Did you find the content you were looking for?

👍 👎

CounterACT Symposium Post-Event Survey

On behalf of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) CounterACT Program staff, we would like to thank you for your participation to the Annual CounterACT Network Research Symposium.

We welcome your thoughts about this year's event and suggestions to inform next year's agenda. Please access the survey and provide feedback directly in the web form below.

Your committed involvement helps make the CounterACT Network Research Symposium a continuing success. We look forward to seeing you next year.

Please note that all questions are optional, and you may exit the survey at any time. **Your response, including your decision not to respond, will have no impact on your current award, nor any future application.**

Thank you,

The CounterACT Network Research Symposium Organizing Committee

1. Your name

2. Your organization

3. Your email address

4. Please indicate your affiliation category

◯ Grantee
◯ Federal Employee
◯ Other…

5. Do you think the event was structured well:

A. Having parallel sessions?

◯ Yes

○ No

B. Was the length of poster sessions appropriate (1 hr/session)?

○ Yes
○ No

C. Was the length of Keynote presentations appropriate (45min)?

○ Yes
○ No

D. Was the number of keynote presentations appropriate?

○ Yes
○ No

E. Was the number of working lunch clinics appropriate?

○ Yes
○ No

6. This year's symposium ran for 3 days. Was this event:

○ too long
○ too short
○ about right

7. We expect attendees to make every effort to participate in the full duration of the Symposium. Please select the highest number of days this would be tenable for you, if the meeting was moved back to June or if the time of year/institutional obligations were not an issue.

○ 2.5 days
○ 3 days
○ 3.5 days
○ 4 days

8. As you consider attending future symposia, please indicate the desired balance between parallel oral presentation sessions and poster sessions.

○ A. continue with prioritizing oral presentations in parallel sessions
○ B. continue with prioritizing oral presentations, but organize as single-track sessions, this may lengthen the overall symposium
○ C. reduce the number of oral presentations with concomitant increase in poster presentations

9. Do you think a Q&A forum with speakers at the end of each section should be included?

○ Yes
○ No

10. Was the event a good networking opportunity for you?

○ Yes
○ No

11. How well did the event meet your expectations (1 = poor – 5 = great)?

○ 1
○ 2
○ 3
○ 4
○ 5

12. How satisfied were you with the location of the event (1 = very unsatisfied – 5 = very satisfied)?

○ 1
○ 2
○ 3
○ 4
○ 5

13. Score the overall quality and relevance of the Keynote lectures (1 = poor – 5 = excellent)?

○ 1
○ 2
○ 3
○ 4
○ 5

Please enter any comments you have for questions 9-13:

14. What topics would you like to see covered in working lunch panel discussions next year?

15. What topics would you like to see covered for Keynote speakers next year?

16. What was the most valuable part of the event for you?

17. How can we improve the event for next year?

18. Please share any other comments.

Submit