Form approval date:

OMB Control Number: 0920-1282

Expiration date: 1/31/2023

CDC Global COVID-19

Supplemental Funding Monitoring and Evaluation

Program Implementation Indicator Reference Sheet for Implementing Partners

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; Attn: OMB-PRA (0920-1282).

Table of Contents

Purpose	13
Format	13
Summary of Changes	13
Table 1. Summary of Changes Table	14
SECTION 1: INFECTION PREVENTION AND CONTROL (IPC)	20
Key Performance Indicators	20
KPI #1.1.3: Number of healthcare facilities participating in CDC-supported healthcare detection and response networ	ks20
KPI #1.3.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for conducting HCW screening, and work policies and procedures for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs	
1.1 Cross-cutting IPC Indicator	21
Indicator #1.1.1: Proportion of facilities that have an IPC focal person in place	21
Indicator #1.1.2: Proportion of healthcare facilities providing essential services that implemented guideline-based IPC improvements	
KPI #1.1.3: Number of healthcare facilities participating in CDC-supported healthcare detection and response network	(s21
1.2 Patient Screening and Triage	22
Indicator #1.2.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for screening and triage	22
Indicator #1.2.2: Proportion of facilities that received training and educational materials on screening and triage	22
Indicator #1.2.3: Proportion of facilities that have designated staff to screen and triage patients	22
Indicator #1.2.4: Proportion of facilities that have designated space for screening and triage	23
Indicator #1.2.5: Proportion of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to screen and triage patient	s 23
Indicator #1.2.6: Proportion of facilities that have begun patient screening and triage	23
1.3 Healthcare Worker (HCW) Screening	24
KPI #1.3.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for conducting HCW screening, and l work policies and procedures for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs	
Indicator #1.3.2: Proportion of facilities that received training and educational materials on HCW screening and leave procedures	
Indicator #1.3.3: Proportion of facilities that have designated staff to conduct and monitor HCW screening (i.e., passipassive, and/or active)	•
Indicator #1.3.4: Proportion of facilities that have designated space for active HCW screening	25
Indicator #1.3.5: Proportion of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to conduct and monitor HCV (i.e., passive, enhanced passive, and/or active)	
Indicator #1.3.6: Proportion of facilities that have begun HCW screening	26
1.4 Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting	27
Indicator #1.4.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for inpatient isolation and column suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients	
Indicator #1.4.2: Proportion of facilities that received training and educational materials on inpatient isolation and co	horting 27
Indicator #1.4.3: Proportion of facilities that have staffing plans in place for COVID-19 treatment and isolation units	27

Indicator #1.4.4: Proportion of facilities that have designated space to isolate and cohort suspected and confirmed (patients	
Indicator #1.4.5: Proportion of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to isolate and cohort suspection confirmed COVID-19 patients	
Indicator #1.4.6: Proportion of facilities that have begun to isolate or cohort suspected and confirmed COVID-19 pat	tients 28
SECTION 2: BORDER HEALTH	29
Key Performance Indicators	29
KPI #2.1.3: Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months	
KPI #2.1.9: Proportion of POE that can demonstrate capacity for identification, notification, assessment, and referra traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year	
KPI #2.5.4: Number of priority geographic areas in which data on population mobility patterns has been collected in months	
KPI #2.5.5: Proportion of public health emergency responses that utilized population mobility pattern data to inform interventions and/or public health emergency responses (i.e., identified POE for capacity building, identified HCF for surveillance) within the last six months	strengthened
KPI #2.6.1: Proportion of neighboring countries included in formalized agreements and procedures for public health sharing with neighboring countries	
2.1 POE General Capacity	32
Indicator #2.1.1: Proportion of POE with multisectoral SOPs in place for identification (signs and symptoms), notifications, including for COVID-19, among travelers	
Indicator #2.1.2: Among those with SOPs above: Proportion of POE with health- and non-health staff trained on SOP identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the months	ne last six
KPI #2.1.3: Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months	
Indicator #2.1.4: Proportion of POE non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notifications, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months	
Indicator #2.1.5: Number of POE that can demonstrate capacity for coordinated response in identification, notification and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year	·
Indicator #2.1.6: Number of POE that have conducted AARs following a simulated or real-life event and implemente actions to address gaps identified	
Indicator #2.1.7: Proportion of non-health POE personnel who have been trained on approved procedures and guidenforcing public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across internation	
Indicator #2.1.8: Proportion of POE where staff have been trained on approved procedures in enforcing public healt applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders	_
KPI #2.1.9 : Proportion of POE that can demonstrate capacity for identification, notification, assessment, and referra traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year	
2.2 POE Infrastructure	36
Indicator #2.2.1: Proportion of POE that have identified areas to isolate ill travelers for assessment and while waiting a healthcare facility	-
Indicator #2.2.2: Proportion of POE with sufficient equipment (e.g., PPE, thermometers, forms, job-aids, handwashin decontamination and disinfection supplies) or the supply chain to receive sufficient equipment to identify, notify, are communicable disease illness among travelers for one month	nd respond to
2.3 POF IPC	37

Indicator #2.3.1: Proportion of POE that implement personal protective measures (e.g., handwashing, wead distancing) for staff and travelers according to developed SOPs	
Indicator #2.3.2: Proportion of POE that are routinely cleaning and disinfecting surfaces for SARS-CoV-2	37
2.4 POE Risk Communication	38
Indicator #2.4.1: Proportion of POE disseminating risk communication materials tailored for travelers in approximately approxima	ppropriate languages 3
Indicator #2.4.2: Proportion of POE with staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within	the last six months 3
Indicator #2.4.3: Proportion of POE staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the la	ast six months39
2.5 Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations	40
Indicator #2.5.1: Number of staff trained on collecting data on population mobility patterns in the last six	months40
Indicator #2.5.2: Number of staff trained on analyzing and summarizing data collected on population mob	• •
Indicator #2.5.3: Number of key informant interviews (KII) or focus group discussions (FGD) conducted to mobility patterns in the last six months	
KPI #2.5.4: Number of priority geographic areas in which data on population mobility patterns has been comonths	
KPI #2.5.5 : Proportion of public health emergency responses that utilized population mobility pattern data interventions and/or public health emergency responses (i.e., identified POE for capacity building, identified surveillance) within the last six months	d HCF for strengthened
Indicator #2.5.6: There are protocols and/or standard operating procedures that govern use of border heat systems	
Indicator #2.5.7: Proportion of POEs with border health personnel trained on the use of established borde information systems according to established SOPs	
Indicator #2.5.8: Proportion of POEs with border health personnel who demonstrate use of established be information systems according to established SOPs	
2.6 Cross-border Coordination	44
KPI #2.6.1: Proportion of neighboring countries included in formalized agreements and procedures for pubsisharing with neighboring countries	
Indicator #2.6.2: Proportion of subnational administrative levels that have shared contact information wit within the last six months	
Indicator #2.6.3: Proportion of subnational administrative levels routinely sharing public health informatic countries within the last six months	
Indicator #2.6.4: Number of cross-border meetings at subnational administrative level to support operational and procedures within the last six months	_
2.7 BH Operational and Legal Frameworks	45
Indicator #2.7.1: Support was provided to develop an operational plan that defines the roles and responsi border health authority	
Indicator #2.7.2: Proportion of identified border health staff roles with finalized position descriptions at na POE levels	
Indicator #2.7.3: Does the country have an established plan for their border health personnel training pro border health officers can competently conduct public health operations within their jurisdiction?	_
Indicator #2.7.4: National authorities have developed procedures and guidance describing how public heat to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders will be enforced and have all applicable agencies, including at subnational- and point of entry-levels	ve disseminated them to

regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders	• .
SECTION 3: COMMUNITY MITIGATION	48
Key Performance Indicators	48
KPI #3.2.5: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess community feedbasessential mitigation practices	
KPI #3.2.6: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess healthcare feedba essential mitigation practices	
KPI #3.4.18: Key mitigation activities were implemented during the reporting period to meet the needs of spec	cific populations 49
KPI #3.4.19: Proportion of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been imposeparately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare facilities)	` .
3.1 Communication	50
Indicator #3.1.4a: Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications developed that focus on: faith prisons, community isolation centers (CICs), schools, displaced persons and migrants, healthcare workers, elde	erly, or other settings
Indicator #3.1.4b Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications disseminated that focus on: fai prisons, community isolation centers (CICs), schools, displaced persons and migrants, healthcare workers, elde	erly, or other settings
3.2 Country Operations Support	51
Indicator #3.2.3: Number of risk mitigation strategies that have been implemented that are tailored to the nee	•
Indicator #3.2.4: Number of users for COVID-19 hotline	51
KPI #3.2.5: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess community feedbacessential mitigation practices	
KPI #3.2.6: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess healthcare feedbac essential mitigation practices	
Indicator #3.2.7: Proportion of frontline workers that had an increase in awareness and knowledge to plan and Communications and Community Engagement (RCCE) interventions at various levels as determined by pre- an assessment	d post- test
3.3 Clinical Mitigation	52
Indicator #3.3.1: Number of technical assistance interactions on telehealth provided to country	52
Indicator #3.3.2: Percent of households reached for home-based care	52
Indicator #3.3.3: Number of people receiving home-based care monitoring	52
Indicator #3.3.4: Percent of home-based care patients who received pulse oximetry	52
Indicator #3.3.5: Proportion of home-based care patients with pulse oximetry requiring referral to higher level	
Indicator #3.3.6: Proportion of COVID-19 patients in the catchment area receiving home-based care who are fundamental months after the resolution of their COVID-19 related illness	
3.4 Community Mitigation	54
Indicator #3.4.1: Number of hand hygiene stations installed (reported separately at communal points, schools institutions, and healthcare settings)	
Indicator #3.4.2: Proportion of hand hygiene stations that are fully functional (reported separately at commun other institutions, and healthcare settings)	•

points, schools and institutions, and healthcare settings)	,
Indicator #3.4.4: Number of sanitation facilities installed or improved (reported separately at communal possible schools/institutions, and healthcare settings)	
Indicator #3.4.5: Number of sanitation facilities that are actively managed (reported separately at commun schools/institutions, and healthcare settings)	
Indicator #3.4.6: Number of water points/water systems installed and/or improved (reported separately fo schools/institutions, and healthcare settings)	
Indicator #3.4.7: Number of liters of locally produced alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) produced	56
Indicator #3.4.8: Number of liters of locally produced alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) distributed	56
Indicator #3.4.9: Number of community-level handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted	57
Indicator #3.4.10: Estimated number of persons reached with community-level handwashing and hygiene	messaging .57
Indicator #3.4.11: Number of hygiene kits distributed	57
Indicator #3.4.12: Number of households receiving hygiene kit(s)	57
Indicator #3.4.13: Number of handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted at the household le	evel58
Indicator #3.4.14: Percent of staff trained that are active in case investigation or contact tracing during rep	orting period58
Indicator #3.4.15: Number of community sensitization/education meetings or advocacy products (e.g., rad text messaging campaigns, etc.) held or disseminated for contact tracing	
Indicator #3.4.16: Number of periodic (daily or weekly) situational reports that include case investigation a indicator data	<u> </u>
Indicator #3.4.17: Percent of the following indicators reported on in situational report out of: 1)	59
KPI #3.4.19: Proportion of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been in separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare facilities)	
3.5 Policy	60
Indicator #3.5.1: Proportion of facilities utilizing multi-month (3 months or more) dispensing (MMD) of pre	scriptions60
Indicator #3.5.2: Number of patients receiving 3 months or more of medication	60
Indicator #3.5.3: Proportion of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB preventative	treatment (TPT) 60
Indicator #3.5.4: Proportion of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB Treatment	61
Indicator #3.5.5: Proportion of facilities implementing bi-directional TB and COVID-19 screening	61
Indicator #3.5.6: Proportion of facilities that have standardized algorithm and SOPs established for bi-direc COVID-19 and TB in relevant clinical settings	_
Indicator #3.5.7: Proportion of facilities that have conducted training on algorithms and SOPs for bi-direction 19 and TB	
SECTION 4: EMERGENCY OPERATIONS AND RESPONSE	63
Key Performance Indicators	63
KPI #4.1.5: Number of CDC trained PHEM fellows currently being utilized in country	63
KPI #4.1.18: Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coord trained/supported by the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivale function for the COVID-19 response	nt) and served in this
KPI #4.1.19: The country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., PHEOC or country equivalent) has an emergillar/group	
4.1 Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity	65

Indicator #4.1.1: Number of workshops/trainings on EMSI, RRT management and responder readiness, RCCE, and/or PH	HEM 65
Indicator #4.1.2: Number of participants trained in emergency management systems integration6	5
Indicator #4.1.3: Number of multidisciplinary participants trained for COVID-19 rapid response teams6	5
Indicator #4.1.4: Number of participants trained in RCCE6	6
KPI #4.1.5: Number of CDC trained PHEM fellows currently being utilized in country6	6
Indicator #4.1.6: Number of policies, plans, processes, and SOPs established for EMSI, RRT, RCCE, and/or PHEM6	6
Indicator #4.1.7: Number of COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supported by their NPH equivalent6	,
Indicator #4.1.8: Number of participants in COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supporte NPHI or country equivalent6	•
Indicator #4.1.9: COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan has been developed at the country level with support country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent)6	
Indicator #4.1.10: Country has capacity for EOC activation within 48 hours of detection of a public health event6	7
Indicator #4.1.11: Country has capacity for RRT deployment within 48 hours of detection of a public health event6	8
Indicator #4.1.12: A national RCCE strategy and operations plan has been established or updated and approved6	8
Indicator #4.1.13: A national RCCE training package has been established and approved in the last 6 months6	9
Indicator #4.1.14: A national RCCE training package has been implemented within 6 months of approval6	9
Indicator #4.1.15: Number of public health leaders, government officials, or media spokespersons trained in RCCE7	0
Indicator #4.1.16 : Percent change in awareness and knowledge of public health leaders and community, government of spokespersons to plan and implement RCCE interventions as determined by pre-and post-test assessment	
Indicator #4.1.17: Number of strategic behavior change/risk communication messages and/or products developed for t population(s)7	-
KPI #4.1.18 : Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coordination roles to trained/supported by the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent) and served function for the COVID-19 response7	l in this
KPI #4.1.19: The country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., PHEOC or country equivalent) has an emergency RCCE conception in the country of the country	
4.2 Strengthening of International Emergency Operations	2
Indicator #4.2.1: Number of emergency operation centers (EOCs) established and/or strengthened with the associated within national public health institutes and at subnational levels	
Indicator #4.2.2: Does the partner provide support for recovery operations planning and implementation?7	2
Indicator #4.2.3: COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan has been implemented at the country level with support country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent)7	
Indicator #4.2.4: Number of new subnational PHEOCs operational for COVID-197	3
Indicator #4.2.5: A national RCCE strategy and operations plan has been used and/or tested in an exercise or response response stakeholders within the first year after being approved	•
4.3 Multilateral Emergency Response Support	4
Indicator #4.3.1: Number of information sharing systems or mechanisms established within national public health institutes/Ministry of Health and among key stakeholders	4
Indicator #4.3.2: Number of platforms developed for integrated data sharing at the national and subnational levels7	4
SECTION 5: LABORATORY DIAGNOSTICS	5
Kay Parformance Indicators	5

KPI #5.1.3: Number of participants trained by training area for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecuri	ty75
KPI #5.3.9: Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] financially so completed under appropriate storage and transport conditions	
5.1 Laboratory Training and Technical Assistance	76
Indicator #5.1.1: Number of training tools developed for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, and biosecurity	<i>y</i> 76
Indicator #5.1.2: Number of training of trainer (TOT) sessions held by training for testing, biosafety, laborator	
KPI #5.1.3: Number of participants trained by training area for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecuri	ty76
Indicator #5.1.4: Number of supported sites that received non-training related technical assistance	77
Indicator #5.1.5: Number of staff documented as receiving laboratory training with certificate of completion laboratory quality, biosecurity	
Indicator #5.1.6: Number of staff certified as competent in testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity	77
5.2 Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance	78
Indicator #5.2.1: Number of rounds of proficiency testing performed for supported sites conducting COVID-1 three areas: molecular, antibody, and/or antigen	
Indicator #5.2.3: Number of supported sites participating in External Quality Assurance Programs (EQAP)	78
Indicator #5.2.4: Number of supported sites with laboratory staff participating in approved PT programs	78
Indicator #5.2.5: Number of supported sites requesting QA/QC technical assistance	79
Indicator #5.2.6: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved successful/passing score	79
Indicator #5.2.7: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved a score of 100%	79
Indicator #5.2.8: Number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and did not achieve a successful result the panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative)	
Indicator #5.2.9: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP and/or approved PT programs and did not result that documented what corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results	
Indicator #5.2.10: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved less than 100% score in mo consecutive round	
Indicator #5.2.12: Number of supported sites participating in approved PT programs that achieved a score of	100%80
Indicator #5.2.14: Number of supported sites that participated in approved PT and did not achieve a successf sample in the PT panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative) that documented what corrective was, taken to address unsuccessful results	e action would be, or
Indicator #5.2.16: Number of supported sites using protocols authorized for US FDA EUA and/or WHO EUL	81
Indicator #5.2.17: Number of supported sites using protocols not authorized for US FDA EUA and/or WHO EU	JL81
Indicator #5.2.18: Number of supported sites that have COVID-19 related testing in their QMS	82
Indicator #5.2.19: Number of supported sites that are tracking quality indicators associated with SARS CoV-2	testing82
Indicator #5.2.20: Number of supported sites that have COVID-19 bio-risk management policies, physical seculiarists biological specimen inventories	, , , , ,
Indicator #5.2.21: Number of supported SARS-CoV-2 testing sites performing biological risk assessments for a tests	
Indicator #5.2.22: Have you supported laboratories in the supported country to implement routine specimen transport networks with defined and tracked turnaround time targets?	
Indicator #5.2.23: Number of laboratories that have on-site evidence of technical and biosafety standard ope specific to COVID-19 testing	

	Indicator #5.2.24: Number of data or digital systems developed or maintained	84
	Indicator #5.2.25: Number of laboratory staff that participated in SARS-CoV-2 proficiency testing (PT)	84
	Indicator #5.2.26: Number of laboratory staff participating in approved PT programs that achieved successful/	passing score 84
	Indicator #5.2.27: Number of laboratory staff that participated in approved PT programs and did not achieve a score	
	Indicator #5.2.28: Number of test kits validated for SARS-CoV-2	85
Ē	5.3 Laboratory Procurement	86
	Indicator #5.3.1: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] submitted needing cost estimate c	reation86
	Indicator #5.3.2: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] requested that had cost estimates	provided86
	Indicator #5.3.3: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] with cost estimates finalized	87
	Indicator #5.3.4: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] committed	87
	Indicator #5.3.5: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] procured	88
	Indicator #5.3.6: Number of POE deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] completed	88
	Indicator #5.3.7: Number of POE deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] completed under approp storage conditions	
	Indicator #5.3.8: Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] financial completed	
	KPI #5.3.9: Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] financially suppunder appropriate storage and transport conditions	
	Indicator #5.3.10: Number of consignees that received financial and/or logistics support for procurement of lab	, -
	Indicator #5.3.11: Number of laboratory supply orders that have reconciliation reports completed	90
	Indicator #5.3.12: Number of laboratories that received COVID-19 diagnostic test kits that met transport and st and were delivered within projected delivery timelines	
SEC	TION 6: Surveillance and Epidemiology	91
ŀ	Key Performance Indicators	91
	KPI #6.1.1: Is SARS-CoV-2 testing incorporated into existing ILI, SARI or other respiratory disease sentinel survei subnational level], including extension of surveillance and testing to seasons during which respiratory disease s typically done or influenza virus is not known to circulate?	urveillance is not
	KPI #6.1.7: Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance	91
	KPI #6.2.2a: Number of participants in trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVIE contact tracing	
	KPI #6.2.2b: Number of participants in trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 contact tracing	
	KPI #6.3.2: Country received CDC implementing partner technical assistance for planning, implementation, or e 19 epidemiology and surveillance activities	
6	5.1 SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms	93
	KPI #6.1.1: Is SARS-CoV-2 testing incorporated into existing ILI, SARI or other respiratory disease sentinel surveill subnational level], including extension of surveillance and testing to seasons during which respiratory disease su typically done or influenza virus is not known to circulate?	ırveillance is not
	Indicator #6.1.2: Is technical assistance being provided to [national or subnational staff] on routinely reporting virologic data on COVID-19 collected through sentinel surveillance (ILI, SARI, ARI) and/or non-sentinel surveillar	

