


EIAP GWSW Specific Aims Mtgs Feedback Survey Screenshots

Overview

This document contains screenshots of each page within the EIAP GWSW Specific Aims Mtgs Feedback survey. The page header containing the survey title is repeated on each page. To save space in this document, the header is omitted from Figures 2 – 15. The OMB number and expiration date are displayed at the top of the survey launch page (Figure 1), before the respondent accesses the first question in the survey.

Page Screenshots



OMB No.: 0925-0642
Expiration Date: 03/31/2026

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. **An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.** Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0642). Do not return the completed form to this address.


EIAP GWSW Specific Aims Mtgs—Feedback Survey

Thank you for taking the time to provide your feedback regarding your EIAP Grant Writers' Seminars and Workshops experience. The information you provide will remain secure to the extent permitted by law – no individual responses will be shared with any CRCHD staff and your responses are not associated with your name. All information will be reported in aggregate and will be used to make improvements to future sessions as appropriate and possible. All questions are optional and you may close the survey at any time. If you have any questions you may contact Daniel Eckstein, at Daniel.Eckstein@nih.gov.

By continuing with this survey, you agree to participate in providing feedback related to the EIAP Grant Writers' Seminars and Workshops sessions.

[Click here to launch the EIAP GWSW Specific Aims Mtgs—Feedback Survey](#)

Figure 1. Survey launch page, with OMB information.



Group Specific Aims Workshop
(Attended/Did Not Attend)

☐ Attended
☐ Did Not Attend

Next Question

Figure 2. Group Specific Aims Workshop (GSAW) Attendance Question

My Specific Aims were discussed by the group.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 3. GSAW – Specific Aims Question

I received constructive feedback from my peers.

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree

- ☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 4. GSAW – If Specific Aims Discussed = Yes, Follow-up Question 1

I received constructive feedback from Dr. Robertson.

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree

- ☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 5. GSAW – If Specific Aims Discussed = Yes, Follow-up Question 2

I would have gotten more out of the discussion had my Specific Aims section been discussed.

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree

- ☐ Prefer not to Answer

Figure 6. GSAW – If Specific Aims Discussed = No, Follow-up Question 1

Participating/listening to the critiques of other Scholars' Specific Aims was beneficial to me in revising my own Specific Aims.

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree

- ☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 7. GSAW – Feedback Question 3

Group Specific Aims Workshop

On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is **Not At All Useful** and 10 is **Extremely Useful**, how useful was this group session to revise your specific aims and write your grant application?

Extremely Useful



Not At All Useful

- ☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 8. GSAW – Feedback Question 4

Please share any other comments about the group session that you feel may improve the session for future EIAP cohorts.

☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 9. GSAW – Additional Comments

One-on-one Discussion with Dr. Robertson
(Attended/Did Not Attend)

- ☐ Attended
☐ Did Not Attend

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 10. One-on-One Discussion (OD) Session Attendance Question

Dr. Robertson provided me adequate written feedback on my Specific Aims.

- ☐ Strongly Agree
☐ Agree
☐ Disagree
☐ Strongly Disagree

☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 11. OD - Feedback Question 1

The 30-minute one-on-one session was sufficient to address my questions.

- ☐ Strongly Agree
☐ Agree
☐ Disagree
☐ Strongly Disagree

☐ Prefer not to Answer

[Previous Question](#)

[Next Question](#)

Figure 12. OD - Feedback Question 2

One-on-one Discussion with Dr. Robertson

On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 is ***Not At All Useful*** and 10 is ***Extremely Useful***, how useful was this one-on-one session to revise your specific aims and write your grant application?

Extremely Useful



Not At All Useful

☐ Prefer not to Answer

Previous Question

Next Question

Figure 13. OD - Feedback Question 3

Please share any other comments about the one-on-one session that you feel may improve the session for future EIAP cohorts.

☐ Prefer not to Answer

Previous Question

Submit

Figure 14. OD – Additional Comments

Survey Complete!

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Figure 15. Survey Complete Screen