic groups and by disability category at the State and LEA levels. What impacts are anticipated with reporting these data to ED?

Arkansas appreciates that the collection of assessment data by disability category has been dropped from the proposal. Arkansas already collects race/ethnicity within the assessment data; therefore, the addition to the file specifications would not be an excess burden.

Assessments Directed Question 26: Assessment Metadata collection.

At this time, there are no known issues responding to the assessment metadata questions.