Indicator #6.1.3: Extent to which technical assistance has been provided to country for weekly surveillance reporting number of new confirmed cases, deaths, and hospitalizations, including in HCWs, disaggregated by age, sex, and generally approximately a	eographic region
KPI #6.1.7: Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance	
Indicator #6.1.8: Country includes COVID-19 case data in their routine, national disease reporting systems	93
Indicator #6.1.9: Country includes COVID-19 case data in their national weekly and/or monthly epidemiology-surve	
Indicator #6.1.10: Proportion of labs supported/funded by the projects that are connected to a LIMS with a COVID-	-19 module 94
Indicator #6.1.11: Country is implementing COVID-19 surveillance program evaluations	94
Indicator #6.1.12: Country is planning or implementing seroprevalence studies	94
Indicator #6.1.13: Country has complete COVID-19 surveillance reporting	94
6.2 Surveillance-related Trainings	95
Indicator #6.2.1a: Number of trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveilla tracing	
Indicator #6.2.1b: Number of trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance tracing	
KPI #6.2.2a: Number of participants of trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 contact tracing	
KPI #6.2.2b: Number of participants of trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 sur contact tracing	
Indicator #6.2.3: Percent of all targeted geographic areas with at least one trained personnel to improve COVID-19 contact tracing	
Indicator #6.2.4: Percent of subnational levels that report COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing data	96
Indicator #6.2.5: Number of trainings held on data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated respirate surveillance	•
Indicator #6.2.6: Number of training modules developed to improve COVID-19 surveillance including data manager epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory disease surveillance	
Indicator #6.2.7: Number of participants completing training modules/programs to improve COVID-19 surveillance management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory disease surveillance	•
Indicator #6.2.8a: Number of national administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, Conhealth information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, contact visualization of chains of transmission	tracing, and
Indicator #6.2.8b: Number of subnational administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, co and visualization of chains of transmission	ontact tracing,
Indicator #6.2.9: Number of staff (MOH and other organizations) at local and national level dedicated to supporting surveillance data and activities	
5.3 Surveillance-related Activities	99
Indicator # 6.3.1: Proportion of sewage samples tested positive for SARS-CoV-2	99
KPI #6.3.2: Country received CDC implementing partner technical assistance for planning, implementation, or evaluation and surveillance activities	
5.4 One Health	100
Indicator #6.4.1: Proportion of One Health case results reported to relevant One Health sectors	100
Indicator #6.4.2: Number of people per sector attending One Health trainings	100

101 es 101
es101
101
101
101
102
VID-19 102
102
102
nes103
ne 103
103
103
104
104
104
105
106
106
106
106
107
107
support 107
107
108
nes 108
9 vaccines 108
109
COVID-19 109
OVID-19 109
) surveys and 109
110

Indicator #7.3.5: Support was provided to establish a program to address caregivers concerns about vaccina COVID-19 vaccines)	
Indicator #7.3.6: Technical assistance was provided on the development of messaging and communication raccines (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)	
Indicator #7.3.7: Technical assistance was provided on the implementation of Knowledge, Attitude, and Pra qualitative studies for vaccination (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)	
Indicator #7.3.8: Support was provided to conduct infodemic management-related activities (not specific to	•
Indicator #7.3.9: Number of people reached through mass media and social media with COVID-19 vaccine-r	0 0
7.4 Vaccine Safety/Field Investigations for AEFI	113
Indicator #7.4.1: Technical assistance was provided on development of a Vaccine Related Event (VRE) Responses	
Indicator #7.4.2: Technical assistance was provided on Vaccine Safety surveillance system strengthening (pasurveillance, or causality assessment) for COVID-19 vaccines	
Indicator #7.4.3: Technical assistance was provided for investigations of AEFI cases or clusters regarding CO	
Indicator #7.4.4: Technical assistance was provided on Vaccine Safety passive surveillance system strengthe events (not including COVID-19 vaccines)	_
Indicator #7.4.5: Proportion of adverse events following immunization (AEFI) reports reviewed by the approbables with CDC support among those submitted to country monitoring systems	
7.5 Data Management & Use	115
Indicator #7.5.1: Technical assistance was provided for data management and use related to workforce devergeparation for the administration of COVID-19 vaccines	
Indicator #7.5.2: Technical assistance was provided for data management and use related to workforce develope the national EPI program (not specific to COVID-19 vaccination)	
7.6 Workforce Development & Training	116
Indicator #7.6.1: Technical assistance was provided for workforce development & training in preparation for COVID-19 vaccines	
Indicator #7.6.2: Technical assistance was provided for workforce development & training for the national E to COVID-19 vaccination)	
Indicator #7.6.3: Number of staff and volunteers trained on COVID-19 vaccine-related topics	116
Indicator #7.6.4: Number of staff and volunteers who are remunerated by CDC to support workload require delivery in the reporting period	
7.7 Program Evaluation	118
Indicator #7.7.1: Technical assistance was provided to conduct evaluations of COVID-19 vaccination program	ns118
Indicator #7.7.2: Technical assistance was provided to conduct evaluations of essential immunization progracampaigns (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)	
SECTION 8: Field Epidemiology Training Programs (FETP)	119
Indicator #8.1.1: Number of FETP trainees and graduates accessing curriculum that is adapted to integrate ecompetencies	
Indicator #8.1.2: Number of individuals accessing online training related to FETP core content, mentor train materials	
Indicator #8.1.3: Number of FETP trainees who are deployed, rostered, or both to support COVID-19 respon	nse activities 120

OVERVIEW

Purpose

The Indicator Reference Sheet serves as a master list of all global COVID-19 Implementing Partner indicators. Partners should select and report only on the indicators representing specific activities for which they received funding from a COVID-19 appropriation (i.e., COVID-19 supplemental funding as part of the Coronavirus Preparedness Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act 2020 or CARES). Partners are not required to report on all the indicators within their relevant technical area(s).

New to this menu are 25 additional Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), which are noted in the indicator menu. Implementing partners are required to report on all KPIs that align with associated funded activities.

Format

Each indicator description includes the following information to help guide reporting on the indicator:

- Priority Technical Area: This field is used to describe the technical area the indicator corresponds to.
- Theme: This field is used to describe themes or sub-components within the Priority Technical Area.
- **Sub-Theme/Domain:** This field is used to describe 'sub-themes' or 'domains' within the Theme. Note that in many cases, no sub-theme has been included for the indicator. A limited number of indicators also provide further group/categorization into domains.
- **Numerator/Count:** This field describes the numerator or count for the indicator, as applicable. If response is not numerical, Yes/No response is noted.
- **Denominator**: This field describes the denominator for indicators that are reporting on proportion/percentages. Not applicable if indicator is not a percentage/proportion or the indicator requires a Yes/No response.
- Additional Guidance: This field provides further description or definitions of required elements in the indicator. Partners should review carefully to ensure complete and accurate reporting against the indicator.

Summary of Changes

During previous rounds of reporting, Implementing Partners and Project Officers provided feedback on existing indicators and provided suggestions for new indicators that better fit their scope of work. Feedback and recommendations were then validated with CDC subject matter experts (SMEs), and then indicators were clarified, added, and/or removed. Below is a description of the types of changes made for this round of reporting with a table documenting changes made to indicators.

Types of Changes Made

- **1. Modification of guidance:** Guidance for the indicator was updated in the Indicator Reference Sheet to improve the definition for the indicator.
- 2. Retired indicator: Indicator was retired and will no longer be used for this reporting period.
- **3. New indicator:** Indicator was added to the menu as a result of requests from Implementing Partners and Project Officers after validation by SMEs.
- **4. Modified indicator:** Indicator language was updated to better reflect the existing definition. The meaning of the indicator and the data it is meant to capture is unchanged.

Table 1. Summary of Changes Table

Change Type	Technical Area	Indicator ID	Indicator
Key Performance	IPC	1.1.3	Number of healthcare facilities participating in CDC-supported healthcare detection and response networks
Indicators	IPC	1.3.1	Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for conducting HCW screening, and leave from work policies and procedures for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs
	Border Health	2.1.3	Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months
		2.1.9	Proportion of POE that can demonstrate capacity for identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year
		2.5.4	Number of priority geographic areas in which data on population mobility patterns has been collected in the last six months
		2.5.5	Proportion of public health emergency responses that utilized population mobility pattern data to inform public health interventions and/or public health emergency responses (i.e., identified POE for capacity building, identified HCF for strengthened surveillance) within the last six months
		2.6.1	Proportion of neighboring countries included in formalized agreements and procedures for public health information sharing with neighboring countries
	Community Mitigation	3.2.5	Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess community feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices
		3.2.6	Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess healthcare feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices
		3.4.18	Key mitigation activities were implemented during the reporting period to meet the needs of specific populations
		3.4.19	Proportion of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been implemented (reported separated at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare facilities)
		4.1.5	Number of CDC trained PHEM fellows currently being utilized in country
	Emergency Operations and Response	4.1.18	Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coordination roles that were trained/supported by the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent) and served in this function for the COVID-19 response
		4.1.19	The country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., PHEOC or country equivalent) has an emergency RCCE coordination pillar/group
	Laboratory	5.1.3	Number of participants trained by training area for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity
	Diagnostics	5.3.9	Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for (order type) financially supported and completed under appropriate storage and transport conditions
	Surveillance and Epidemiology	6.1.1	Is SARS-CoV-2 testing incorporated into existing ILI, SARI or other respiratory disease sentinel surveillance [at national or subnational level], including extension of surveillance and testing to seasons during which respiratory disease surveillance is not typically done or influenza virus is not known to circulate?
		6.1.7	Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance

		6.2.2a	Number of participants of trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing
		6.2.2b	Number of participants of trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing
		6.3.2	Country received CDC implementing partner technical assistance for planning, implementation, or evaluation of COVID-19 epidemiology and surveillance activities
		7.1.13	Proportion of COVID-19 vaccine doses received that were delivered to CDC-
		7.1.14	supported healthcare facilities Did you administer the COVID-19 vaccine?
	Vaccines	7.1.14	Number of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered
		7.1.15	Number of trainings provided on planning, implementation, and monitoring
		7.1.10	COVID-19 immunization
New Indicators			Proportion of healthcare facilities providing essential services that implemented
ive w maleators	Infection	1.1.2	guideline-based IPC improvements
	Prevention and		Number of healthcare facilities participating in CDC-supported healthcare
	Control	1.1.3	detection and response networks
			Proportion of non-health POE personnel who have been trained on approved
		2.1.7	procedures and guidance in enforcing public health regulations applicable to points
			of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders.
			Proportion of POE where staff have been trained on approved procedures in
		2.1.8	enforcing public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the
			transport of goods across international borders.
		2.1.9	Proportion of POE that can demonstrate capacity for identification, notification,
			assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to
			simulated or real-life events in the last year
		2.5.6	There are protocols and/or standard operating procedures that govern use of
			border health data and information systems.
			Proportion of POEs with border health personnel trained on the use of established
	_		border health data and information systems according to established SOPs
			Proportion of POEs with border health personnel who demonstrate use of
	Border Health	2.5.8	established border health data and information systems according to established
			SOPs
		2.7.1	Support was provided to develop an operational plan that defines the roles and responsibilities of the country's border health authority
			Proportion of identified border health staff roles with finalized position
		2.7.2	descriptions at national, subnational, and POE levels.
			Does the country have an established plan for their border health personnel
		2.7.3	training program to ensure that all border health officers can competently conduct
			public health operations within their jurisdiction?
			National authorities have developed procedures and guidance describing how
		274	public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of
		2.7.4	goods across international borders will be enforced and have disseminated them
			to all applicable agencies, including at subnational- and point of entry-levels.
		2.7.5	Proportion of border health personnel who have been trained on approved
			procedures in enforcing public health regulations applicable to points of entry,
			travel, and the transport of goods across international borders.
	Community	3.2.5	Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess
	Mitigation	۵.۷.	community feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices.

T	1	
	3.2.6	Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess healthcare feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices.
	3.2.7	Proportion of frontline workers that had an increase in awareness and knowledge to plan and implement Risk Communications and Community Engagement (RCCE) interventions at various levels as determined by pre- and post- test assessment
	3.3.6	Proportion of COVID-19 patients in the catchment area receiving home-based care who are followed for six months after the resolution of their COVID-19 related illness.
	3.4.18	Key mitigation activities were implemented during the reporting period to meet the needs of specific populations.
	3.4.19	Proportion of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been implemented (reported separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare facilities)
	3.5.3	Proportion of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB preventive treatment (TPT)
	3.5.4	Proportion of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB Treatment.
	3.5.5	Proportion of facilities implementing bi-directional TB and COVID-19 screening.
	3.5.6	Proportion of facilities that have standardized algorithm and SOPs established for bi-directional screening for COVID-19 and TB in relevant clinical settings.
	3.5.7	Proportion of facilities that have conducted training on algorithms and SOPs for bi- directional screening for COVID-19 and TB.
	4.1.7	Number of COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supported by their NPHI or country equivalent.
	4.1.8	Number of participants in COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supported by their NPHI or country equivalent.
	4.1.9	COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan has been developed at the country level with support of the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent).
	4.1.10	Country has capacity for EOC activation within 48 hours of detection of a public health event.
	4.1.11	Country has capacity for RRT deployment within 48 hours of detection of a public health event.
Emergency	4.1.12	A national RCCE strategy and operations plan has been established or updated and approved.
Response	4.1.13	A national RCCE training package has been established and approved in the last 6 months.
	4.1.14	A national RCCE training package has been implemented within 6 months of approval.
	4.1.15	Number of public health leaders, government officials, or media spokespersons trained in RCCE.
	4.1.16	Mean change in awareness and knowledge of public health leaders and community, government officials, or spokespersons to plan and implement RCCE interventions as determined by pre-and post-test assessment.
	4.1.17	Number of strategic behavior change/risk communication messages and/or products developed for target population(s).
	4.1.18	Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coordination roles that were trained/supported by the country's

		emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent) and
		served in this function for the COVID-19 response.
	4.1.19	The country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., PHEOC or country equivalent) has an emergency RCCE coordination pillar/group
	4.2.3	COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan has been implemented at the country level with support of the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent).
	4.2.4	Number of new subnational PHEOCs operational for COVID-19
	4.2.5	A national RCCE strategy and operations plan has been used and/or tested in an exercise or response with key response stakeholders within the first year after being approved.
Laboratory Diagnostics	5.2.28	Number of test kits validated for SARS-CoV-2
	6.1.8	Country includes COVID-19 case data in their routine, national disease reporting systems.
	6.1.9	Country includes COVID-19 case data in their national weekly and/or monthly epidemiology-surveillance bulletins.
	6.1.10	Proportion of labs supported/funded by the projects that are connected to a LIMS with a COVID-19 module.
Surveillance and	6.1.11	Country is implementing COVID-19 surveillance program evaluations
Epidemiology	6.1.12	Country is planning or implementing seroprevalence studies
	6.1.13	Country has complete COVID-19 surveillance reporting
	6.3.2	Country received CDC implementing partner technical assistance for planning, implementation, or evaluation of COVID-19 epidemiology and surveillance activities.
	6.4.1	Proportion of One Health case results reported to relevant One Health sectors
	6.4.2	Number of people per sector attending One Health trainings
	7.1.5	Were resources (e.g., funding, staff – management, oversight) expended for Intensification of Routine Immunization (IRI) activities?
	7.1.6	Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses received
	7.1.7	Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses distributed
	7.1.8	Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses administered
	7.1.9	Which partners or organizations collaborated on the development of the workplan?
	7.1.10	Please indicate the technical area/components included in the workplan:
	7.1.11	Please indicate the target population(s) included in the workplan for this project:
Vaccines	7.1.12	Number of vaccination sites supported during the reporting period
vaccines	7.1.13	Proportion of COVID-19 vaccine doses received that were delivered to CDC-supported healthcare facilities
	7.1.14	Did you administer the COVID-19 vaccine?
	7.1.15	Number of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered
	7.1.16	Number of first COVID-19 vaccine doses administered
	7.1.17	Number of last recommended COVID-19 vaccine doses administered
	7.1.18	Number of trainings provided on planning, implementation, and monitoring COVID-19 immunization
	7.3.9	Number of people reached through mass media and social media with COVID-19 vaccine-related messaging

			Description of advance events following incommission (AFFI) and the second of the seco
		7.4.5	Proportion of adverse events following immunization (AEFI) reports reviewed by the appropriate responsible bodies with CDC support among those submitted to
		7.60	country monitoring systems
		7.6.3	Number of staff and volunteers trained on COVID-19 vaccine-related topics
		7.6.4	Number of staff and volunteers who are remunerated by CDC to support workload
			required for COVID-19 vaccine delivery in the reporting period
Retired Indicators	Community	3.2.1	Number of risk communication messages developed that are specifically targeted toward specific population(s)
	Mitigation	3.2.2	Percent increase in awareness and knowledge of frontline workers to plan and implement Risk Communications and Community Engagement (RCCE)
			interventions at various levels as determined by pre- and post- test assessment
		6.1.4	Number of countries implementing COVID-19 surveillance program evaluations
	Surveillance	6.1.5	Number of countries planning or implementing seroprevalence studies
		6.1.6	Percent of completeness for COVID-19 surveillance reporting in countries
Guidance Modification		2.1.1	Proportion of POE with multisectoral SOPs in place for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among
			travelers
		2.1.3	Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among
			travelers in the last six months
		2.1.4	Proportion of POE non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among
			travelers in the last six months
		2.1.5	Number of POE that can demonstrate capacity for coordinated response in identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care
			through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year
		2.1.6	Number of POE that have conducted AARs following a simulated or real-life event
			and implemented corrective actions to address gaps identified
	Border Health	2.2.1	Proportion of POE that have identified areas to isolate ill travelers for assessment and while waiting for transfer to a healthcare facility
		2.2.2	Proportion of POE with sufficient equipment (e.g., PPE, thermometers, forms, jobaids, handwashing stations, decontamination and disinfection supplies) or the
		2.2.2	supply chain to receive sufficient equipment to identify, notify, and respond to
			communicable disease illness among travelers for one month
		2.3.1	Proportion of POE that implement personal protective measures (e.g., handwashing, wearing face coverings, social distancing) for staff and travelers according to developed SOPs
		2.3.2	Proportion of POE that are routinely cleaning and disinfecting surfaces for SARS-CoV-2
		2.4.1	Proportion of POE disseminating risk communication materials tailored for travelers in appropriate languages
		2.4.2	Proportion of POE with staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months
		2.4.3	Proportion of POE staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months
		3.4.9	Number of community-level handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted
		3.4.11	Number of hygiene kits distributed
		3.4.12	Number of households receiving hygiene kit(s)
L	1	l	

		3.4.13	Number of handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted at the household level
Indicator Modification		2.1.1	Proportion of POE with multisectoral SOPs in place for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers
		2.1.2	Among those with SOPs above: Proportion of POE with health- and non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months
		2.1.3	Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months
		2.1.4	Proportion of POE non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months
		2.1.5	Number of POE that can demonstrate capacity for coordinated response in identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year
	Border Health	2.1.6	Number of POE that have conducted AARs following a simulated or real-life event and implemented corrective actions to address gaps identified
		2.2.1	Proportion of POE that have identified areas to isolate ill travelers for assessment and while waiting for transfer to a healthcare facility
		2.2.2	Proportion of POE with sufficient equipment (e.g., PPE, thermometers, forms, jobaids, handwashing stations, decontamination and disinfection supplies) or the supply chain to receive sufficient equipment to identify, notify, and respond to communicable disease illness among travelers for one month
		2.3.1	Proportion of POE that implement personal protective measures (e.g., handwashing, wearing face coverings, social distancing) for staff and travelers according to developed SOPs
		2.3.2	Proportion of POE that are routinely cleaning and disinfecting surfaces for SARS-CoV-2
		2.4.1	Proportion of POE disseminating risk communication materials tailored for travelers in appropriate languages
		2.4.2	Proportion of POE with staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months
		6.1.7	Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance
	Surveillance	6.2.8	Number of administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare, or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, contact tracing and visualization of chains of transmission

SECTION 1: INFECTION PREVENTION AND CONTROL (IPC)

Key Performance Indicators

KPI #1.1.3: Number of healthcare facilities	participating in CDC-supported healt	heare detection and re-	sponse networks

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator Additional Guidance IPC

Cross-cutting

N/A

Number of healthcare facilities participating in CDC-supported healthcare detection and response networks

N/A

Definitions:

Healthcare facilities would include facilities participating in networks that conduct any of the following in collaboration with CDC through technical assistance and/or funding support:

- Surveillance of infectious disease threats in healthcare settings, including healthcareassociated infections, antimicrobial resistance, and/or COVID-19
- Prevention or containment of infectious disease threats in healthcare settings, including healthcare-associated infections, emerging antimicrobial resistance, and/or COVID-19
- IPC training, monitoring, and/or improvement activities

KPI #1.3.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for conducting HCW screening, and leave from work policies and procedures for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Health Care Worker (HCW) Screening

Facility Level Procedures

Number of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for conducting HCW screening, and leave from work policies and procedures for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

