

Did you find the content you were looking for?



[Form Approved OMB# 0925-0648 Exp. Date 06/2024](#)

CounterACT Symposium Post-Event Survey

OMB#: 0925-0648 Expiration date: 06/2024

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0648). Do not return the completed form to this address.

On behalf of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) CounterACT Program staff, we would like to thank you for your participation to the Annual CounterACT Network Research Symposium.

We welcome your thoughts about this year’s event and suggestions to inform next year’s agenda. Please access the survey and provide feedback directly in the web form below.

Your committed involvement helps make the CounterACT Network Research Symposium a continuing success. We look forward to seeing you next year.

Please note that all questions are optional, and you may exit the survey at any time. **Your response, including your decision not to respond, will have no impact on your current award, nor any future application.**

Thank you,

The CounterACT Network Research Symposium Organizing Committee

1. Your name

2. Your organization

3. Your email address

4. Please indicate your affiliation category

- ☐ Grantee
- ☐ Federal Employee
- ☐ Other...

5. Do you think the event was structured well:

A. Having parallel sessions?

- ☐ Yes

☐ No

B. Was the length of poster sessions appropriate (1 hr/session)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

C. Was the length of Keynote presentations appropriate (45min)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

D. Was the number of keynote presentations appropriate?

☐ Yes

☐ No

E. Was the number of working lunch clinics appropriate?

☐ Yes

☐ No

6. This year's symposium ran for 3 days. Was this event:

☐ too long

☐ too short

☐ about right

7. We expect attendees to make every effort to participate in the full duration of the Symposium. Please select the highest number of days this would be tenable for you, if the meeting was moved back to June or if the time of year/institutional obligations were not an issue.

☐ 2.5 days

☐ 3 days

☐ 3.5 days

☐ 4 days

8. As you consider attending future symposia, please indicate the desired balance between parallel oral presentation sessions and poster sessions.

☐ A. continue with prioritizing oral presentations in parallel sessions

☐ B. continue with prioritizing oral presentations, but organize as single-track sessions, this may lengthen the overall symposium

☐ C. reduce the number of oral presentations with concomitant increase in poster presentations

9. Do you think a Q&A forum with speakers at the end of each section should be included?

☐ Yes

☐ No

10. Was the event a good networking opportunity for you?

☐ Yes

☐ No

11. How well did the event meet your expectations (1 = poor – 5 = great)?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

12. How satisfied were you with the location of the event (1 = very unsatisfied – 5 = very satisfied)?

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

13. Score the overall quality and relevance of the Keynote lectures (1 = poor – 5 = excellent)?

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Please enter any comments you have for questions 9-13:

14. What topics would you like to see covered in working lunch panel discussions next year?

15. What topics would you like to see covered for Keynote speakers next year?

16. What was the most valuable part of the event for you?

17. How can we improve the event for next year?

18. Please share any other comments.

Submit