

POST PANEL SURVEY

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0503-0024. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average five minutes per response, including the time for

reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

This survey is voluntary. It is anonymous. No personal information is collected. There is no risk to completing the survey. You may stop at any time. You may skip a question. This survey takes approximately 5 minutes to complete. Results are shared internally with NIFA staff only.

1. Please enter your program code as provided in your email_____

Pre-Panel

2. I received reviewer information (e.g., logistics, dates, instructions) in a timely manner.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not applicable
----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	----------------
3. The reviewer orientation prepared me for the review process.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not applicable
----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	----------------
4. The number of proposals I was assigned was reasonable for the time allotted for review.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not applicable
----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	----------------
5. The proposals assigned to me were relevant to my expertise and/or background.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not applicable
----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	----------------
6. The proposal evaluation criteria were clear and easy to understand.

Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not applicable
----------------	-------	---------	----------	-------------------	----------------
7. I felt well prepared to use the Peer Review System (PRS) and was able to use it without significant technical issues.

I did not receive training; I had no significant technical difficulties.

I did not receive training; I had significant technical difficulties.

I received training but didn't understand, I had no significant technical difficulties.

I received training but didn't understand, I had significant technical difficulties.

I received and understood the training, I had no significant technical difficulties.

I received and understood the training, I had significant technical difficulties.
8. How many times have you reviewed proposals for NIFA?

This is my first	2-5 panels	6-10 panels	More than 10 panels.
------------------	------------	-------------	----------------------

Panel

9. The evaluation criteria and reviewer resources were sufficient to fairly evaluate the proposals

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Not applicable

10. I had adequate time to review each proposal that was assigned to me.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Not applicable

11. I felt like my reviews were respected and valued.

criteria listed in the RFA.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Not applicable

12. I felt comfortable reaching out to the NIFA program team if I had any questions or concerns while reviewing proposals.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree Not applicable

Please provide responses to the following questions:

14. List any critical or emerging issues that should be included in future RFAs.

15. What suggestions do you have to improve the peer review process?