Policies and procedures are in place that state that suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs should not report to work and that they are given "leave from work" without punishment. Guidance includes:

- A list of COVID-19 compatible symptoms to be reported
- Specific methods described for reporting/screening for symptoms of COVID-19 prior to the HCW entering the facility (passive, enhanced passive, and/or active methods)
- Procedures for how to respond to a symptomatic HCW including medical screening/follow-up

1.1 Cross-cutting IPC Indicator

Indicator #1.1.1: Proportion of facilities that have an IPC focal person in place

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Cross-cutting

N/A

Number of facilities that have an IPC focal person in place

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

An IPC focal person must:

- Be trained in IPC
- Have defined and approved roles and responsibilities
- Have dedicated time to carry out IPC activities

Indicator #1.1.2: Proportion of healthcare facilities providing essential services that implemented guideline-based IPC

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Total number of healthcare facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

IPC improvements

Cross-cutting

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

IPC

N/A

Counted healthcare facilities should be receiving technical or financial support from

Number of healthcare facilities providing essential services that implemented guideline-based

- Essential services include HIV, TB, Malaria, and ANC care
- Guideline-based IPC improvements include improvements made in one or more of the WHO IPC Core Components: IPC programs, IPC Guidelines, IPC Education and training, healthcare associated infection surveillance, monitoring and evaluation, workload and staffing, and built environment

KPI #1.1.3: Number of healthcare facilities participating in CDC-supported healthcare detection and response networks

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>below

1.2 Patient Screening and Triage

100 C	lioote	441	2 1	. D	ranartian	of facilities t	hat hava	dovolopos	dand annravas	l procedures	for screening and triage
	III OF THE	и н.	<i>-</i>	: P		or racilities i	nai nave	develobed	i ano approved		STOL SCIEDNING AND THARE

Priority Technical Area

IPC

Theme

Patient Screening & Triage Sub-theme Facility Level Procedures

Numerator/Count

Additional Guidance

Number of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for screening and triage

Denominator

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Guidance should include:

- How to set up and equip screening and respiratory waiting areas
- Required PPE for HCWs and patients
- A standardized triage algorithm to determine if the patient meets the COVID-19 case definition and actions required if patient meets definition
- Environmental cleaning procedures for screening and respiratory waiting areas

Indicator #1.2.2: Proportion of facilities that received training and educational materials on screening and triage

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Patient Screening & Triage

Training

Number of facilities that received training and educational materials on screening and triage

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Training includes:

- Training for HCWs conducting screening and triage (i.e., screening and triage procedures, COVID-19 case definition, selection of and donning and doffing PPE, hand
- Training for environment cleaning staff (EVS) on how to clean screening and respiratory waiting areas (i.e., what to clean, how often to clean, products to use, PPE
- Educational materials (e.g., instructions, pamphlets, training slides) provided to participants related to specific training content

Indicator #1.2.3: Proportion of facilities that have designated staff to screen and triage patients

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Patient Screening & Triage

Number of facilities that have designated staff to screen and triage patients

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Facilities have dedicated clinical staff for physical evaluation of patients presenting with COVID-19 symptoms at triage

Indicator #1 7 / Drop	ortion of facilities that hav	ia dacignatad chaca	for corponing and triago
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	annon of facilities that hav	e negionalen grace	TO CLEEDING AND THAVE

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Patient Screening & Triage

Space

Number of facilities that have designated space for screening and triage

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Designated space:

- Allows for at least 1-meter spacing between patients
- Is adequately ventilated¹
- Includes at least 1 functional hand hygiene station (i.e., alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) or sink/bucket system with clean water and soap) in the screening and respiratory waiting area

Indicator #1.2.5: Proportion of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to screen and triage patients

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

PC

Patient Screening & Triage

Supplies and Equipment

Number of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to screen and triage

atients

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Facilities have:

- At least a 1-week supply of PPE (i.e., gloves, gowns, eye protection, and face masks) for HCWs and patients
- Physical barriers at registration desk
- No-touch thermometer at registration desk
- At least a 1-week supply of hand hygiene consumables for screening and triage areas (i.e., alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR), soap)

Indicator #1.2.6: Proportion of facilities that have begun patient screening and triage

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Patient Screening & Triage

Activity Initiated

Number of facilities that have begun patient screening and triage

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Screening and triage of patients have begun

¹ CDC. 2020. Strategic Priority Infection Prevention and Control Activities for Non-US Healthcare Settings. Accessed at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/ipc-healthcare-facilities-non-us.html

1.3 Healthcare Worker (HCW) Screening

KPI #1.3.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for conducting HCW screening, and leave from work policies and procedures for suspected or confirmed COVID-19 HCWs

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #1.3.2: Proportion of facilities that received training and educational materials on HCW screening and leave from
work procedures

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Health Care Worker (HCW) Screening

Training

Number of facilities that received training and educational materials on HCW screening and

leave from work procedures

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Training includes:

- Training for HCWs who are responsible for monitoring and conducting screening that includes both screening procedures and how to manage symptomatic HCW identified during screening
- Training for all eligible staff on how, when, and what to report to screening system (passive, enhanced passive, or active)
- Educational materials (e.g., instructions, pamphlets, training slides) provided to participants related to specific training content

Indicator #1.3.3: Proportion of facilities that have designated staff to conduct and monitor HCW screening (i.e., passive, enhanced passive, and/or active)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

PC

Health Care Worker (HCW) Screening

Staff

Number of facilities that have designated staff to conduct and monitor HCW screening (i.e.,

passive, enhanced passive, and/or active)

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

Facilities have specific individuals who are responsible for monitoring and tracking HCW symptoms in passive, enhanced passive, and/or active screening.

Link to definitions of passive, enhanced passive, and active screening:

https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/guidance-identify-hcw-patients.html

Indicator #1.3.4: Proportion of facilities that have designated space for active HCW screenir

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Health Care Worker (HCW) Screening

Space

Number of facilities that have designated space for active HCW screening

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

If conducting active screening, designated space is:

- Identified and dedicated for HCW screening, located outside the facility, if possible, or in a space with adequate ventilation²
- Allows for 1-meter spacing between HCWs waiting to be screened
- Includes at least 1 functional hand hygiene station (i.e., alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) or sink/bucket system with clean water and soap) in the screening area

Link to definitions of passive, enhanced passive, and active screening:

https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/guidance-identify-hcw-patients.html

Indicator #1.3.5: Proportion of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to conduct and monitor HCW screening (i.e., passive, enhanced passive, and/or active)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Health Care Worker (HCW) Screening

Supplies and Equipment

Number of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to conduct and monitor HCW screening (i.e., passive, enhanced passive, and/or active)

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

Facilities have:

- If passive only:
 - o All HCWs have symptom list, thermometer, phone and phone credits
 - HCWs in charge of screening have standardized medical assessment and monitoring forms, facility has a mechanism for remote reporting (telephone line, SMS)
 - If enhanced passive:
 - o All HCWs have the passive supplies listed above
 - HCWs in charge of screening have passive supplies and equipment listed above plus a reminder system (mass texting service), database of all HCW contact information, database of HCWs with documented COVID-19 exposure
 - If active:
 - HCW screening area should have no-touch thermometers, PPE, hand hygiene station (i.e., alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) or sink/bucket system with clean water and soap) accountability system to demonstrate HCWs who have passed screening (e.g., work slip sign off, stickers with different colors for each day, etc.)

Link to definitions of passive, enhanced passive, and active screening:

https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/guidance-identify-hcw-patients.html

² CDC. 2020. Strategic Priority Infection Prevention and Control Activities for Non-US Healthcare Settings. Accessed at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/ipc-healthcare-facilities-non-us.html

Indicator #1.3.6: Proportion of facilities that have begun HCW screening		
Priority Technical Area	IPC	
Theme	Health Care Worker (HCW) Screening	
Sub-theme	Activity Initiated	
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities that have begun HCW screening	
Denominator	Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects	
Additional Guidance	Definitions:	
	Passive, enhanced passive, and/or active screening of HCWs has begun.	
	Link to definitions of passive, enhanced passive, and active screening:	
	https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/guidance-identify-hcw- patients.html	

1.4 Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting

Indicator #1.4.1: Proportion of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for inpatient isolation and cohorting of suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator Additional Guidance IPC

Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting

Facility Level Procedures

Number of facilities that have developed and approved procedures for inpatient isolation and

cohorting of suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Guidance on:

- Standard and transmissions-based precautions for management of suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients
- Measuring consumption rate of PPE and other IPC consumables
- Environmental cleaning procedures for units with suspect and confirmed COVID-19 patients
- Assigning dedicated HCWs to work in COVID-19 treatment or isolation units

Indicator #1.4.2: Proportion of facilities that received training and educational materials on inpatient isolation and cohorting

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting

Number of facilities that received training and educational materials on inpatient isolation and

cohorting

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definition:

Training includes:

- Training for all HCWs on rapid identification and isolation of inpatients with symptoms of COVID-19
- Training for HCWs working in COVID-19 treatment or isolation units on standard and transmission-based precautions (e.g., contact and droplet precautions, donning and doffing of PPE, hand hygiene)
- Training for EVS on how to clean units with suspect and confirmed COVID-19 patients (i.e., what to clean, how often to clean, products to use, PPE required)
- Educational materials (e.g., instructions, pamphlets, training slides) provided to participants related to specific training content

Indicator #1.4.3: Proportion of facilities that have staffing plans in place for COVID-19 treatment and isolation units

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

IPC

Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting

Number of facilities that have staffing plans in place for COVID-19 treatment and isolation units

Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Staffing plans should address staff shortages and the possibility of cohorting HCWs

Indicator #1.4.4: Proportion of facilities that have designated space to isolate and cohort suspected and confirmed COVID-19		
patients		
Priority Technical Area	IPC	
Theme	Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting	
Sub-theme	Space	
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities that have designated space to isolate and cohort suspected and confirmed	
	COVID-19 patients	
Denominator	Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	Designated space:	
	 Allows for at least 1-meter spacing between patients and/or HCWs 	
	• Is adequately ventilated ³	
	 Includes at least 1 functional hand hygiene stations per treatment or isolation unit 	
	(e.g., alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) or sink/bucket system with clean water and soap)	

Indicator #1.4.5: Proportion	on of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to isolate and cohort suspected and
confirmed COVID-19 patie	nts
Priority Technical Area	IPC
Theme	Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting
Sub-theme	Supplies and Equipment
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities that have the necessary supplies and equipment to isolate and cohort
	suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients
Denominator	Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Facilities have:
	 At least a 1-week supply of PPE for HCWs and patients (i.e., gloves, gowns, eye protection, and face masks)
	 At least a 1-week supply of hand hygiene consumables (i.e., alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR), soap)

Indicator #1.4.6: Proportion of facilities that have begun to isolate or cohort suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients	
Priority Technical Area	IPC
Theme	Inpatient Isolation and Cohorting
Sub-theme	Activity Initiated
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities that have begun to isolate or cohort suspected and confirmed COVID-19
	patients
Denominator	Total number of facilities selected and/or supported/funded by the projects
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Isolation and cohorting of patients have begun

³ Natural ventilation depends on a number of factors including climate conditions (e.g., wind speed, temperature) and building design. Adequate ventilation commensurate with WHO's natural ventilation standards can be achieved by ensuring that the room is equipped with a functional window that can be opened to allow circulation and exchange between inside and outside air. See WHO's *Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected or confirmed* at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC-2021.1 and *Severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center: Practical manual* at for additional guidance on ventilation standards and measurement.

SECTION 2: BORDER HEALTH

Key Performance Indicators

KPI #2.1.3: Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, an	nd illness
response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months	

Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	POE General Capacity
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms),
	notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months
Denominator	Number of health staff at POE sites that trained staff on SOPs for identification (signs and
	symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

last six months

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
 Training includes:
 - Training for health staff who are responsible for identifying ill travelers and conducting an illness response at the POE
 - Training for health staff on how to identify an ill person, notify the appropriate stakeholders at the POE and national level, and conduct an illness response, including a risk assessment and transfer to a healthcare facility as needed at the POE

KPI #2.1.9: Proportion of POE that can demonstrate capacity for identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year

Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	POE General Capacity
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of POE selected and/or supported/funded by the projects that demonstrate capacity
	for identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through
	response to simulated or real-life events in the last year
Denominator	Total number of POE selected and/or supported/funded by the projects
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	Demonstrated canacity could include:

Demonstrated capacity could include:

- Demonstrated via exercise(s) to test identification, notification, assessment, and referral capabilities, can be based on satisfactory evaluation scores, as determined by the exercise evaluators
- For real-life events, the notification, assessment, and referral elements could be
 assessed through after-action reviews. Identification capacities might need to be
 measured through establishment of a baseline "expected" number of ill traveler alerts
 at a specific POE during a given time period and whether this POE actually receives
 reports roughly that number of alerts

KPI #2.5.4: Number of prices six months	ority geographic areas in which data on population mobility patterns has been collected in the last
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of priority geographic areas in which data on population mobility patterns has been collected in the last six months
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	 Priority geographic areas for data collection have been identified by public health leadership
	 Data on population mobility patterns has been collected though key informant interviews (KIIs) or focus group discussion (FGDs) in the last six months

KPI #2.5.5: Proportion of public health emergency responses that utilized population mobility pattern data to inform public health interventions and/or public health emergency responses (i.e., identified POE for capacity building, identified HCF for strengthened surveillance) within the last six months

Priority Technical Area
Theme
Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator Additional Guidance Border Health

Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations

N/A

Number of public health emergency responses that utilized population mobility pattern data to inform public health interventions and/or public health emergency responses (i.e., identified POE for capacity building, identified HCF for strengthened surveillance) within the last 6 months

Total number of public health emergency responses

Definitions:

- Outputs from population mobility data have been shared with public health leadership
- Public health leadership have identified interventions that can be informed by the population mobility data
- Identified interventions have been incorporated in planning activities
- Identified interventions have been implemented

KPI #2.6.1: Proportion of neighboring countries included in formalized agreements and procedures for public health information sharing with neighboring countries

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

Cross-border Coordination

N/A

Number of neighboring countries included in formalized agreements and procedures for public health information sharing with neighboring countries

Total number of neighboring countries

Definitions:

- Neighboring countries or regional bodies have formalized agreements and procedures for public health information sharing
- Agreements include:
 - Priority diseases for real-time cross-border reporting
 - Minimum reporting requirements for a cross-border report of a communicable disease
 - Activities to support cross-border coordination across public health response activation phases
 - o Procedures for determining when to initiate cross-border communication and how to respond to a cross-border communication
 - o Procedure for notifying cross-border counterparts and responding to receipt of notification from a cross-border counterpart

2.1 POE General Capacity

Indicator #2.1.1: Proportion of POE with multisectoral SOPs in place for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers

Priority Technical Area Theme Sub-theme Numerator/Count

POE General Capacity

Border Health

N/A

Number of POE with multisectoral SOPs in place for the identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers

Number of POE that have been identified by public health leadership for capacity building

Additional Guidance

Denominator

Definitions:

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
- Procedures should include:
 - How to identify the signs and symptoms of illness, including signs and symptoms
 - An algorithm to determine if the person meets the definition of an ill person and the actions required if the person meets the definition
 - Chain of notification about a possible ill person

Indicator #2.1.2: Among those with SOPs above: Proportion of POE with health- and non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE General Capacity

Among those with SOPs above: Number of POE with health- and non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months

Among those with SOPs above: Total number of POE with multisectoral SOPs in place for the identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers

Definitions:

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects Training includes:
 - Training for health staff who are responsible for identifying ill travelers and conducting an illness response at the POE
 - Training for health staff on how to identify an ill person, notify the appropriate stakeholders at the POE and national level, and conduct an illness response, including a risk assessment and transfer to a healthcare facility as needed at the POE
 - Training for non-health staff who have frequent contact with travelers at the POE
 - Training for non-health staff on how to recognize an ill traveler, separate an ill traveler from others, notify the health authorities, and give additional support as needed at the

KPI #2.1.3: Proportion of POE health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months

> This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #2.1.4: Proportion of POE non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification,
and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months

Priority Technical Area Theme

Border Health POE General Capacity

Sub-theme

Denominator

Numerator/Count

Number of POE non-health staff trained on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the last six months

Number of non-health staff at POE sites that trained staff on SOPs for identification (signs and symptoms), notification, and illness response, including for COVID-19, among travelers in the

last six months

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects Training includes:
 - Training for non-health staff who have frequent contact with travelers at the POE
 - Training for non-health staff on how to recognize an ill traveler, separate an ill traveler from others, notify the health authorities, and give additional support as needed at the

Indicator #2.1.5: Number of POE that can demonstrate capacity for coordinated response in identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year

Priority Technical Area

Border Health

Theme

POE General Capacity

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Number of POE that can demonstrate capacity for coordinated response in identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
- POE have responded to a simulated or real-life event in the last year
- POE staff demonstrated an ability to follow the SOPs through a multi-sectoral coordinated response to the simulated or real-life event
- POE health and non-health staff successfully identified an ill traveler, notified the health authorities and other POE and national stakeholders, conducted a risk assessment of the ill traveler, and referred the ill traveler to a healthcare facility, as outlined in the SOPs

Indicator #2.1.6: Number of POE that have conducted AARs following a simulated or real-life event and implemented	
corrective actions to address	s gaps identified
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	POE General Capacity
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of POE that have conducted AARs following a simulated or real-life event and
	implemented corrective actions to address gaps identified
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
	AAR: after-action review
	POE that have responded to a simulated or real-life event in the last year conducted an
	after-action review (AAR) following the event
	Key strengths and areas for improvement were identified through the AAR and an
	action plan to address the gaps was developed
	Actions for addressing the gaps were implemented

	on of non-health POE personnel who have been trained on approved procedures and guidance in guidance i
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	POE General Capacity
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of non-health POE personnel (e.g., immigrations, customs, law enforcement, etc.) who were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects who have been trained on approved procedures and guidance
Denominator	Number of non-health POE personnel (e.g., immigrations, customs, law enforcement, etc.) who were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects
Additional Guidance	 Definition: Approved procedures are those that: Were developed by national authorities describing how public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders will be enforced Have been disseminated to all applicable agencies, including at subnational- and point of entry-levels

Indicator #2.1.8: Proportion of POE where staff have been trained on approved procedures in enforcing public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders

Priority Technical Area

Border Health

Theme

POE General Capacity

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of POE selected and/or supported/funded by the projects that have trained all relevant staff on approved procedures in enforcing public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders

Denominator

Total number of POE selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Approved procedures are those that:

- Were developed by national authorities describing how public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders will be enforced
- Have been disseminated to all applicable agencies, including at subnational- and point of entry-levels

KPI #2.1.9: Proportion of POE that can demonstrate capacity for identification, notification, assessment, and referral of an ill traveler to health care through response to simulated or real-life events in the last year

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

2.2 POE Infrastructure

Indicator #2.2.1: Proportion of POE that have identified areas to isolate ill travelers for assessment and while waiting for transfer to a healthcare facility

Priority Technical Area

Theme

POE Infrastructure

Border Health

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of POE sites that have identified areas to isolate ill travelers for assessment and while

waiting for transfer to a healthcare facility

Denominator Additional Guidance Total number of POE sites supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects

An identified space to isolate ill travelers exists at the POE that meets the following criteria:

- Allows for at least 2-meter spacing between ill traveler and others
- Is adequately ventilated⁴
- Allows access to a toilet that avoids interaction with other travelers or staff at the POE
- Protected from sun and rain
- Provides sufficient space for the ill traveler to sit and lay down

Indicator #2.2.2: Proportion of POE with sufficient equipment (e.g., PPE⁵, thermometers, forms, job-aids, handwashing stations, decontamination and disinfection supplies) or the supply chain to receive sufficient equipment to identify, notify, and respond to communicable disease illness among travelers for one month

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE Infrastructure

N/A

Number of POE with sufficient equipment (e.g., PPE, thermometers, forms, job-aids,

handwashing stations, decontamination and disinfection supplies) or the supply chain to receive sufficient equipment to identify, notify, and respond to communicable disease illness among travelers for one month

Total number of POE sites supported/funded by the projects

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
- POE have at least a 1-month supply or identified supply chain for provision of sufficient equipment for response to a communicable disease

⁴ Natural ventilation depends on a number of factors including climate conditions (e.g., wind speed, temperature) and building design. Adequate ventilation commensurate with WHO's natural ventilation standards can be achieved by ensuring that the room is equipped with a functional window that can be opened to allow circulation and exchange between inside and outside air. See WHO's *Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected or confirmed* https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC-2021.1 and *Severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center* at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-IPC-2021.1 and *Severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center* at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-2019-ncov-IPC-2021.1 and *Severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center* at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-2019-ncov-IPC-2021.1 and *Severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center* at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-2019-ncov-IPC-2021.1 and *Severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center* at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-2019-ncov-IPC-2021.1 and severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center at https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-2019-ncov-IPC-2021.1 and severe acute respiratory infections (SARI) treatment center at <a href="https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-

⁵ For guidance on use of PPE at points of entry see WHO's *Rational use of personal protective equipment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and considerations during severe shortages* at https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331695/WHO-2019-nCov-IPC PPE use-2020.3-eng.pdf

2.3 POE IPC

Indicator #2.3.1: Proportion of POE that implement personal protective measures (e.g., handwashing, wearing face coverings, social distancing) for staff and travelers according to developed SOPs

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE IPC

N/A

Number of POE that implement personal protective measures (e.g., handwashing, wearing face coverings, social distancing) for staff and travelers according to developed SOPs

Total number of POE sites supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
- Training for POE staff on implementation of personal protective measures according to SOPs within the last 6 months
- Training for POE staff on communicating personal protective measure requirements to travelers within the last 6 months
- POE have:
 - o Handwashing stations
 - Communication materials outlining personal protective requirements for staff and travelers
 - Markings on ground to indicate appropriate physical distancing
 - Physical barriers at desks between staff and travelers

Indicator #2.3.2: Proportion of POE that are routinely cleaning and disinfecting surfaces for SARS-CoV-2

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE IPC

N/A

Number of POE that are routinely cleaning and disinfecting surfaces for SARS-CoV-2

Total number of POE sites supported/funded by the projects

- POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects
- Procedures for cleaning and disinfecting surfaces (i.e., what to clean, how often to clean, products to use, PPE required) are accessible at the POE
- Training for POE staff on cleaning and disinfecting procedures completed within the last 6 months
- Staff at the POE routinely clean and disinfect surfaces following the procedures, as assessed weekly

2.4 POE Risk Communication

Indicator #2.4.1: Proportion of POE disseminating risk communication materials tailored for travelers in appropriate languages

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE Risk Communication

Number of POE disseminating risk communication materials tailored for travelers in appropriate

Total number of POE sites supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects

POE are disseminating risk communication materials that:

- Describe what to expect when traveling (e.g., screening, testing, quarantine requirements), signs and symptoms of COVID-19, what to do if the traveler becomes ill, and how to contact the health authorities
- Are translated into languages appropriate for the mobile populations traveling through

Indicator #2.4.2: Proportion of POE with staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE Risk Communication

Number of POE with staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months

Total number of POE sites supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects

Training includes:

- Training for POE staff who are responsible for providing risk communication to travelers
- Training for POE staff on key elements of risk communication and best practices for communicating with travelers
- Training for POE staff on information to be communicated to travelers (i.e., what to expect when traveling (e.g., screening, testing, quarantine requirements), signs and symptoms of COVID-19, what to do if the traveler becomes ill, and how to contact the health authorities

Indicator #2.4.3: Proportion of POE staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

POE Risk Communication

N/A

Number of POE staff trained on providing risk communication to travelers within the last six months

Total number of POE staff

Definitions:

• POEs should be included if they are supported/funded by the projects Training includes:

- Training for POE staff who are responsible for providing risk communication to travelers
- Training for POE staff on key elements of risk communication and best practices for communicating with travelers
- Training for POE staff on information to be communicated to travelers (i.e., what to
 expect when traveling (e.g., screening, testing, quarantine requirements), signs and
 symptoms of COVID-19, what to do if the traveler becomes ill, and how to contact the
 health authorities

2.5 Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations

Indicator #2.5.1: Number of	staff trained on collecting data on population mobility patterns in the last six months
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of staff trained on collecting data on population mobility patterns in the last six months
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	Training includes:
	 Training of staff who are responsible for collecting data on population mobility and those responsible for supervising the data collection
	 Training for staff on data collection on population mobility patterns through key information interviews and focus group discussions
	Training for staff on integration of participatory mapping into data collection
	Training for staff on management of data post collection

Indicator #2.5.2: Number of staff trained on analyzing and summarizing data collected on population mobility patterns in the		
last six months Priority Technical Area	Border Health	
,		
Theme	Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations	
Sub-theme	N/A	
Numerator/Count	Number of staff trained on analyzing and summarizing data collected on population mobility patterns in the last six months	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definitions:	
	Training includes:	
	 Training of staff who are responsible for analyzing population mobility data and developing outputs 	
	 Training for staff on geospatial analysis and mapping results 	
	Training for staff on qualitative data analysis	
	Training for staff on summarizing results into actionable outputs	

Indicator #2.5.3: Number of key informant interviews (KII) or focus group discussions (FGD) conducted to collect data on	
population mobility patterns in the last six months	
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of key informant interviews (KII) or focus group discussions (FGD) conducted to collect
	data on population mobility patterns in the last six months
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Data on population mobility patterns has been collected though KIIs or FGDs in the last six months

KPI #2.5.4: Number of priority geographic areas in which data on population mobility patterns has been collected in the last six months

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

KPI #2.5.5: Proportion of public health emergency responses that utilized population mobility pattern data to inform public health interventions and/or public health emergency responses (i.e., identified POE for capacity building, identified HCF for strengthened surveillance) within the last six months

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

Indicator #2.5.6: There are protocols and/or standard operating procedures that govern use of border health data and
information systems

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations

N/A

N/A

Yes/No response

Definitions:

Information systems pertain to movement of people or communicable diseases reported across borders.

Established border health surveillance protocols or standard operating procedures describe:

 Border health surveillance system expectations and infrastructure at POE, intermediate, and national levels and are validated by the national government border health authority

Ideal border health surveillance systems are:

- Electronic beginning with data compilation from POE (i.e., data entered into electronic forms/databases at the POE level)
- Note: However, ensuring every selected POE has consistent access to an electronic data entry system is not required since hybrid systems (e.g., paper/phone/message-based reporting into remote electronic database) can achieve intended surveillance objectives if well-designed

Indicator #2.5.7: Proportion of POEs with border health personnel trained on the use of established border health data and information systems according to established SOPs

Priority Technical Area

Border Health

Theme

Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations

Sub-theme

ľ

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of POEs selected and/or supported/funded by the projects with border health personnel trained on the use of established border health data and information systems according to established SOPs

Denominator

Number of POEs selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Additional Guidance

Border health personnel required to use established border health data and information systems include those based at the POE and their intermediate (district, sub-regional, etc.) supervisors/leadership.

Established border health surveillance protocols or standard operating procedures (SOPs) describe:

 Border health surveillance system expectations and infrastructure at POE, intermediate, and national levels and are validated by the national government border health authority

Ideal border health surveillance systems are:

- Electronic beginning with data compilation from POE (i.e., data entered into electronic forms/databases at the POE level)
- Note: However, ensuring every selected POE has consistent access to an electronic data entry system is not required since hybrid systems (e.g., paper/phone/messagebased reporting into remote electronic database) can achieve intended surveillance objectives if well-designed

Indicator #2.5.8: Proportion of POEs with border health personnel who demonstrate use of established border health data and information systems according to established SOPs

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

Data and Surveillance Systems for Mobile Populations

N/A

Number of POEs selected and/or supported/funded by the projects with border health personnel who demonstrate use of established border health data and information systems according to established SOPs

Total number of POEs selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Definitions:

Border health personnel required to use established border health data and information systems include those based at the POE and their intermediate (district, sub-regional, etc.) supervisors/leadership.

Demonstrated use means:

- Border health personnel at POE compile data for defined data elements into the border health data collection tools and share that data to the next border health surveillance level within 24 hours (or as per national protocol)
- Border health staff at intermediate (i.e., district, subnational, or regional levels, etc.)
 and national levels access, process, analyze, and interpret for action, the border health
 surveillance data submitted by POE staff within 24 hours for alerts or 1 week for
 routine data [time frames adjustable, per country's specifications]

Established border health surveillance protocols or standard operating procedures (SOPs) describe:

 Border health surveillance system expectations and infrastructure at POE, intermediate, and national levels and are validated by the national government border health authority

Ideal border health surveillance systems are:

• Electronic beginning with data compilation from POE (i.e., data entered into electronic forms/databases at the POE level)

Note: Ensuring every selected POE has consistent access to an electronic data entry system is not required since hybrid systems (e.g., paper/phone/message-based reporting into remote electronic database) can achieve intended surveillance objectives if well-designed.

2.6 Cross-border Coordination

KPI #2.6.1: Proportion of neighboring countries included in formalized agreements and procedures for public health information sharing with neighboring countries

> This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #2.6.2: Proportion of subnational administrative levels that have shared contact information with neighboring countries within the last six months

Priority Technical Area Border Health

Cross-border Coordination

Sub-theme

Theme

N/A Numerator/Count

Number of subnational administrative levels that have shared contact information with

neighboring countries within the last six months

Denominator

Total number of subnational administrative levels

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Subnational personnel responsible for cross-border public health communication have updated (within the last six months) contact information for cross-border counterparts

Indicator #2.6.3: Proportion of subnational administrative levels routinely sharing public health information with neighboring countries within the last six months

Priority Technical Area

Border Health

Theme

Cross-border Coordination

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of subnational administrative levels routinely sharing public health information with neighboring countries within the last six months

Denominator

Total number of subnational administrative levels

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

- Subnational personnel responsible for cross-border public health communication have communicated with cross-border counterparts within the last six months
- Subnational personnel responsible for cross-border public health information sharing have shared public health information as outlined in the agreements and procedures with cross-border counterparts within the last six months

Indicator #2.6.4: Number of cross-border meetings at subnational administrative level to support operationalization of agreements and procedures within the last six months

Priority Technical Area

Border Health

Theme

Cross-border Coordination

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Number of cross-border meetings at subnational administrative level to support operationalization of agreements and procedures within the last six months

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

- Cross-border meetings have occurred at the subnational level within the last six
- Cross-border meeting participants have shared public health information as outlined in the agreements and procedures with cross-border counterparts within the last six month

2.7 BH Operational and Legal Frameworks

Indicator #2.7.1: Support was provided to develop an operational plan that defines the roles and responsibilities of the country's border health authority

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Border Health

BH Operational and Legal Frameworks

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

This indicator is measuring whether or not support was given in the development of the operational plan.

Support may include:

- Providing the funding and helping to arrange meetings that bring together the stakeholders to write, review, and approve the plan
- Facilitating sessions with partner country representatives based on technical leadership and support from CDC subject matter experts
- Following up with stakeholders to finalize the plan and incorporate feedback from all critical parties
- Disseminating the final plan to all relevant stakeholders

The operational plan should include most, if not all, of the following elements:

- The definition of the scope and functions of the Authority, as described in the governmental statute, act, or decree on which it was established
- A description of where the border health authority sits within the government and how it interacts with other public health offices and governmental departments or agencies at national, subnational, and POE levels
- Definitions of the roles and responsibilities of border health officials at national, subnational, and POE levels
- Criteria defining where border health offices should be established
- A description of the numbers and types of human and material resources required to effectively execute public health operations targeting mobile populations
- A budget and description of the Authority's funding sources
- A budget and description of the Authority's funding sources

Indicator #2.7.2: Proportion of identified border health staff roles with finalized position descriptions at national, subnational, and POE levels		
Priority Technical Area	Border Health	
Theme	BH Operational and Legal Frameworks	
Sub-theme	N/A	
Numerator/Count	Number of finalized position descriptions for identified border health staff roles at national, subnational, and POE levels	
Denominator	Total number of identified border health staff roles at national, subnational, and POE levels	
Additional Guidance	Definitions: There are written positions for all defined border health roles i.e., of the number of defined roles (e.g., POE medical officer, POE public health officer, national-level Port Health Director, etc.), each has a written job description defining their expected roles and responsibilities. Finalized positions would include descriptions for: The position title Main roles and responsibilities Required qualifications, including any degrees and number of years of relevant experience	

Indicator #2.7.3: Does the country have an established plan for their border health personnel training program to ensure that all border health officers can competently conduct public health operations within their jurisdiction?	
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	BH Operational and Legal Frameworks
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition: The established plan should be completed and include most, if not all, of the following elements: • Training materials for new hire and refreshers • Schedules • Budget • Other resources

disseminated them to all applicable agencies, including at subnational- and point of entry-levels	
Priority Technical Area	Border Health
Theme	BH Operational and Legal Frameworks
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	N/A

Indicator #2.7.4: National authorities have developed procedures and guidance describing how public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders will be enforced and have

Indicator #2.7.5: Proportion of border health personnel who have been trained on approved procedures in enforcing public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders

Priority Technical Area

Border Health

Theme

BH Operational and Legal Frameworks

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of border health personnel who were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects who have been trained on the approved procedures

Denominator

Total number of border health personnel who were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

Border health personnel can include staff at national, subnational, and POE levels

Approved procedures are those that:

- Developed by national authorities describing how public health regulations applicable to points of entry, travel, and the transport of goods across international borders will be enforced
- Have disseminated them to all applicable agencies, including at subnational- and point of entry-levels

SECTION 3: COMMUNITY MITIGATION

Key Performance Indicators

KPI #3.2.5: Country has systems (hotlines,	, KAP surveys, Q&A forums,	etc.) in place to assess comn	hunity feedback on
adherence to essential mitigation practice	25		

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation

Theme Country Operations Support

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance **Definitions**:

"Country has systems in place" means monitoring and evaluation systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) have been established and operationalized to collect and assess

community feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices

KAP surveys: Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices surveys

KPI #3.2.6: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess healthcare feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation

Theme Country Operations Support

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance **Definitions**:

"Country has systems in place" means monitoring and evaluation systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) have been established and operationalized to collect and assess

healthcare feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices

KAP surveys: Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices surveys

KPI #3.4.18 : Key mitigation populations	activities were implemented during the reporting period to meet the needs of specific
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	Key mitigation activities include:
	Mask wearing
	Social distancing
	Limitations on gatherings and movement, etc.
	Specific target population (reported separately by type of population): (1) Refugees (2) HCWs (3) Other high-risk population type including but not limited to: • Person(s) at risk for severe illness • Displaced people • People in closed settings • Other vulnerable groups (e.g., elderly, faith communities, community isolation center (CICs)) • People in prisons or jails • People in schools • Migrants • People in factories Note: There are no limits to number or type of activities implemented

KPI #3.4.19: Proportion of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been implemented (reported separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare facilities)	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been implemented
Denominator	Total number of facilities targeted and/or supported/funded by the projects
Additional Guidance	 Definitions: Adequate hand hygiene implies a sufficient quantity of hand hygiene stations as defined by project (may vary depending on national standards or key points identified by project) Hand hygiene stations may be handwashing stations with soap and water OR alcoholbased hand rub (ABHR) dispensers WASH interventions may include water supply and sanitation improvements, assessments, training, or provision of supplies Communal points may include markets, transit hubs, public toilets, etc.

3.1 Communication

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Definition:

 Indicator #3.1.4a: Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications developed that focus on: faith communities, prisons, community isolation centers (CICs), schools, displaced persons and migrants, healthcare workers, elderly, or other settings

 Priority Technical Area
 Community Mitigation

 Theme
 Communication

 Sub-theme
 N/A

 Numerator/Count
 Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications developed that focus on: faith communities, prisons, community isolation centers (CICs), schools, displaced persons and migrants, healthcare workers, elderly, or other settings

 Denominator
 N/A

Communication is defined as any public message in written or audio form that identifies one

Indicator #3.1.4b Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications disseminated that focus on: faith communities, prisons, community isolation centers (CICs), schools, displaced persons and migrants, healthcare workers, elderly, or other settings

Priority Technical Area

Community Mitigation

Communication

N/A

Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications disseminated that focus on: faith

Numerator/Count Number of COVID-19 community-based risk communications disseminated that focus on: faith communities, prisons, community isolation centers (CICs), schools, displaced persons and

migrants, healthcare workers, elderly, or other settings

or more risk-factors for specified target populations

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance

Communication is defined as any public message in written or audio form that identifies one

or more risk-factors for specified target populations

3.2 Country Operations Support

Indicator #3.2.3: Number of risk mitigation strategies that have been implemented that are tailored to the needs of speci	fic
populations	

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation
Theme Country Operations Support

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Number of risk mitigation strategies that have been implemented that are tailored to the needs

of specific populations

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance **Definition**:

Risk mitigation strategies must be evidence-based and recommended by national government,

WHO, CDC, or other multilateral stakeholder

Indicator #3.2.4: Number of users for COVID-19 hotline

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation
Theme Country Operations Support

Sub-theme N//

Numerator/Count Number of users calling into COVID-19 hotline

Denominator N/A
Additional Guidance **Definition:**

Total count of users that contacted the COVID-19 hotline

KPI #3.2.5: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess community feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

KPI #3.2.6: Country has systems (hotlines, KAP surveys, Q&A forums, etc.) in place to assess healthcare feedback on adherence to essential mitigation practices

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #3.2.7: Proportion of frontline workers that had an increase in awareness and knowledge to plan and implement Risk Communications and Community Engagement (RCCE) interventions at various levels as determined by pre- and post-test assessment

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation
Theme Country Operations Support

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Number of frontline workers who received a higher post-test score than pre-test score on their

assessment of RCCE interventions

Denominator Number of frontline workers who participated in pre- and post-test assessments of RCCE

interventions

Additional Guidance **Definition:**

Pre- and post-test should be developed by implementing partner

3.3 Clinical Mitigation

Indicator #3.3.1: Number of technical assistance interactions on telehealth provided to country	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Clinical Mitigation
Sub-theme	Telehealth
Numerator/Count	Total number of technical assistance interactions on telehealth provided to country
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	This indicator monitors the amount of support provided for telehealth

Indicator #3.3.2: Percent of households reached for home-based care	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Clinical Mitigation
Sub-theme	Home-based Care
Numerator/Count	Number of households with a COVID patient in them in the catchment area visited by a CHW providing home-based care support
Denominator	Number of households with a COVID patient in them in the catchment area
Additional Guidance	 Definitions: This indicator monitors coverage of home-based care Households should receive at least three in-person visits over 10 days, at least two of which occur after day four post-symptom onset

Indicator #3.3.3: Number of people receiving home-based care monitoring		
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation	
Theme	Clinical Mitigation	
Sub-theme	Home-based Care	
Numerator/Count	Total number of people receiving home-based care monitoring	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	This indicator monitors coverage of home-based care	

Indicator #3.3.4: Percent of home-based care patients who received pulse oximetry	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Clinical Mitigation
Sub-theme	Home-based Care
Numerator/Count	Number of COVID patients in the catchment area receiving home-based pulse oximetry
Denominator	Number of COVID patients in the catchment area visited by a CHW providing home-based care
	support
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	 This indicator monitors coverage of pulse oximetry
	• Individuals with oxygen levels below 92 (94 for pregnant women) should be linked to
	higher level care, with linkage documented

Indicator #3.3.5: Proportion SpO2<92%)	on of home-based care patients with pulse oximetry requiring referral to higher level care (i.e.,
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Clinical Mitigation
Sub-theme	Home-based Care
Numerator/Count	Number of COVID patients in the catchment area receiving home-based pulse oximetry that required a referral to higher level care (i.e., SpO2<92%)
Denominator	Number of COVID patients in the catchment area receiving home-based pulse oximetry
Additional Guidance	 Definitions: This indicator monitors referral coverage based on pulse oximetry Individuals with oxygen levels below 92 (94 for pregnant women) should be linked to higher level care, with linkage documented

Indicator #3.3.6: Proportion	on of COVID-19 patients in the catchment area receiving home-based care who are followed for six
months after the resolution	on of their COVID-19 related illness
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Clinical Mitigation
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of COVID-19 patients in the catchment area receiving home-based care that are followed for six months after the resolution of their illness
Denominator	
Denominator	Number of COVID-19 patients in the catchment area receiving home-based care
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	This indicator monitors catchment area coverage of home-based care
	Households with COVID patients who received home-based care should be followed-
	up for six months after the resolution of their COVID-19 related illness

3.4 Community Mitigation

Indicator #3.4.1: Number of hand hygiene stations installed (reported separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare settings)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain Numerator/Count

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Hygiene

Number of hand hygiene stations installed (reported separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare settings):

- Total number of handwashing stations installed
- Total number of alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) dispensers installed
- Specify number of container-based (CB) sanitation facilities and all other sanitation facilities

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

Definitions:

- This indicator for schools and other institutions and HCFs aims to assess total number of hand hygiene stations installed at each location and HCFs and number of schools/healthcare settings targeted
- Hand hygiene stations may be handwashing stations with soap and water OR alcoholbased hand rub (ABHR) dispensers
- Communal points may include markets, transit hubs, public toilets, etc.
- Handwashing with soap and water is required at toilets (ABHR is not sufficient)
- For schools, multiple hand hygiene stations may be required at key points including facility entry/exit, kitchen or cafeteria area, and toilets
- For healthcare settings or healthcare facilities (HCFs) multiple hand hygiene stations may be required at key points including facility entry/exit, registration areas, patient care areas, eating/food preparation areas, toilets, etc.

Indicator #3.4.2: Proportion of hand hygiene stations that are fully functional (reported separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare settings)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain

Numerator/Count

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Hygiene

Number of hand hygiene stations installed that are functional at the time of the visit

Specify number of container-based (CB) sanitation facilities and all other sanitation facilities

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Number of hand hygiene stations installed

Definition:

Functional hand hygiene stations have either alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) OR a functioning tap with running water (e.g., from a piped tap, bucket with tap, tippy tap, etc.), soap, and greywater management system (where applicable) present at the time of the visit

Indicator #3.4.3: Estimated number of beneficiaries reached through hand hygiene stations (reported separately at communal points, schools and institutions, and healthcare settings)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain Numerator/Count Community Mitigation
Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Hygiene

Estimated number of beneficiaries reached through hand hygiene stations (reported separately at communal points (or households), schools and institutions and health care settings

(healthcare facilities [HCF])

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

Definition:

- Number of beneficiaries at schools/institutions can be estimated by adding enrolled students, teachers, and staff from all schools/institutions targeted
- Number of beneficiaries at healthcare settings/HCFs can be estimated by adding number of staff and daily average of patients from all healthcare settings/HCFs targeted

Indicator #3.4.4: Number of sanitation facilities installed or improved (reported separately at communal points, in schools/institutions, and healthcare settings)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain
Numerator/Count

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Sanitation

Total number of sanitation facilities installed or improved (reported separately at communal points (or households), schools and other institutions, and healthcare settings [HCFs])—see additional reporting below

 Specify number of container-based (CB) sanitation facilities and all other sanitation facilities

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

Definition:

This indicator aims to assess total number of sanitation facilities installed or remediated (reported separately at communal points or households, schools/institutions, and healthcare settings [HCFs]).

- Communal points may include markets, transit hubs, existing public toilets, etc.
- For some schools, multiple sanitation facilities may be required and separated by sex, staff/students, etc.
- For healthcare settings (HCFs), multiple sanitation facilities may be required and separated by sex, staff/patients, designated COVID-19 patient areas, etc.
- This includes any type of improved sanitation facility, as defined by the Joint
 Monitoring Programme, such as latrine with slab, container-based toilet, flush toilet,
 etc.

Indicator #3.4.5: Number of sanitation facilities that are actively managed (reported separately at communal points, in schools/institutions, and healthcare settings)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain

Numerator/Count

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Sanitation

Total number of sanitation facilities managed directly by grant recipients that are cleaned and

disinfected at least once daily—see additional reporting below

- Frequency that fecal waste is emptied (if applicable within the intervention)
- Quantity of fecal waste emptied (if applicable within the intervention)

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Definition:

N/A

Active management includes daily cleaning and disinfection and removing fecal sludge, if applicable within the intervention. This indicator is only applicable for sanitation facilities that are managed/operated directly by grant recipients

Indicator #3.4.6: Number of water points/water systems installed and/or improved (reported separately for communal points, schools/institutions, and healthcare settings)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Water Supply

Total number of water points/water systems installed and/or improved (reported separately for

communal points (or households), schools/institutions, and healthcare settings [HCFs])

N/A

Definition:

This may include any water source defined as improved according to the Joint Monitoring Programme. Individual water points may include boreholes, rainwater catchment, etc. and water systems may include piped systems with multiple taps

Indicator #3.4.7: Number of liters of locally produced alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) produced

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme/Domain

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/ABHR Production and Distribution

Total number of liters of ABHR produced through a local ABHR production program

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

This indicator aims to assess the amount of ABHR produced and distributed through a local production program. This indicator is not intended to be used when commercially available ABHR was purchased for distribution

Indicator #3.4.8: Number of liters of locally produced alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) distributed

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Denominator

Sub-theme/Domain

Numerator/Count

Additional Guidance

Community Mitigation

Community Mitigation

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/ABHR Production and Distribution

Number of liters of ABHR distributed through a local ABHR production program to a) Communal points, b) Schools and other institutions, and c) Healthcare settings

N/A

Definition:

This indicator aims to assess the amount of ABHR distributed through a local production program. This indicator is not intended to be used when commercially available ABHR was purchased for distribution

Indicator #3.4.9: Number of community-level handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation Theme Community Mitigation

Sub-theme/Domain Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Communal Points

Numerator/Count Total number of community-level handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted

N/A Denominator

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

This indicator aims to assess all types of hygiene messaging conducted or distributed by Community Health Workers (CHWs) or other hygiene promoters. Messaging activities should include hygiene posters/flyers/other Information, Education and Communication (IEC) materials distributed, radio messages produced, social media posts produced/posted, TV appearances, inperson hygiene messages conducted, or other

Indicator #3.4.10: Estimated number of persons reached with community-level handwashing and hygiene messaging

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation

Theme Community Mitigation

Sub-theme/Domain Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Communal Points

Numerator/Count Estimated number of beneficiaries who were either directly reached or are in the catchment

area of the messaging campaign

N/A Denominator

Additional Guidance Definition:

> This indicator aims to assess the number of people reached by hygiene promotion and education activities, including promotion by CHWs, use of IEC materials, use of media and social media, or other platforms. Programs can determine the number of beneficiaries reached using program data or estimate the number using previous census tract information in areas where messaging campaigns are implemented

Indicator #3.4.11: Number of hygiene kits distributed

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation Theme Community Mitigation

Sub-theme/Domain Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Households

Number of hand hygiene kits distributed Numerator/Count

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance Definition:

> Contents of hygiene kits may vary by location or context, and may include hand hygiene station, alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR), household water treatment, water storage container, cleaning/disinfection materials, PPE, etc.

Indicator #3.4.12: Number of households receiving hygiene kit(s)

Priority Technical Area Community Mitigation

Theme Community Mitigation

Sub-theme/Domain Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Households Number of households receiving hand hygiene kit(s) Numerator/Count

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Contents of hygiene kits may vary by location or context, and may include handwashing station, alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR), household water treatment, water storage container, cleaning/disinfection materials, PPE, etc.

Indicator #3.4.13: Number of handwashing and hygiene messaging activities conducted at the household level	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH)/Households
Numerator/Count	Number of hand hygiene posters/flyers/other IEC materials distributed door-to-door and in-
	person hygiene messaging activities conducted door-to-door, as well as others
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	This indicator aims to assess all types of hygiene messaging conducted or distributed by Community Health Workers (CHWs) or other hygiene promoters at the household level

	community Health Workers (CHWs) or other hygiene promoters at the household level
Indicator #3.4.14: Percent	t of staff trained that are active in case investigation or contact tracing during reporting period
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	Contact Tracing
Numerator/Count	Number of staff trained that are active in case investigation or contact tracing during reporting
	period
Denominator	Total number of staff trained
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	 Numerator: may include number of staff who completed course for contact tracing/case investigation or any other local requirements to work in the contact tracing/case investigation program Denominator: is assumed that all that are trained may or may not be actively working on case investigations, based on local epi needs or other factors. This indicator aims to capture the number of staff who are actively working in the contact tracing/case

investigation program

Indicator #3.4.15: Numbe	r of community sensitization/education meetings or advocacy products (e.g., radio
	nessaging campaigns, etc.) held or disseminated for contact tracing
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	Contact Tracing
Numerator/Count	Number of community sensitization/education meetings or advocacy products held or
	disseminated for contact tracing
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	This indicator aims to capture the extent of communications activities implemented in relation
	to contact tracing/case investigation programs. Do not include communications that are directly
	linked to cases for the purposes of investigation. This indicator is about broader community
	activities aimed at educating the public about contact tracing and case investigations. Do not
	include the number of unique communications materials distributed, but rather the overall
	activity. For example, if several posters were distributed at a local market to inform the public
	regarding contact tracing efforts in the community, count that as one product rather than the
	number of posters posted throughout the market

Indicator #3.4.16: Number of periodic (daily or weekly) situational reports that include case investigation and contact tracing key indicator data	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	Contact Tracing
Numerator/Count	Number of periodic (daily or weekly) situational reports that include case investigation and
	contact tracing key indicator data
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	This indicator aims to capture the extent to which data from contact tracing and case
	investigations is routinely being shared
	Report whether the situation reports are released daily or weekly

Indicator #3.4.17: Percent of the following indicators reported on in situational report out of: 1) Number and percentage of clients interviewed within 6 days of symptom onset, 2) Number and percentage of clients interviewed within a day from report to health authority during review period, 3) Median number of days from assignment of investigations to interview during review period, 4) Median number of days from initiation/assignment of contact to notification during review period, 5) Percent of cases that originate in contact list

5) Percent of cases that of	riginate in contact list
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Community Mitigation
Sub-theme	Contact Tracing
Numerator/Count	Number of indicators (out of the 5 recommended) included in the situational reports for case investigation and contact tracing—see additional reporting requirements below
	Report number of indicators included in the situational report and select the indicators included in the number included in the situational report: • Number and percentage of clients interviewed within 6 days of symptom onset • Number and percentage of clients interviewed within a day from report to health authority during review period • Median number of days from assignment of investigations to interview during review period • Median number of days from initiation/assignment of contact to notification during review period • Percent of cases that originate in contact list
Denominator	5 (recommended listed indicators)
Additional Guidance	N/A

KPI #3.4.18: Key mitigation activities were implemented during the reporting period to meet the needs of specific populations.

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

KPI #3.4.19: Proportion of facilities where adequate hand hygiene or other WASH interventions have been implemented (reported separately at communal points, schools and other institutions, and healthcare facilities)

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

3.5 Policy

Indicator #3.5.1: Proportion of facilities utilizing multi-month (3 months or more) dispensing (MMD) of prescriptions	
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation
Theme	Policy
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities utilizing multi-month (3 months or more) dispensing (MMD) of
	prescriptions
Denominator	Total number of facilities the implementing partner is working with on MMD
Additional Guidance	N/A

Indicator #3.5.2: Number of patients receiving 3 months or more of medication		
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation	
Theme	Policy	
Sub-theme	N/A	
Numerator/Count	Number of patients receiving 3 months or more of medication	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definitions:	
	 Each person who receives care at a facility or mobile unit is defined as a patient If one or more medication prescribed by the health care providers is dispensed for three months or more, they should be considered having met this indicator. Partial dispensing of a patient's medication profile (some of the client's prescribed meds dispensed for three months or more, but not all) should be counted 	

Indicator #3.5.3: Proportion	on of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB preventative treatment (TPT)				
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation				
Theme	Policy				
Sub-theme	N/A				
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB Preventative Treatment (TPT)				
Denominator	Total number of facilities that were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects				
Additional Guidance	Definitions:				
	 MMD: Multi-Month Dispensing. MMD is the provision of medicine for 3 months or more at a time (e.g., for a pill that is taken daily, give 90 pills instead of 30 at each visit) TPT: TB Preventative Treatment. TPT is provisions to individuals at risk of developing TB disease, including people living with HIV and young children in contact with a TB case. Example TPT regimen: six months of daily Isoniazid (INH) 				

Indicator #3.5.4: Proportion of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB Treatment					
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation				
Theme	Policy				
Sub-theme	N/A				
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities implementing multi-month dispensing (MMD) for TB Treatment				
Denominator	Total number of facilities that were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects				
Additional Guidance	 Definitions: MMD: Multi-Month Dispensing. MMD is the provision of medicine for 3 months or more at a time (e.g., for a pill that is taken daily, give 90 pills instead of 30 at each visit) TB treatment: Six months of daily treatment with three (for some young children) to four drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, and +/- ethambutol) 				

	four drugs (isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide, and +/- ethambutoi)				
Indicator #3.5.5: Proportion	n of facilities implementing bi-directional TB and COVID-19 screening				
Priority Technical Area	Community Mitigation				
Theme	Policy				
Sub-theme	N/A				
Numerator/Count	Number of facilities implementing bi-directional TB and COVID-19 screening				
Denominator	Total number of facilities that were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects				
Additional Guidance	 Definitions: Facilities covers all types of health facilities (e.g., hospitals, clinics, etc.) Bi-directional screening refers to systematic assessment and evaluation of individuals suspected of having COVID-19 and/or TB disease to receive evaluation and testing, if indicated, for the other disease, preferably during the same visit. It must include:				

for the other

Indicator #3.5.6: Proportion of facilities that have standardized algorithm and SOPs established for bi-directional screening for COVID-19 and TB in relevant clinical settings

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Policy

Community Mitigation

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Number of facilities with a standardized algorithm and SOPs for bi-directional screening

Total number of facilities that were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

- Facilities covers all types of health facilities (e.g., hospitals, clinics, etc.)
- Relevant clinical settings are any health facility (e.g., clinic, hospital, primary care facility) where individuals receive care and diagnostic evaluation for illness under the supervision of clinicians and health care workers
- An algorithm and SOPs must include at least:
 - At what entry points individuals are screened
 - o Documentation of screening results for each disease
 - o Referral for testing upon screening positive
 - o Dual specimen collection and referral (if testing is included in intervention)
 - o Monitoring demand for testing platform
 - o Documentation of test results

Indicator #3.5.7: Proportion of facilities that have conducted training on algorithms and SOPs for bi-directional screening for COVID-19 and TB

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Community Mitigation

Policy

N/A

Number of facilities that have conducted training on standardized algorithm and SOPs

Total number of facilities that were selected and/or supported/funded by the projects

- Facilities covers all types of health facilities (e.g., hospitals, clinics, etc.)
- Training means in-person or virtual orientation to the documents and procedures that
 health care workers in a particular facility are expected to carry out to ensure testing
 and linkage to care for individuals suspected of having either COVID-19 or TB disease

SECTION 4: EMERGENCY OPERATIONS AND RESPONSE

Key Performance Indicators

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Workforce

Number of CDC trained PHEM fellows currently being utilized in country

N/A

Definitions:

- Training includes:
 - o Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
 - Multidisciplinary participants representing the key sectors involved in response
- Utilized Served in a PHEM role during the COVID-19 response. Examples include: EOC Manager, Incident Manager, Operations Section Chief, Planning Section Chief, Logistics Section Chief, Finance and Administration Section Chief, Public Information Officer, Risk Communications, Liaison Officer, Safety Officer, Rapid Response Team Manager, Scientific Technical Assistance (e.g., Surveillance, IPC, Border Health, etc.), other

KPI #4.1.18: Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coordination roles that were trained/supported by the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent) and served in this function for the COVID-19 response

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

rvamerator, count

Denominator Additional Guidance Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coordination roles that were trained/supported by the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent) and served in this function for the COVID-19 response

N/A

- RRT: Rapid Response Team
- NPHI: National Public Health Institute
- PHEOC: Public Health Emergency Operations Center
- Country equivalent: not every country has these entities (NPHI or PHEOC) established but may have a response structure in place that trained/supported RRT responders

KPI #4.1.19: The country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., PHEOC or country equivalent) has an emergency RCCE coordination pillar/group

Priority Technical Area Theme Sub-theme Numerator/Count Denominator Additional Guidance Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

- PHEOC: Public Health Emergency Operations Center
- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- Country equivalent: not every country has a PHEOC established but may have a response structure in place that has an emergency RCCE coordination pillar/group
- Emergency RCCE coordination pillar/group may refer to JIC (Joint Information Center) or any other term similar to RCCE and JIC
- RCCE coordination pillars/groups or JICs are embedded in the Emergency Operations
 Center or Incident Management System and lead and coordinate risk communication
 and community engagement strategies and activities for the response. This
 establishment includes:
 - Development and dissemination of messages that are timely, accurate, consistent, and actionable
 - Two-way communication mechanisms and processes with local communities, partners, and media
 - Staff and resources identified to lead or support risk communication and community engagement activities

4.1 Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Indicator #4.1.1: Number of workshops/trainings on EMSI, RRT management and responder readiness, RCCE, and/or PHEM

Priority Technical Area

Emergency Operations and Response

Theme

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Sub-theme

Workforce

Numerator/Count

Number of workshops/training on EMSI, RRT management and responder readiness, RCCE,

and/or PHEM

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

- EMSI: Emergency Management Systems Integration
- RRT: Rapid Response Team
- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- PHEM: Public Health Emergency Management
- Responder readiness: Responders the meet the technical, administrative and operational requirements to respond effectively and efficiently

Workshop should include:

- Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
- Alignment with global (and in country, when available) guidance on management and training on these technical components

Indicator #4.1.2: Number of participants trained in emergency management systems integration

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Workforce

Number of participants trained in emergency management systems integration

N/A

Definition:

Training includes:

- Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
- Understanding of emergency management principles and how they can be applied to a public health program
- Inclusion of relevant public health programs to integrate into the emergency response framework of the country
- Delineating coordination mechanisms to ensure optimal information, data, and resource sharing
- Mapping key response stakeholders and their respective roles and responsibilities

Indicator #4.1.3: Number of multidisciplinary participants trained for COVID-19 rapid response teams

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Workforce

Number of multidisciplinary participants trained for COVID-19 rapid response teams

N/A

Definition:

Training includes:

- Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
- Multidisciplinary participants representing the key sectors involved in response
- Curriculum aligned with internationally recognized RRT training standards and guidance

Indicator	- # <i>∆</i> 1	4 · N	umher	of	narticii	nants	trained	in	RCCE
muicatoi	#4.1.	4 . IV	ullibel	UΙ	pai titij	pants	uanicu		INCCL

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Workforce

Number of participants trained in RCCE

N/A

Definitions:

RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement

Training includes:

- Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
- Curriculum aligned with internationally recognized RCCE training standards and guidance
- Key RCCE stakeholders as participants

KPI #4.1.5: Number of CDC trained PHEM fellows currently being utilized in country

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

Indicator #4.1.6: Number of policies, plans, processes, and SOPs established for EMSI, RRT, RCCE, and/or PHEM

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

Processes

Number of policies, plans, processes, and SOPs established for EMSI, RRT, RCCE and/or PHEM

N/A

Definitions:

- EMSI: Emergency Management Systems Integration
- RRT: Rapid Response Team
- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- PHEM: Public Health Emergency Management

Document development includes:

- Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
- Representation of perspective of key response stakeholders both inter- and extragovernmental
- Alignment with internationally recognized guidance in the technical lanes

Indicator #4.1.7: Number of COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supported by their NPHI or country equivalent

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Number of COVID-19 specific **trainings/workshops/webinars** organized and/or supported by their NPHI or country equivalent

N/A

Additional Guidance **Defir**

- NPHI: National Public Health Institute
- Country equivalent: not every country has an established NPHI but may have a response structure in place that has organized and/or supported these trainings/workshops/webinars

Indicator #4.1.8: Number of participants in COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supported by their NPHI or country equivalent

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Denominator

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Number of **participants** in COVID-19 specific trainings/workshops/webinars organized and/or supported by their NPHI or country equivalent

N/A

Additional Guidance

Numerator/Count

Definitions:

- NPHI: National Public Health Institute
- Country equivalent: not every country has an established NPHI but may have a response structure in place that has organized and/or supported these trainings/workshops/webinars

Indicator #4.1.9: COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan has been developed at the country level with support of the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent)

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- NPHI: National Public Health Institute
- PHEOC: Public Health Emergency Operations Center
- Country equivalent: not every country has these entities (NPHI or PHEOC) established but may have a response structure in place that supported the development of a COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan
- Support: the NPHI or EOC is part of the conversations, possibly leading the development, but leading is not necessary. They are providing input and reviewing drafts.

Indicator #4.1.10: Country has capacity for EOC activation within 48 hours of detection of a public health event

Priority Technical Area

Theme
Sub-theme
Numerator/Count
Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

• EOC: Emergency Operations Center

To measure this, see if there are:

- Rosters of trained staff to fill Incident Management System (IMS) roles during a response (i.e., Incident Manager, Plans, Operations, Logistics)
- SOPs for activation of the Public Health Emergency Operations Center

Indicator #4.1.11: Country has capacity for RRT deployment within 48 hours of detection of a public health event

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

• RRT: Rapid Response Team

To measure this, see if there are:

- Rosters of trained staff to fill Incident Management System (IMS) roles during a response (i.e., Incident Manager, Plans, Operations, Logistics)
- SOPs for activation of the Public Health Rapid Response Team

Indicator #4.1.12: A national RCCE strategy and operations plan has been established or updated and approved

Priority Technical Area Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- Established: Drafted with partner input and ready for clearance by ministry officials
- Approved: Cleared and signed off my Ministry of Health lead or designee

This plan identifies risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) evidence-based principles, protocols, and procedures to be followed in the event of a crisis or emergency with public health consequences. Ideally this includes or refers to a Strategic and Action/Operational Plan, budget, and key stakeholders for RCCE activities.

Partner input could include international, national, and subnational organizations, community groups, and RCCE subject matter experts. Recommended that RCCE plan is included as part of larger emergency response plan.

Indicator #4.1.13: A national RCCE training package has been established and approved in the last 6 months

Priority Technical Area

Theme
Sub-theme
Numerator/Count
Denominator
Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- Established: Drafted with partner input and ready for clearance by ministry officials
- Approval: Cleared and signed off by Ministry of Health lead, lead public information officer, or designee

RCCE training package can be CDC's Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) or other evidenced-based RCCE training package. Package should include training on evidence-based principles, protocols, and procedures to be followed in the event of a crisis or emergency with public health consequences. Developers should include partners such as MOH, implementing partners, community groups, media, and other SMEs to ensure that the package is tailored for each country's communication and cultural contexts.

Indicator #4.1.14: A national RCCE training package has been implemented within 6 months of approval

Priority Technical Area Theme Sub-theme Numerator/Count Denominator Additional Guidance Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- Implemented: refers to the process of training key responders/stakeholders with the
 approved training package. This would include establishing protocol for on-going
 training as new staff and organizations join the response and system for updating
 training package as needed

RCCE training package can be CDC's Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) or other evidenced-based RCCE training package. Package should include training on evidence-based principles, protocols, and procedures to be followed in the event of a crisis or emergency with public health consequences. Developers should include partners such as MOH, implementing partners, community groups, media, and other SMEs to ensure that the package is tailored for each country's communication and cultural contexts.

Priority Technical Area

Theme
Sub-theme
Numerator/Count
Denominator
Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

Number of public health leaders, government officials, or media spokespersons trained in RCCE

N/A

Definitions:

• RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement

Examples of public health leaders include leaders of community-based, regional or national public health programs, clinical administrative officers in charge of community engagement, or private sector leaders working in the public health space (distributors, pharmacists, etc.).

Media spokespersons selected should be based on the individual's familiarity with the subject matter and his or her ability to talk about it in a way that is understandable and conveys confidence.

RCCE training can be CDC's Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication (CERC) or other evidenced-based RCCE training. Package should include training on evidence-based principles, protocols, and procedures to be followed in the event of a crisis or emergency with public health consequences. Training should be tailored for each country's communication and cultural contexts.

Indicator #4.1.16: Percent change in awareness and knowledge of public health leaders and community, government officials, or spokespersons to plan and implement RCCE interventions as determined by pre-and post-test assessment

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator Additional Guidance Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity

N/A

4.16a: Average pre-test assessment score

4.16b: Average post-test assessment score

N/A

Definitions:

- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- Percent change is the overall change in scores from pre-test to post-test (autocalculated)

Examples of public health leaders include leaders of community-based, regional or national public health programs, clinical administrative officers in charge of community engagement, or private sector leaders working in the public health space (distributors, pharmacists, etc.).

Media spokespersons selected should be based on the individual's familiarity with the subject matter and his or her ability to talk about it in a way that is understandable and conveys confidence.

Indicator #4.1.17: Numbe population(s)	r of strategic behavior change/risk communication messages and/or products developed for target				
Priority Technical Area	Emergency Operations and Response				
Theme	Strengthening of International Emergency Response Capacity				
Sub-theme	N/A				
Numerator/Count	Number of strategic behavior change/risk communication messages and/or products developed				
	for target population(s)				
Denominator	N/A				
Additional Guidance	Definitions:				
	 Communication products can include but are not limited to success stories, web content, social media content, key messaging, PowerPoint presentations, fact sheets, radio messages produced, social media posts produced and posted, TV appearances, and media relations products Targeted populations can include but are not limited to policy makers, officials in country, U.S. government officials, general public, etc. 				

KPI #4.1.18: Number of trained RRT responders who served as responders in the field or in response coordination roles that were trained/supported by the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent) and served in this function for the COVID-19 response

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

KPI #4.1.19: The country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., PHEOC or country equivalent) has an emergency RCCE coordination pillar/group

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

4.2 Strengthening of International Emergency Operations

Indicator #4.2.1: Number of emergency operation centers (EOCs) established and/or strengthened with the associated systems within national public health institutes and at subnational levels

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Operations

Processes

Number of emergency operation centers (EOCs) established and/or strengthened with the associated systems within national public health institutes and at subnational levels

N/A

Definition:

EOCs development includes:

- Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country
- Aligned with internationally recognized guidance in the technical lanes
- A focus on staffing and training
- A focus on relevant systems, processes, procedures and policies needed for effective EOC operations
- A focus on the equipment required to operate an EOC

Indicator #4.2.2: Does the partner provide support for recovery operations planning and implementation?

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Operations

Processes

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

Support for recovery operations may include after-action reviews (AAR), transitioning response operations to public health programs, restarting public health programs that stopped/decrease operations during the COVID-19 response, and/or strengthening health systems to ensure the system is resilient to future public health emergencies.

- After-action reviews (AAR) include reviewing public health emergencies through a formal review process to identify gaps and steps to address the gaps
- Public health programs include the programs that provide health services, technical/scientific programs (laboratory, surveillance, etc.), and public health projects that comprise the public health system of a country

Indicator #4.2.3: COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan has been implemented at the country level with support of the country's emergency coordination entity (e.g., NPHI, PHEOC, or country equivalent)

Priority Technical Area

Theme
Sub-theme
Numerator/Count
Denominator
Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Operations

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- NPHI: National Public Health Institute
- PHEOC: Public Health Emergency Operations Center
- Country equivalent: not every country has these entities (NPHI or PHEOC) established but may have a response structure in place that supported the implementation of a COVID-19 strategic response and recovery plan
- Support: the NPHI or EOC is part of the conversations, possibly leading the development, but leading is not necessary. They are providing input and reviewing drafts.

Indicator #4.2.4: Number of new subnational PHEOCs operational for COVID-19

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Operations

N/A

Number of new subnational PHEOCs operational for COVID-19

N/A

Definitions:

- PHEOCs: Public Health Emergency Operations Centers
- Operational: PHEOCs have trained staff with specific roles, plans, and SOPs to manage and operate the PHEOC

Indicator #4.2.5: A national RCCE strategy and operations plan has been used and/or tested in an exercise or response with key response stakeholders within the first year after being approved

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Strengthening of International Emergency Operations

N/A

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- RCCE: Risk Communications and Community Engagement
- Key response stakeholders could include multilateral, international, national, and subnational organizations/responders, community groups, and Ministry of Health personnel
- Use of plan can include an exercise such as a table-top exercise, simulation exercise or
 use during an actual emergency response. At least one staff member or partner
 actively carries out the outlined work and identified as responsible entity for
 implementing plan.
- An RCCE plan is a living document and should be reviewed and updated annually based on experiences and feedback from exercise or response

4.3 Multilateral Emergency Response Support

Indicator #4.3.1: Number of information sharing systems or mechanisms established within national public health institutes/Ministry of Health and among key stakeholders

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Multilateral Emergency Response Support

Processes

Number of information sharing systems or mechanisms established within national public

health institutes/Ministry of Health and among key stakeholders

N/A

Definition: Information sharing system has:

• Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country

• Aligned with internationally recognized guidance regarding public health reporting (e.g. IHR)

Representation of and access available for multidisciplinary public health programs

 Representation of and access available for key response stakeholders both inter- and extra-governmental

• Ability to collect, analyze, visualize, and develop reports for dissemination

Indicator #4.3.2: Number of platforms developed for integrated data sharing at the national and subnational levels

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Emergency Operations and Response

Multilateral Emergency Response Support

Processes

Number of platforms developed for integrated data sharing at the national and subnational

levels

N/A

Definition:

Information sharing platform has:

• Alignment with current response plans, policies and procedures in the country

 Aligned with internationally recognized guidance regarding public health reporting (e.g., IHR)

Representation of and access available for multidisciplinary public health programs

 Representation of and access available for key response stakeholders both inter- and extra-governmental

• Ability to collect, analyze, visualize, and develop reports for dissemination

Usability for response decision making in the emergency coordination entity (e.g., EOC)

SECTION 5: LABORATORY DIAGNOSTICS

Key Performance Indicators

Priority Technical Area

_aboratory Diagnostics

Theme

aboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Sub-theme

Site Participation

Numerator/Count

Number of participants trained by training area for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality,

biosecurity

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

• In **Response Column** specify number by training area (testing, biosafety, laboratory quality or biosecurity)

Definition:

Attendees of training include all registrants where participation can be confirmed of a COVID-19 laboratory related course or webinar.

KPI #5.3.9: Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] financially supported and completed under appropriate storage and transport conditions

Priority Technical Area

Laboratory Diagnostics

Theme Sub-theme Laboratory Procurement

Numerator/Count

Activity Outcome

Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods financially supported and completed under appropriate storage and transport conditions

Reported separately by order type:

- General reagents
- Lab consumables
- Equipment
- Diagnostic kits (molecular)
- Diagnostic kits (antigen)
- Diagnostic kits (antibody)

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Number of all orders that were delivered to the last mile in country that arrived under appropriate storage and transport conditions, as indicated by the manufacturer for each included item and/or specified by requisition orders or quality officers overseeing appropriate shipment and transport of goods.

5.1 Laboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Indicator #5.1.1: Number of	craining tools developed for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, and biosecurity
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Site Level Accounting

Number of training tools developed for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, and biosecurity

N/A

- In Response Column: Specify number by training area for developed training materials (testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, or biosecurity)
- In Check box: Check all that apply Indicate what training material was developed (such as fact sheets, slide presentations, job-aids, manuals, translations, webinars, case studies, validation, templates, questionnaires)

Definition:

Training tools should meet the following criteria:

- Designed to offer training for laboratory activities in technical systems such as testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, and biosecurity related to COVID-19
- Materials, printed or electronic, must contain content designed to impart functional knowledge for operating, maintaining, or strengthening laboratory COVID-19 analysis

Indicator #5.1.2: Number of training of trainer (TOT) sessions held by training for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

_aboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Site Participation

Number of training of trainer (TOT) sessions held by training area for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity

N/A

In Response Column: Specify number by training area for training delivered (testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, or biosecurity)

Definition:

Training may include:

- In-person or eLearning courses or webinars presented to registered participants on laboratory activities associated with COVID-19 systems on technical systems such as testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, and biosecurity
- Delivery may include trainings, on-site reviews or other forms of tool dissemination and user sensitization

KPI #5.1.3: Number of participants trained by training area for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional quidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #5.1.4: Number of supported sites that received non-training related technical assistant
--

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

_aboratory Diagnostics

_aboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Site Participation

Number of supported sites that received non-training related technical assistance

N/A

Definition:

- Testing supported sites that received any form of technical assistance for SARS CoV-2 laboratory capacity strengthening or implementation in addition to training delivered
- Exclude supported sites that only received training

Indicator #5.1.5: Number of staff documented as receiving laboratory training with certificate of completion for testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

_aboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Activity Outcome

Number of staff documented as receiving laboratory training

N/A

In Response Column: Specify number by training area (testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, or biosecurity)

Definition:

Staff receiving training includes:

Certificate of completion for participants who attended and completed each training session for each area

Indicator #5.1.6: Number of staff certified as competent in testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, biosecurity

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

aboratory Training and Technical Assistance

Activity Outcome

Number of staff certified as competent

N/A

In **Response Column**: Specify number by training area (testing, biosafety, laboratory quality, or biosecurity)

Definition:

Competency should include:

- Participants that received Certificates of Achievement for obtaining a passing score for each training area
- Participants deemed competent after successful completing and passing training for each area

5.2 Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Indicator #5.2.1: Number of rounds of proficiency testing performed for supported sites conducting COVID-19 testing in any of three areas: molecular, antibody, and/or antigen

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Laboratory Diagnostics

riieiiie

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Sub-theme

Site Level Accounting

Numerator/Count

Number of rounds of proficiency testing performed for supported sites conducting COVID-19

testing in any of three areas: molecular, antibody, and/or antigen

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

• In **Response Column**: Specify number of rounds by testing area (molecular, antibody, or antigen)

Definitions:

- Testing facilities include all supported sites (laboratory or point-of-care) performing SARS-CoV-2 patient testing in any of three areas (molecular, antibody, and/or antigen)
- Proficiency Testing (PT) rounds includes total rounds of testing during the reporting period from a SARS CoV-2 PT or EQAP providing operation

Indicator #5.2.3: Number of supported sites participating in External Quality Assurance Programs (EQAP)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Site Participation

Number of supported sites participating in External Quality Assurance Programs (EQAP)

N/A

• Required **Check Box**: Choose all that apply – specify type of testing (molecular, antibody, or antigen)

Definition:

Total number of supported sites that were enrolled and participated in SARS-CoV-2 EQAP during the reporting period.

Indicator #5.2.4: Number of supported sites with laboratory staff participating in approved PT programs

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Site Participation

Number of supported sites participating in approved PT programs

N/A

 Required Check Box: Choose all that apply – specify type of testing (molecular, antibody, or antigen)

Definitions:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in SARS-CoV-2 PT programs during the reporting period
- PT: Proficiency Testing

Indicator #5.2.5: Number of supported sites requesting QA/QC technical assistance

Priority Technical Area

_aboratory Diagnostics

Site Participation

Theme

_aboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Sub-theme Numerator/Count

Number of supported sites requesting QA/QC technical assistance

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

Required Check Box: Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)

Definition:

Technical assistance may be any formal request for laboratory quality related issue (quality improvement, specimen management, data collection and management, testing, result

Indicator #5.2.6: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved successful/passing score

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Activity Outcome

Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved successful/passing score

N/A

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and achieved a successful result (qualitative) or passing (quantitative) score as established by the provider
- EQAP: External Quality Assurance Programs

Indicator #5.2.7: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved a score of 100%

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Activity Outcome

Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved a score of 100%

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and achieved a fully successful result for all samples within the panel (qualitative) or a score of 100% (quantitative)
- EQAP: External Quality Assurance Programs

Indicator #5.2.8: Number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

_aboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Activity Outcome

Number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative)

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative)
- EQAP: External Quality Assurance Programs

Indicator #5.2.9: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP and/or approved PT programs and did not achieve a successful result that documented what corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results

Priority Technical Area

_aboratory Diagnostics

Theme

_aboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Sub-theme

Activity Outcome

Numerator/Count

Number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and/or approved PT programs and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative) that documented what corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in EQAP and/or approved PT programs and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative) that documented that corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results
- EQAP: External Quality Assurance Programs
- PT: Proficiency Testing

Indicator #5.2.10: Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved less than 100% score in more than one consecutive round

Priority Technical Area

_aboratory Diagnostics

Theme

aboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Sub-theme

Activity Outcome

Numerator/Count

Number of supported sites participating in EQAP that achieved less than 100% score in more

than one consecutive round

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in more than one EQAP round and achieved a score of less than 100% across consecutive rounds
- EQAP: External Quality Assurance Programs

Indicator #5.2.12: Number of supported sites participating in approved PT programs that achieved a score of 100%

Priority Technical Area

_aboratory Diagnostics

Theme Sub-theme Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Activity Outcome

Numerator/Count

Number of supported sites participating in approved PT programs that achieved a score of

100%

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in PT and achieved a fully successful result for all samples within the PT panel (qualitative) or a score of 100% (quantitative)
- PT: Proficiency Testing

Indicator #5.2.14: Number of supported sites that participated in approved PT and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the PT panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative) that documented what corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Laboratory Diagnostics

Activity Outcome

Sub-theme

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Numerator/Count

Number of supported sites that participated in approved PT and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the PT panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative) that documented what corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

- Total number of supported sites that participated in approved PT and did not achieve a successful result for every sample in the PT panel (qualitative) or scored less than 100% (quantitative) that documented that corrective action would be, or was, taken to address unsuccessful results
- PT: Proficiency Testing

Indicator #5.2.16: Number of supported sites using protocols authorized for US FDA EUA and/or WHO EUL

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Activity Outcome

Number of supported sites using protocols authorized for US FDA EUA and/or WHO EUL

N/A

- In **Response Column**: Specify number of sites
- Required Check Box: Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)

Definition:

Report number of supported sites performing testing using protocols authorized by US FDA or WHO for emergency use.

Indicator #5.2.17: Number of supported sites using protocols not authorized for US FDA EUA and/or WHO EUL

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance

Activity Outcome

Number of supported sites using protocols not authorized for US FDA EUA and/or WHO EUL

N/A

- In **Response Column**: Specify number of sites
- Required **Check Box:** Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)

Definition:

Report number of supported sites performing testing using protocols not authorized by US FDA or WHO for emergency use.

Indicator #5.2.18: Number of	of supported sites that have COVID-19 related testing in their QMS
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of supported sites that have COVID-19 related testing in their QMS
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	In Response Column: Specify number of sites
	Required Check Box: Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)
	Definition:
	Supported sites that have documented COVID-19 testing as part of their quality management system (QMS).

Indicator #5.2.19: Number	of supported sites that are tracking quality indicators associated with SARS CoV-2 testing
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of supported sites that are tracking quality indicators associated with SARS CoV-2
	testing
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	In Response Column: Specify number of sites
	Required Check Box: Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)
	Definition:
	Supported sites that have documented quality tracking systems for SARS CoV-2 testing.

Indicator #5.2.20: Number and/or biological specimer	of supported sites that have COVID-19 bio-risk management policies, physical security controls, inventories
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of supported sites that have COVID-19 bio-risk management policies, physical security controls, and/or biological specimen inventories
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	 In Response Column: Specify number of sites Required Check Box: Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)
	Definition : Supported sites that have documentation demonstrating bio-risk management policies, physical security controls and/ or biological specimen inventories or that have been visited to verify these requirements are in place.

Indicator #5.2.21: Number of supported SARS-CoV-2 testing sites performing biological risk assessments for all COVID-19 related tests	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of supported SARS-CoV-2 testing sites performing biological risk assessments for all COVID-19 related tests
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	 In Response Column: Specify number of sites Required Check Box: Choose type of site (laboratory or point-of-care)
	Definition: Supported sites that have documentation that bio-risk management assessments for all COVID-19 tests are/ were performed

Indicator #5.2.22: Have you supported laboratories in the supported country to implement routine specimen referral systems and transport networks with defined and tracked turnaround time targets?	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Supported country with documentation demonstrating implementation of a routine specimen
	referral system for SARS-CoV-2 specimens (integrated with other diseases/ sample types or
	independent) and transport networks of any type with defined and documented turnaround.

Indicator #5.2.23: Number of laboratories that have on-site evidence of technical and biosafety standard operating	
procedures specific to COVID-19 testing	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of laboratories that have on-site evidence of technical and biosafety standard
	operating procedures specific to COVID-19 testing
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	In Response Column: Specify number of sites
	Definition:
	Supported sites that have on-site evidence of technical and biosafety standard operating procedures specific to COVID-19 testing

Indicator #5.2.24: Number of data or digital systems developed or maintained	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of data or digital systems developed or maintained
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Development or maintenance of data/digital systems include investments in digital
	infrastructure, health informatics, facilitating data integration to transmit data, creating
	reporting modules, and/or facilitating data integration to a central location.

Indicator #5.2.25: Number of laboratory staff that participated in SARS-CoV-2 proficiency testing (PT)	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of laboratory staff that participated in SARS-CoV-2 approved proficiency testing (PT)
	programs
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Include the total number of staff that participated in approved PT programs for SARS-CoV-2.

indicator #3.2.20. Number of laboratory staff participating in approved F1 programs that achieved successful/passing score	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of laboratory staff participating in approved PT programs that achieved
	successful/passing score
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Total number of laboratory staff that participated in approved PT programs and achieved a
	successful result (qualitative) or passing score (quantitative) as established by the provider.

Indicator #5.2.27: Number of laboratory staff that participated in approved PT programs and did not achieve a successful/passing score		
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics	
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance	
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome	
Numerator/Count	Total Number of laboratory staff that participated in approved PT programs and did not achieve	
	a successful result (qualitative) or passing score (quantitative) as established by the provider	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	Total number of laboratory staff that participated in approved PT programs and did not achieve a successful result (qualitative) or passing score (quantitative) as established by the provider.	

Indicator #5.2.28: Number of test kits validated for SARS-CoV-2	
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Quality Control/Quality Assurance
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of test kits validated for SARS-CoV-2
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	N/A

5.3 Laboratory Procurement

Indicator #5.3.1: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] submitted needing cost estimate creation

Priority Technical Area

Laboratory Diagnostics

Theme Sub-theme Laboratory Procurement
Site Level Accounting

Numerator/Count

Number of laboratory supply orders submitted and that needed a cost estimate

Reported separately by order type:

- General reagents
- Lab consumables
- Equipment
- Diagnostic kits (molecular)
- Diagnostic kits (antigen)
- Diagnostic kits (antibody)

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Note: the indicator is **not** asking for financial information, just the number of orders in this category. Order type includes general reagents, lab consumables, equipment, diagnostic kits (molecular), diagnostic kits (antigen), or diagnostic kits (antibody).

Indicator #5.3.2: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] requested that had cost estimates provided

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Laboratory Diagnostics

Laboratory Procurement
Site Level Accounting

Number of laboratory supply orders requested that had cost estimates provided

Reported separately by order type:

- General reagents
- Lab consumables
- Equipment
- Diagnostic kits (molecular)
- Diagnostic kits (antigen)
- Diagnostic kits (antibody)

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Note: the indicator is **not** asking for financial information, just the number of orders in this category. Order type includes general reagents, lab consumables, equipment, diagnostic kits (molecular), diagnostic kits (antigen), or diagnostic kits (antibody).

Indicator #5.3.3: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] with cost estimates finalized

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Laboratory Diagnostics _aboratory Procurement

Sub-theme

Site Participation

Numerator/Count

Number of laboratory supply orders with cost estimates finalized

Reported separately by order type:

- General reagents
- Lab consumables
- Equipment
- Diagnostic kits (molecular)
- Diagnostic kits (antigen)
- Diagnostic kits (antibody)

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Note: the indicator is **not** asking for financial information, just the number of orders in this category. Order type includes general reagents, lab consumables, equipment, diagnostic kits (molecular), diagnostic kits (antigen), or diagnostic kits (antibody).

Indicator #5.3.4: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] committed

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Laboratory Diagnostics

_aboratory Procurement

Site Participation

Number of laboratory supply orders committed

Reported separately by order type:

- General reagents
- Lab consumables
- Equipment
- Diagnostic kits (molecular)
- Diagnostic kits (antigen)
- Diagnostic kits (antibody)

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Note: the indicator is **not** asking for financial information, just the number of orders in this category. Order type includes general reagents, lab consumables, equipment, diagnostic kits (molecular), diagnostic kits (antigen), or diagnostic kits (antibody).

Indicator #5.3.5: Number of laboratory supply orders for [insert order type] procured		
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics	
Theme	Laboratory Procurement	
Sub-theme	Site Participation	
Numerator/Count	Number of laboratory supply orders procured	
	Reported separately by order type:	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition: Number of orders placed with the vendor.	

Indicator #5.3.6: Number	of POE deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] completed
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Procurement
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of POE deliveries of laboratory goods completed
	Reported separately by order type:
	General reagents
	Lab consumables
	Equipment
	Diagnostic kits (molecular)
	Diagnostic kits (antigen)
	Diagnostic kits (antibody)
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Number of orders for laboratory goods delivered to port-of entry.

Indicator #5.3.7: Number of POE deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] completed under appropriate transport and storage conditions		
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics	
Theme	Laboratory Procurement	
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome	
Numerator/Count	Number of POE deliveries of laboratory goods completed under appropriate transport and	
	storage conditions	
	Reported separately by order type:	
	General reagents	
	Lab consumables	
	Equipment	
	Diagnostic kits (molecular)	
	Diagnostic kits (antigen)	
	Diagnostic kits (antibody)	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	Number of deliveries where the goods arrived under appropriate transport and storage	
	conditions, as indicated by the manufacturer for each included item and/or specified by	
	requisition orders or quality officers overseeing appropriate shipment and transport of goods.	

	of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] financially supported
and completed	Laboratory Diagraphics
Priority Technical Area	Laboratory Diagnostics
Theme	Laboratory Procurement
Sub-theme	Activity Outcome
Numerator/Count	Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods financially supported and
	completed
	Reported separately by order type:
	General reagents
	Lab consumables
	Equipment
	Diagnostic kits (molecular)
	Diagnostic kits (antigen)
	Diagnostic kits (antibody)
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Number of all orders that were delivered to the last mile to all consignees in country.

KPI #5.3.9: Number of last-mile (in-country) deliveries of laboratory goods for [insert order type] financially supported and completed under appropriate storage and transport conditions

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

Indicator #5.3.10: Number of consignees that received financial and/or logistics support for procurement of laboratory reagents and/or other supplies necessary to conduct laboratory testing

Priority Technical Area _aboratory Diagnostics Theme _aboratory Procurement

Sub-theme Activity Outcome

Numerator/Count Number of consignees that received financial and/or logistics support for procurement of

laboratory reagents and/or other supplies necessary to conduct laboratory testing

Denominator N/A

Definition: Additional Guidance

Total number of consignees that had their supply transport to point-of-entry, customs

clearance, transport to last-mile destination or other logistical costs supported.

Indicator #5.3.11: Number of laboratory supply orders that have reconciliation reports completed

Priority Technical Area Laboratory Diagnostics Theme Laboratory Procurement

Sub-theme Activity Outcome

Numerator/Count Number of laboratory supply orders that have reconciliation reports completed

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance Definition:

Orders which have post-delivery financial reconciliation reports completed.

Indicator #5.3.12: Number of laboratories that received COVID-19 diagnostic test kits that met transport and storage requirements and were delivered within projected delivery timelines

Priority Technical Area Laboratory Diagnostics Theme Laboratory Procurement

Sub-theme Activity Outcome

Numerator/Count Number of laboratory supply orders that have reconciliation reports completed

Denominator N/A Additional Guidance

Definition:

Orders which have post-delivery financial reconciliation reports completed.

SECTION 6: Surveillance and Epidemiology

Key Performance Indicators

KPI #6.1.1: Is SARS-CoV-2 testing incorporated into existing ILI, SARI or other respiratory disease sentinel surveillance [at national or subnational level], including extension of surveillance and testing to seasons during which respiratory disease surveillance is not typically done or influenza virus is not known to circulate?

Surveillance and Epidemiology Priority Technical Area

SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms Theme

N/A Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

N/A Denominator

Definition: Additional Guidance

SARS-CoV-2 testing is incorporated into existing sentinel surveillance system (s) AND

surveillance and testing is extended to seasons during which respiratory disease surveillance is

not typically done or influenza virus is not known to circulate

KPI #6.1.7: Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme N/A

Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance Numerator/Count

N/A Denominator Additional Guidance N/A

KPI #6.2.2a: Number of participants in trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

Priority Technical Area

Surveillance and Epidemiology Theme Surveillance-related Trainings

Sub-theme

Number of participants in trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve Numerator/Count

COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Participants include trainees/members of subnational epidemiology workforce (staff working at an administrative level BELOW the national Ministry of Health or equivalent) targeted for knowledge transfer

KPI #6.2.2b: Number of participants in trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

transfer

Surveillance-related Trainings Theme Sub-theme

Number of participants in trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-Numerator/Count

19 surveillance and contact tracing

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance Definition:

> Participants include trainees/members of national epidemiology workforce (staff working at an administrative level at the national Ministry of Health or equivalent) targeted for knowledge

KPI #6.3.2: Country received CDC implementing partner technical assistance for planning, implementation, or evaluation of COVID-19 epidemiology and surveillance activities

Priority Technical Area Theme Numerator/Count Denominator Additional Guidance Surveillance and Epidemiology
Surveillance-related Activities

Yes/No response

N/A

Definitions:

- Technical assistance could include developing and implementing protocols/SOPs for conducting COVID-19 case reporting/surveillance, assisting with development and implementation of seroprevalence surveys and other epidemiological studies, conducting trainings, conducting needs assessments on status of surveillance systems,
- Relevant epidemiology and surveillance activities could include case reporting, seroprevalence survey implementation, implementation of other COVID-19 epidemiological studies, etc.

6.1 SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

KPI #6.1.1: Is SARS-CoV-2 testing incorporated into existing ILI, SARI or other respiratory disease sentinel surveillance [at national or subnational level], including extension of surveillance and testing to seasons during which respiratory disease surveillance is not typically done or influenza virus is not known to circulate?

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #6.1.2: Is technical assistance being provided to [national or subnational staff] on routinely reporting epidemiologic and virologic data on COVID-19 collected through sentinel surveillance (ILI, SARI, ARI) and/or non-sentinel surveillance sites

Priority Technical Area

Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme

SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Yes/No response

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Technical assistance is being provided to promote routinely reporting on data collected through existing sentinel and non-sentinel surveillance site(s)

Indicator #6.1.3: Extent to which technical assistance has been provided to country for weekly surveillance reporting on the weekly number of new confirmed cases, deaths, and hospitalizations, including in HCWs, disaggregated by age, sex, and

geographic region

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme

SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Check Box: Not started/Planned/Provided but reporting not started/Provided and reporting

ngoing

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

- This indicator aims to determine completeness of reporting on new cases, deaths, and hospitalizations to monitor performance
- Technical assistance provided for weekly reporting should include reporting on the number of new confirmed cases, new confirmed deaths, and new confirmed hospitalizations

KPI #6.1.7: Number of digital systems implemented for COVID-19 surveillance

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #6.1.8: Country includes COVID-19 case data in their routine, national disease reporting systems

Priority Technical Area

Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme

SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Yes/No response

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Country reports COVID-19 case data per WHO surveillance reporting guidelines.

Indicator #6.1.9: Country includes COVID-19 case data in their national weekly and/or monthly epidemiology-surveillance bulletins

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

Denominator N/A Additional Guidance N/A

Indicator #6.1.10: Proportion of labs supported/funded by the projects that are connected to a LIMS with a COVID-19

module

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Number of labs supported/funded by the projects that are connected to a LIMS with a COVID-

Denominator Number of labs that were supported/funded by the projects

Definitions: Additional Guidance

LIMS: Laboratory Information Management System

Indicator #6.1.11: Country is implementing COVID-19 surveillance program evaluations

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms Theme

N/A Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

Denominator N/A N/A Additional Guidance

Indicator #6.1.12: Country is planning or implementing seroprevalence studies

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

N/A Denominator

N/A Additional Guidance

Indicator #6.1.13: Country has complete COVID-19 surveillance reporting

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

SARS-CoV-2 Data to ILI/SARI Platforms Theme

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Yes/No response

N/A Denominator Additional Guidance

N/A

6.2 Surveillance-related Trainings

Indicator #6.2.1a: Number of trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance a	and
contact tracing	

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme Surveillance-related Trainings Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Number of trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19

surveillance and contact tracing

Denominator N/A

Definition: Additional Guidance

This indicator includes trainings for subnational epidemiology workforce (staff working at an

administrative level BELOW the national Ministry of Health or equivalent)

Indicator #6.2.1b: Number of trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

Surveillance and Epidemiology Priority Technical Area

Surveillance-related Trainings Theme N/A Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Number of trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19

surveillance and contact tracing

N/A Denominator

Additional Guidance Definition:

This indicator includes trainings for national epidemiology workforce (staff working at an

administrative level at the national Ministry of Health or equivalent)

KPI #6.2.2a: Number of participants of trainings held for subnational epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional quidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

KPI #6.2.2b: Number of participants of trainings held for national epidemiology workforce to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

Indicator #6.2.3: Percent of all targeted geographic areas with at least one trained personnel to improve COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing

Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology

Surveillance-related Trainings

Sub-theme

Theme

Number of all targeted geographic areas with at least one trained personnel to improve COVID-Numerator/Count

19 surveillance and contact tracing

Denominator Number of all targeted geographic areas

Additional Guidance Definition:

Targeted geographic area: prioritized national or subnational public health office/unit within a country, in which one or more personnel were trained in surveillance strengthening

Indicator #6.2.4: Percent of subnational levels that report COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing data	
Priority Technical Area	Surveillance and Epidemiology
Theme	Surveillance-related Trainings
Sub-theme	N/A
•	Number of subnational level public health offices/units targeted for technical assistance
	AND/OR training in improving COVID-19 surveillance and contact tracing
Denominator	Number of targeted subnational level public health offices/units that are reporting on COVID-19
	surveillance and contact tracing data
Additional Guidance	Definition:

Indicator #6.2.5: Number of trainings held on data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory		
disease surveillance		
Priority Technical Area	Surveillance and Epidemiology	
Theme	Surveillance-related Trainings	
Sub-theme	N/A	
Numerator/Count	Number of trainings held on data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated	
	respiratory disease surveillance	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	Sessions held that focus on training trainees on data management and epidemiologic analysis	
	for integrated respiratory surveillance	

Indicator #6.2.6: Number of training modules developed to improve COVID-19 surveillance including data management and	
epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory disease surveillance	

epidermologic analysis for integrated respiratory disease surveillance	
Priority Technical Area	Surveillance and Epidemiology

Theme Surveillance-related Trainings Sub-theme N/A

Number of training modules developed to improve COVID-19 surveillance including data Numerator/Count

management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory disease surveillance

Subnational: administrative level BELOW the national Ministry of Health or equivalent

Denominator N/A

Definition:

Training modules may include online training, in-person training, and/or education materials and resources that include data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated disease surveillance

Indicator #6.2.7: Number of participants completing training modules/programs to improve COVID-19 surveillance including

data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory disease surveillance		
Priority Technical Area	Surveillance and Epidemiology	

Theme Surveillance-related Trainings

Sub-theme N/A

Numerator/Count Number of participants completing training modules/programs to improve COVID-19

surveillance including data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated respiratory

disease surveillance

Denominator N/A

Additional Guidance

Additional Guidance Definition:

> Participants include trainees/members of subnational epidemiology workforce targeted for knowledge transfer via online training modules and programs that include data management and epidemiologic analysis for integrated disease surveillance

Indicator #6.2.8a: Number of national administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare, or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, contact tracing, and visualization of chains of transmission

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

N/A

Number of national administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare, or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, contact tracing, and visualization of chains of transmission

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

Provide the number of targeted administration level offices/units at the national level

Definitions:

Surveillance and Epidemiology Surveillance-related Trainings

Surveillance and Epidemiology

Surveillance-related Trainings

- National: administrative level at the national Ministry of Health or equivalent
- Utilization of Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation MUST include field data collection, contact tracing and visualization of chains of transmission AS WELL AS reporting at national and subnational level
- Support: technical assistance and training as described above
- Administrative level: national public health offices/units in ONE country

Indicator #6.2.8b: Number of subnational administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare, or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, contact tracing, and visualization of chains of transmission

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

N/A

Number of **subnational** administrative levels receiving support that are utilizing Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare, or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation including field data collection, contact tracing, and visualization of chains of transmission

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

Provide the number of targeted administration level offices/units at the subnational

Definitions:

- Subnational: administrative level BELOW the national Ministry of Health or equivalent
- Utilization of Go.Data, DHIS2, CommCare or other health information software to support and facilitate outbreak investigation MUST include field data collection, contact tracing and visualization of chains of transmission AS WELL AS reporting at national and subnational level
- Support: technical assistance and training as described above
- Administrative level: subnational public health offices/units in ONE country

Indicator #6.2.9: Number analyzing surveillance dat	of staff (MOH and other organizations) at local and national level dedicated to supporting and a and activities		
Priority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology			
Theme	Surveillance-related Trainings		
Sub-theme	N/A		
Numerator/Count	Number of staff (MOH and other organizations) at local and national level dedicated to supporting and analyzing surveillance data and activities		
Denominator	N/A		
Additional Guidance	N/A		

6.3 Surveillance-related Activities

Indicator # 6.3.1: Proportion of sewage samples tested positive for SARS-CoV-2				
Priority Technical Area	iority Technical Area Surveillance and Epidemiology			
Theme	Surveillance-related Activities			
Sub-theme	N/A			
Numerator/Count	Number of sewage samples tested positive for SARS-CoV-2			
Denominator	Total number of sewage samples tested for SARS-CoV-2			
Additional Guidance	N/A			

KPI #6.3.2: Country received CDC implementing partner technical assistance for planning, implementation, or evaluation of COVID-19 epidemiology and surveillance activities

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

6.4 One Health

Indicator #6.4.1: Proportion of One Health case results reported to relevant One Health sectors			
Priority Technical Area	Surveillance and Epidemiology		
Theme	One Health		
Sub-theme	N/A		
Numerator/Count	Number of One Health case results reported to relevant One Health sectors		
Denominator	Number of One Health case results received		
Additional Guidance	Definitions:		
	Relevant One Health sectors include human health, animal health, and environmental health		

Additional Guidance	al Guidance Definitions:				
	Relevant One Health sectors include human health, animal health, and environmental health				
Indicator #6.4.2: Number of	people per sector attending One Health trainings				
Priority Technical Area	Surveillance and Epidemiology				
Theme	One Health				
Sub-theme	N/A				
Numerator/Count	Number of people per sector attending One Health trainings				
Reported separately by sector:					
	Human health				
	Animal health				
	Environmental health				
Denominator	N/A				
Additional Guidance	Definitions:				
	Relevant One Health sectors include human health, animal health, and environmental health				
	One Health trainings can include trainings that cover topics at the human-animal- environment interface including but not limited to zoonotic disease, case investigations at the human-animal-environment interface, and One Health capacity building				
	 Participants attending these trainings can be from government ministries, university partners, international organizations, NGOs working in the One Health space, etc. 				

SECTION 7: VACCINES

Key Performance Indicators

KPI:	¥7.1.	.13:	Proportion of	COVID-19 vaccine	doses received	that were	delivered t	to CDC-supported	healthcare facilities

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines

Theme

Program Planning and Implementation

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of COVID-19 doses that were delivered by the implementing partner to CDC-supported

healthcare facilities for administration

Denominator Additional Guidance Total number of COVID-19 vaccine doses received by implementing partner as part of project

Definitions:

• Nur

 Number of doses implementing partner allocates or sends doses to another in country organization or partner for administration (e.g., healthcare facilities including clinics, hospitals, etc.)

CDC-support facilities are those that received funding and/or technical assistance

KPI #7.1.14: Did you administer the COVID-19 vaccine?

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines

Theme

Program Planning and Implementation

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Yes/No response

Denominator

N/A N/A

Additional Guidance

KPI #7.1.15: Number of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines

Theme

Program Planning and Implementation

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

The total number of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered includes **all doses administered**,

regardless of which number in a primary series or if it is a booster dose

KPI #7.1.19: Number of trainings provided on planning, implementation, and monitoring COVID-19 immunization

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines

Theme

Program Planning and Implementation

Sub-theme

N/A

Numerator/Count

Number of trainings provided on planning, implementation, and monitoring COVID-19

immunization

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

N/A

7.1 Program Planning and Implementation

Indicator #7.1.1: Technical assistance was provided on the development of national vaccination plans (NDVPs) for COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator Additional Guidance Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Meeting of stakeholders to develop the NDVP for COVID-19 Vaccination
- Helping draft/write sections of the NDVP with the Ministry of Health (MOH)
- Reviewing and providing feedback to the MOH on the NDVP
- Helping revise the NDVP
- Other

Indicator #7.1.2: Support was provided to conduct microplanning activities for COVID-19 vaccination

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Support could include:

- Provide microplanning templates for adult populations and provide support and review of completion
- Help to design logistical/distribution plan for different vaccination scenarios (e.g., planning needs for differing cold chain requirements by vaccine type)
- Other

Indicator #7.1.3: Technical assistance was provided to conduct tabletop exercises for COVID-19 vaccination

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical Assistance could include:

- Organizing a tabletop exercise in collaboration with the MOH or other stakeholders.
- A COVID-19 vaccine tabletop exercise that aims to assist countries to plan, develop and update their national deployment and vaccination plan (NDVP) for the equitable timely access to COVID-19 vaccines
- WHO's Department of Health Security and Preparedness has developed COVID-19 vaccine tabletop exercise (TTX) packages for this purpose.

Indicator #7.1.4: Technical assistance was provided on the development of vaccination plans for non-COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical Assistance could include:

- Meeting of stakeholders to develop national plans for vaccinations
- Helping draft/write sections of the national plan with the Ministry of Health (MOH)
- Reviewing and providing feedback to the MOH on the national vaccination plan
- Helping revise the national vaccination plan
- Other

Indicator #7.1.5: Were resources (e.g., funding, staff – management, oversight) expended for Intensification of Routine Immunization (IRI) activities?

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Yes/No

N/A

Definitions:

Examples of key activities to improve routine immunization are:

- Updating routine immunization micro plans and/or outreach plans
- Identifying how to reach through the routine immunization program the previously unreached
- Incorporating these lessons into the routine immunization workplan

Indicator #7.1.6: Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses received

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines -

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses received as part of project

N/A

N/A

Indicator #7.1.7: Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses distributed

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses distributed as part of project

N/A

Definition:

Number of doses implementing partner allocates or sends doses to another in-country organization or partner for administration (e.g., healthcare facilities including clinics, hospitals,

etc.)

Indicator #7.1.8: Numb	er of non-COVID-19	vaccine doses administered
------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Denominator Additional Guidance Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of non-COVID-19 vaccine doses administered as part of project

N/A

Definitions:

- Number of doses administered to the general public, either by implementing partner or by in-country organization or partner as part of the project, resulting individuals being vaccinated (shots in arms)
- The total number of vaccine doses administered should not be more than the total number of doses received as part of the project

Indicator #7.1.9: Which partners or organizations collaborated on the development of the workplan for this project?

Priority Technical Area

Theme
Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Select all partners or organizations that apply:

- Ministry of Health (MOH)
- CDC HQ
- CDC Country Office
- Local nonprofit organization
- NGO
- Other partner or organization (please specify)
- We do not collaborate with other partners or organizations on the development of the workplan for this project

Denominator Additional Guidance N/A

N/A

|--|

Priority Technical Area

Theme Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Select all technical area/components that apply:

- COVID-19 Vaccine Development Plan
- Vaccine Develop Plan for other pathogens (e.g., polio, yellow fever, measles, etc.)
- Vaccine Risk Communication Strategies
- Immunization information systems to track COVID-19 vaccine distribution and adverse events
- Immunization information systems to track other pathogens vaccine distribution and adverse events
- None of the above technical areas/components

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A

N/A

Indicator #7.1.11: Please indicate the target population(s) included in the workplan for this project				
Priority Technical Area	Vaccines			
Theme	Program Planning and Implementation			
Sub-theme	N/A			
Numerator/Count	Select all target populations that apply:			
	Faith leaders/communities			
	People in prisons or jails			
	People in schools			
	Displaced people			
	Migrants			
	People in factories			
	Elderly			
	Other target populations (please specify)			
Denominator	N/A			
Additional Guidance	N/A			

Indicator #7.1.12: Number of vaccination sites supported during the reporting period

Priority Technical Area

Numerator/Count

Theme

Sub-theme

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of vaccination sites supported during the reporting period

Report separately by:

- Mobile teams
- Fixed sites
- Community-based outreach vaccination sites
- Mass vaccination sites/campaigns

Denominator Additional Guidance

N/A

Definitions:

- Support for COVID-19 vaccine service delivery is defined as operational support at the service delivery level provided by a CDC-funded IP to support and expand service delivery approaches required to reach target populations equitably and rapidly.
 Without CDC support, the site would not be able to operate
- A vaccination site is counted as having been supported as a result of CDC direct support if more than 50 percent of rental or other costs have been supported by CDC and its partners. Other costs may include but are not limited to costs for sustained power supply (including back-up generators), cold chain equipment and infrastructure, security measures, waste management, hardware and software required for data systems, etc.
- A vaccination site is the location(s) where COVID-19 vaccines are delivered to the target population(s). The type of vaccination site established is contingent on the COVID-19 vaccine delivery strategy and may shift over time, depending on the target groups, vaccine brands, and properties of the vaccine products. The types of vaccine delivery strategies and sites, as outlined in WHO's Guidance on Developing a National Deployment and Vaccination Plan for COVID-19 Vaccines, include:
 - Fixed sites
 - o Community-based outreach sites
 - Mobile teams or clinics: number of mobile teams themselves, not the number of sites they are. Mobile teams should be considered separate entities regardless of if they picked up/returned their equipment from a fixed site
 - o Mass vaccination sites /campaigns: Potential vaccination sites in this category include but are not limited to marketplaces, parks, and drive-throughs)

KPI #7.1.13: Proportion of COVID-19 vaccine doses received that were delivered to CDC-supported healthcare facilities

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

KPI #7.1.14: Did you administer the COVID-19 vaccine?

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

KPI #7.1.15: Number of COVID-19 vaccine doses administered

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, <u>above</u>

Indicator #7.1.16: Number of first COVID-19 vaccine doses adr	administered
---	--------------

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of first COVID-19 vaccine doses administered

N/A

Definitions:

The first COVID-19 vaccine dose administered is the number of people receiving a first dose of the COVID-19 vaccine

Indicator #7.1.17: Number of last recommended COVID-19 vaccine doses administered

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of last recommended COVID-19 vaccine doses administered

N/A

Definitions:

The last recommended COVID-19 dose administered represents the number of people who received a last recommended dose of any COVID-19 vaccine. This is the dose that completes the vaccination schedule for the respective vaccine product. The dose that completes the schedule might represent a first, second, or third dose, depending on which vaccine product is used. In the case of a vaccine with a two-dose schedule, report the second dose

Indicator #7.1.18: Number of people who received a booster dose of an approved COVID-19 vaccine with CDC direct support

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Vaccines

Program Planning and Implementation

N/A

Number of people who received a booster dose of an approved COVID-19 vaccine with CDC direct support

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definitions:

CDC direct support for COVID-19 vaccine service delivery is restricted to the delivery of approved COVID-19 vaccines. This indicator should count only individuals who received a last recommended dose of an "approved COVID-19 vaccine," which is defined as a vaccine:

- Approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (U.S. FDA), or a stringent regulatory authority (SRA), or prequalified by World Health Organization (WHO), or
- With an emergency use authorization from the U.S. FDA, or an SRA, or an emergency use listing (EUL) from WHO

Booster doses are administered to a vaccinated population that has completed a primary vaccination series

KPI #7.1.19: Number of trainings provided on planning, implementation, and monitoring COVID-19 immunization

This indicator is a Key Performance Indicator. Additional guidance related to this indicator can be found in the KPI section, above

7.2 NITAG/Policy Support for COVID Vaccine Implementation

Indicator #7.2.1: Support was provided for NITAG strengthening or development of vaccine policy for COVID-19 vaccines	
Priority Technical Area	Vaccines
Theme	NITAG/Policy Support for COVID Vaccine Implementation
Sub-theme	COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Support could include:
	 Assist with data review to inform prioritization of and planning for vaccination of risk groups
	Provide NITAG support and strengthening via training and workshops

Indicator #7.2.2: Support was provided for NITAG and vaccine policy development for vaccines not including COVID-19		
vaccines	nes	
Priority Technical Area	Vaccines	
Theme	NITAG/Policy Support for COVID Vaccine Implementation	
Sub-theme	Continuity of Vaccination Programs	
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	Support could include:	
	Assist with data review to inform prioritization of and planning for vaccination of risk	
	groups	
	Provide NITAG support and strengthening via training and workshops	

7.3 Support for Demand and Communication Activities

Indicator #7.3.1: Support was provided to establish a program to address community concerns or perceptions about COVID-19 vaccination

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Support for Demand and Communication Activities

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Support may include:

- Ongoing traditional media and social media monitoring
- Community feedback processes
- RCCE coordination structures
- Crisis response mechanisms
- Support the coordination of listening, identification and assessment of rumors, and provide actionable insights and recommendations to communications, RCCE, advocacy and other teams involved in public engagement

Indicator #7.3.2: Technical assistance was provided to develop messaging and communication materials & tools for COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

riamerator, coar

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Support for Demand and Communication Activities

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

- Technical assistance includes leading the creation of communication materials, or communication messages
- Messaging and communication materials and tools for vaccines include Fact Sheets and FAQs, Posters, Videos, Social Media Messages, Slides, Communication Field Guides, HCW messaging guides, Radio messages, other

Indicator #7.3.3: Technical assistance was provided on the implementation of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) surveys and qualitative studies for COVID-19 vaccination

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Support for Demand and Communication Activities

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Engaging with MOH, EPI, and other country partners to gather contextual inputs and buy-in
- Drafting assessment protocol and data collection tools
- Training of enumerators/ field staff
- Implementing the survey in the field

Indicator #7.3.4: Support was provided to conduct infodemic management-related activities	
Priority Technical Area	Vaccines
Theme	Support for Demand and Communication Activities
Sub-theme	COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Infodemic management support includes:
	 Infodemic management training for in-country partners
	Development of data collection tools
	 Engaging with subnational/ regional leaders for buy-in and implementing

Indicator #7.3.5: Support was provided to establish a program to address caregivers concerns about vaccination (not specific		
to COVID-19 vaccines)	covid-19 vaccines)	
Priority Technical Area	Vaccines	
Theme	Support for Demand and Communication Activities	
Sub-theme	Continuity of Vaccination Programs	
Numerator/Count	Yes/No response	
Denominator	N/A	
Additional Guidance	Definition:	
	Support could include assessment of VRE response plans; development of new or updated VRE	
	response plan; training stakeholders or related staff; implementation of VRE response plan following a reported VRE: evaluation of VRE response plan; other	

Indicator #7.3.6: Technical assistance was provided on the development of messaging and communication materials & tools
for vaccines (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)

Priority Technical Area
Theme
Sub-theme
Numerator/Count
Denominator
Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Support for Demand and Communication Activities

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include assessment of vaccine safety surveillance system; update or draft new guidelines, standard operating procedures, forms, or job aids; development of data management or analysis plan; support for data analysis; training of stakeholders or relevant staff; implementation of active or sentinel site surveillance; implementation of cohort event monitoring; other

Indicator #7.3.7: Technical assistance was provided on the implementation of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) surveys and qualitative studies for vaccination (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Support for Demand and Communication Activities

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Engaging with MOH, EPI, and other country partners to gather contextual inputs and buy-in
- Drafting assessment protocol and data collection tools
- Training of enumerators/ field staff
- Implementing the survey in the field
- Data management, cleaning, and analysis
- Reporting out to all relevant stakeholders

Indicator #7.3.8: Support was provided to conduct infodemic management-related activities (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Support for Demand and Communication Activities

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Infodemic management support includes:

- Infodemic management training for in-country partners
- Development of data collection tools
- Engaging with subnational/ regional leaders for buy-in and implementing

Indicator #7.3.9: Number of	people reached through mass media and social media with COVID-19 vaccine-related messaging
Priority Technical Area	Vaccines
Theme	Support for Demand and Communication Activities
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of people reached through mass media and social media with COVID-19 vaccine-related messaging
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definitions:
	 Mass media communication channels are media channels that can reach a very large number of people and include the following categories:
	 Television (TV) advertisements and other public service announcements broadcast on TV
	 Radio advertisements, public service announcements, and programs broadcast on radio
	 Websites include digital advertisements or other information posted on government or private websites but exclude any posted on social media
	 Mobile and telephone services include hotlines, interactive voice response, short message service, unstructured supplementary service data, and other
	uses of telephones as a communication channel
	o Hard copy printed materials include brochures, such as information,
	education, and communication materials, including printed advertisements in
	established newspapers

Twitter, Instagram, and Snapchat, among others

Social media communication channels include Facebook, WhatsApp, QQ, WeChat,

7.4 Vaccine Safety/Field Investigations for AEFI

Indicator #7.4.1: Technical assistance was provided on development of a Vaccine Related Event (VRE) Response Plan for COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines Theme

Sub-theme

Vaccine Safety/Field Investigations for AEFI

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Numerator/Count Denominator

Yes/No response N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Technical assistance could include assessment of VRE response plans; development of new or updated VRE response plan; training stakeholders or related staff; implementation of VRE response plan following a reported VRE; evaluation of VRE response plan; other

Indicator #7.4.2: Technical assistance was provided on Vaccine Safety surveillance system strengthening (passive surveillance, active surveillance, or causality assessment) for COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines

Theme

Vaccine Safety/Field Investigations for AEFI

Sub-theme Numerator/Count COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Denominator

Yes/No response

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Technical assistance could include assessment of vaccine safety surveillance system; update or draft new guidelines, standard operating procedures, forms, or job aids; development of data management or analysis plan; support for data analysis; training of stakeholders or relevant staff; implementation of active or sentinel site surveillance; implementation of cohort event monitoring; other

Indicator #7.4.3: Technical assistance was provided for investigations of AEFI cases or clusters regarding COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccine Safety/Field Investigations for AEFI

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include assessment of vaccine safety surveillance system; update or draft new guidelines, standard operating procedures, forms, or job aids; development of data management or analysis plan; support for data analysis; training of stakeholders or relevant staff; other

Indicator #7.4.4: Technical assistance was provided on Vaccine Safety passive surveillance system strengthening for vaccine adverse events (not including COVID-19 vaccines)

Priority Technical Area

Vaccines

Theme Sub-theme Vaccine Safety/Field Investigations for AEFI

Numerator/Count

Continuity of Vaccination Programs Yes/No response

Denominator

N/A

Additional Guidance

Definition:

Technical assistance could include assessment of vaccine safety surveillance system; update or draft new guidelines, standard operating procedures, forms, or job aids; development of data management or analysis plan; support for data analysis; training of stakeholders or relevant staff; other

Indicator #7.4.5: Proportion of adverse events following immunization (AEFI) reports reviewed by the appropriate responsible bodies with CDC support among those submitted to country monitoring systems

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Vaccine Safety/Field investigations for AEFI

N/A

Number of adverse events following immunization (AEFI) reports reviewed by the appropriate responsible bodies with CDC support

Number of adverse events following immunization (AEFI) reports submitted to country monitoring systems

Definitions:

- An AEFI is any untoward medical event that follows immunization and that does not
 necessarily have a causal relationship with the usage of the vaccine. The adverse event
 may be any unfavorable or unintended sign, abnormal laboratory finding, symptom, or
 disease
- An AEFI report is considered to be submitted to country monitoring systems when it is submitted to the appropriate health administrative unit using standardized COVID-19 AEFI reporting forms
- Based on the WHO's <u>COVID-19 Vaccines</u>: <u>Safety Surveillance Manual</u>, an AEFI report is considered to be <u>reviewed</u> when it is received by the appropriate responsible bodies, reviewed for seriousness, and a yes/no decision is taken on an investigation
- Responsible pharmacovigilance bodies may include (but are not limited to) national regulatory authorities, pharmacovigilance units, Expanded Program on Immunization/national immunization programs, and AEFI review committees
- **CDC support** for COVID-19 vaccine country readiness and delivery is defined as either direct or indirect TA provided by a CDC-funded IP:
 - o **Indirect support** is defined as guidance, TA, and training to support countries to monitor and respond to AEFIs
 - Direct support is defined as operational support at the service delivery level for AEFI review and investigation. This may include but is not limited to conducting community investigations and interviews, case file review, provision of transport to conduct AEFI investigations and assessments, and documentation of case files and dossiers

7.5 Data Management & Use

Indicator #7.5.1: Technical assistance was provided for data management and use related to workforce development & training in preparation for the administration of COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Data Management & Use

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Develop guidelines and SOPs for monitoring and reporting of COVID-19 vaccine introduction
- Develop, implement and evaluate data management systems and tools
- Provide technical assistance in developing data management training materials for new or existing data reporting system
- Provide technical assistance in rapid assessment, development, implementation and monitoring of data management systems and tools (paper/electronic) used for COVID-19 vaccine introduction

Indicator #7.5.2: Technical assistance was provided for data management and use related to workforce development & training for the national EPI program (not specific to COVID-19 vaccination)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Data Management & Use

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical Assistance could include:

- Develop guidelines and SOPs for monitoring and reporting of vaccines
- Develop, implement and evaluate data management systems and tools
- Provide technical assistance in developing data management training materials for new or existing data reporting system
- Provide technical assistance in rapid assessment, development, implementation and monitoring of data management systems and tools (paper/electronic) used for vaccines

7.6 Workforce Development & Training

Indicator #7.6.1: Technical assistance was provided for workforce development & training in preparation for the administration of COVID-19 vaccines

Priority Technical Area

Theme
Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccine

Workforce Development & Training

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Conduct workforce mapping in collaboration with Ministries of Health
- Provide training in techniques for supportive supervision
- Assist with the development of terms of reference and defined roles and responsibilities
- Conduct training needs assessments

Indicator #7.6.2: Technical assistance was provided for workforce development & training for the national EPI program (not specific to COVID-19 vaccination)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

accines

Workforce Development & Training

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Conduct workforce mapping in collaboration with Ministries of Health
- Provide training in techniques for supportive supervision
- Assist with the development of terms of reference and defined roles and responsibilities
- Conduct training needs assessments

Indicator #7.6.3: Number of staff and volunteers trained on COVID-19 vaccine-related topics

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Workforce Development & Training

Number of staff and volunteers trained on COVID-19 vaccine-related topics

N/A

Definitions:

- The types of people trained on vaccine-related topics include all staff and volunteers
 affiliated with public and private health facilities, community health organizations,
 residential facilities, government staff, or other cadres who may be involved in
 vaccination activities. This includes clinical personnel (e.g., nurses or doctors), lay
 personnel (e.g., community health workers), data personnel (e.g., data clerks or data
 recorders), and others
- Trained is defined as the person having been present throughout the training (e.g., through sign-in sheets, online course records) and having passed any post-test training assessment demonstrating acquired knowledge about the topic area, where relevant
- Types of training programs may include training on any of the vaccine-related TA areas. It is expected that training will vary widely by topic area, target audience, content, length of time, size of group, and platform of training delivery (which may be virtual or in-person)

Indicator #7.6.4: Number of staff and volunteers who are remunerated by CDC to support workload required for COVID-19 vaccine delivery in the reporting period

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Workforce Development & Training

Numerator/Count

Number of staff and volunteers who are remunerated by CDC to support workload required for

COVID-19 vaccine delivery in the reporting period

Denominator

Additional Guidance

N/A **Definition:**

Vaccines

The number of people who received remuneration from CDC to support workload required for COVID-19 vaccine delivery should be counted in the reporting period in which they received remuneration. Partners should maintain records of the staff engaged in vaccine delivery as data source for this indicator at the end of the reporting period

7.7 Program Evaluation

Indicator #7.7.1: Technical assistance was provided to conduct evaluations of COVID-19 va	19 vaccination programs
---	-------------------------

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Evaluation

COVID-19 Vaccine Preparedness

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical assistance could include:

- Conduct post-introduction evaluations using a standard WHO-CDC tool adapted for COVID-19 vaccines, allowing for improvements in efficiency and address weaknesses in the implementation of vaccination campaign
- Provide protocols and support for focused coverage surveys among target populations or geographic areas that may not be fully reached through immunization services

Indicator #7.7.2: Technical assistance was provided to conduct evaluations of essential immunization programs and immunization campaigns (not specific to COVID-19 vaccines)

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

Vaccines

Program Evaluation

Continuity of Vaccination Programs

Yes/No response

N/A

Definition:

Technical Assistance could include:

- Conduct post-introduction evaluations for vaccines, allowing for improvements in efficiency and address weaknesses in the implementation of vaccination program or campaign
- Provide protocols and support for focused coverage surveys among target populations or geographic areas that may not be fully reached through immunization services

SECTION 8: Field Epidemiology Training Programs (FETP)

Indicator #8.1.1: Number of FETP trainees and graduates accessing curriculum that is adapted to integrate emergency
management competencies

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator Additional Guidance FETP

N/A

N/A

Number of FETP trainees and graduates accessing curriculum that is adapted to integrate emergency management competencies

N/A

Definitions:

Curriculum access may include:

- In-person or eLearning courses or webinars presented to registered participants on curriculum related activities associated with COVID-19
- Delivery may include trainings, on-site reviews or other forms of tool dissemination and user sensitization
- Online blended learning for the implementation of FETP with core content and COVIDspecific materials to strengthen workforce surveillance and response capacities during the pandemic

Note that reporting against this indicator is reliant upon having the following elements in place:

- A tracking system for trainees logging into and completing coursework dedicated to COVID-19 mitigation, protection, and prevention
- A tracking system for trainees accessing emergency management training material
- A plan for integrated training of staff from national ministries with COVID-19 educational material to respond to the pandemic

Indicator #8.1.2: Number of individuals accessing online training related to FETP core content, mentor training, or COVID-19 related materials

Priority Technical Area

Theme

Sub-theme

Numerator/Count

Denominator

Additional Guidance

FETP

N/A

N/A

Number of individuals accessing online training related to FETP core content, mentor training, or COVID-19 related materials

N/A

IV/A

Definitions:

Online Training may include:

- Web-accessible materials and documents related to FETP core content, guidance in mentoring and training FETP trainees, and core competencies for emergency management as it relates to COVID-19
- Curriculum/training would be available in English in the near-term and then translated to other priority language in the following 6-9 months

Note that reporting against this indicator is reliant upon having the following elements in place:

 Tracking system for FETP mentors and trainees logging into and completing coursework related to the core content, FETP support and mentorship, and the mitigation, prevention, and surveillance of COVID-19

Indicator #8.1.3: Number of FETP trainees who are deployed, rostered, or both to support COVID-19 response activities	
Priority Technical Area	FETP
Theme	N/A
Sub-theme	N/A
Numerator/Count	Number of FETP trainees who are deployed, rostered, or both to support COVID-19 response
	activities
Denominator	N/A
Additional Guidance	Definition:
	Note that reporting against this indicator is reliant upon having the following elements in place:
	A tracking system for FETP trainees which identifies deployment and rostering status

Indicator #8.1.4: National Public Health Institutes (NPHIs) are engaged in Emergency Operations Center (EOC) strengthening and systems integrated activities including FETPs

Priority Technical Area Theme Sub-theme Numerator/Count Denominator Additional Guidance FETP

N/A

N/A

Yes/No/N/A response

N/A

Definitions:

Example of types of engagement may include:

- o Draft an EOC framework and governance standard to support activation during an emergency response
- Develop standard operating procedures for all functions of the EOC, including surveillance, data collection, and communication
- Establish a training delivery model and curriculum to increase the EOC's staff and workforce, technical capacity, availability, and accessibility during emergency response

Note that reporting against this indicator is reliant upon having the following elements in place:

 A tracking system for monitoring EOC and emergency management strengthening activities as part of NPHI project-related support (including staged development tool participation, strategic planning, etc.)