U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

FINE DENIER POLYESTER STAPLE FIBER FROM CHINA, INDIA, SOUTH KOREA, AND TAIWAN

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by November 9, 2023

See last page for instructions regarding how to file this questionnaire.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its review of the countervailing and antidumping duty orders concerning fine denier polyester staple fiber ("fine denier PSF") from China, India, South Korea, and Taiwan (Inv. No. 701-TA-579-580 and 731-TA-1369-1372 (Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)). Further information on this questionnaire can be obtained from Eric Sguazzin (202-205-3463, eric.sguazzin@usitc.gov).

City	StateZip Code	
Website		
•	urchased fine denier PSF (as defined on the next page) <u>from any source</u> (domestic or foreign) at lanuary 1, 2017?	
□ NO	(Sign the certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to the Commission)	
YES	(Complete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the Commission)	
•	onnaire via the Commission <i>Drop Box</i> by clicking on the following link: <u>ox.usitc.gov/oinv/</u> . (PIN: FDPSF). See last page for detailed instructions.	
	CERTIFICATION	
ge and belief and f this certificatio	ation herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the b I understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Comn on I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings of	nission. By o use the
ge and belief and If this certificatio ion provided in to It by the Commiss Idersigned, acknous It go or other proce It (a) for developi It and evaluations It is by U.S.	I understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Committed in I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings of sion on the same or similar merchandise. Involved that information submitted in response to this request for information and through the disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and ing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigation relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. I understand	nission. By o use the or reviews ghout this d contract ns, audits, r 5 U.S.C.
ge and belief and f this certificatio ion provided in to do by the Commiss dersigned, acknoing or other procest (a) for developiand evaluations (3; or (ii) by U.S. personnel will signal.	I understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Committed in I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings assion on the same or similar merchandise. Inveledge that information submitted in response to this request for information and through the disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and ing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigation relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. I understand appropriate nondisclosure agreements.	nission. By o use the or reviews ghout this d contract ns, audits, r 5 U.S.C.
ge and belief and If this certificatio ion provided in to It by the Commiss Idersigned, acknous It go or other proce It (a) for developi It and evaluations It is by U.S.	I understand that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Committed in I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personnel, this questionnaire and throughout this proceeding in any other import-injury proceedings assion on the same or similar merchandise. Inveledge that information submitted in response to this request for information and through the disclosed to and used: (i) by the Commission, its employees and Offices, and ing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigation relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes. I understand appropriate nondisclosure agreements.	nission. By o use the or review. ghout thi d contrac ns, audits r 5 U.S.C

PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

On March 16, 2018, the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") issued countervailing duty orders on imports of fine denier PSF from China and India, and on July 20, 2018, Commerce issued antidumping duty orders on imports of fine denier PSF from China, India, South Korea, and Taiwan. On February 1, 2023, the Commission instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. If both the Commission and Commerce make affirmative determinations, the orders will remain in place. If either the Commission or Commerce makes negative determinations, Commerce will revoke the orders. Questionnaires and other information pertinent to this proceeding are available at https://usitc.gov/reports/active_import_injury_questionnaires.

<u>Fine denier PSF</u> covered by this proceeding is not carded or combed, measuring less than 3.3 decitex (3 denier) in diameter. The scope covers all fine denier PSF, whether coated or uncoated.

The following products are excluded from the scope:

- (1) PSF equal to or greater than 3.3 decitex (more than 3 denier, inclusive) currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065.
- (2) Low-melt PSF defined as a bicomponent polyester fiber having a polyester fiber component that melts at a lower temperature than the other polyester fiber component, which is currently classifiable under HTSUS subheading 5503.20.0015.

Fine denier PSF is currently imported under HTSUS subheading 5503.20.0025. The HTSUS provisions are for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive.

<u>Purchaser</u>.--Any firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in purchasing fine denier PSF from another firm that produces, imports, or otherwise distributes fine denier PSF.

Reporting of information.--If information is not readily available from your records, provide carefully prepared estimates. If your firm is completing more than one questionnaire in connection with this proceeding (i.e., a producer, importer, and/or purchaser questionnaire), you need not respond to duplicated questions.

<u>Confidentiality</u>.--The commercial and financial data furnished in response to this questionnaire that reveal the individual operations of your firm will be treated as confidential by the Commission to the extent that such data are not otherwise available to the public and will not be disclosed except as may be required by law (see 19 U.S.C. § 1677f). Such confidential information will not be published in a manner that will reveal the individual operations of your firm; however, general characterizations of numerical business proprietary information (such as discussion of trends) will be treated as confidential business information only at the request of the submitter for good cause shown.

<u>Verification</u>.--The information submitted in this questionnaire is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. To facilitate possible verification of data, please keep all of your files, worksheets, and supporting documents used in the preparation of the questionnaire response. Please also retain a copy of the final document that you submit.

Release of information.--The information provided by your firm in response to this questionnaire, as well as any other business proprietary information submitted by your firm to the Commission in connection with this proceeding, may become subject to, and released under, the administrative protective order provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1677f) and section 207.7 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.7). This means that certain lawyers and other authorized individuals may temporarily be given access to the information for use in connection with this proceeding or other import-injury proceedings conducted by the Commission on the same or similar merchandise; those individuals would be subject to severe penalties if the information were divulged to unauthorized individuals.

I-1. Reporting requirements.--Please report below the actual number of hours required and the cost to your firm of completing this questionnaire for use by the Office of Management and Budget.

Hours	Dollars

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 50 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering data, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire.

We welcome comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate, suggestions for reducing the burden, and any suggestions for improving this questionnaire. Please provide such comments to the Office of Investigations, import injury@usitc.gov.

I-2a. <u>Establishments covered.</u>--Provide the name and address of establishment(s) covered by this questionnaire, if different from that listed on the cover page. Firms operating more than one establishment should combine the data for all establishments into a single response.

"<u>Establishment</u>"--Each facility of a firm involved in the <u>purchase</u> of fine denier PSF, including auxiliary facilities operated in conjunction with (whether or not physically separate from) such facilities.

- I-2b. <u>Stock symbol information.</u>— If your firm or parent firm is publicly traded, please specify the stock exchange and trading symbol: _____.
- I-2c. <u>External counsel.</u>— If your firm or parent firm is represented by external counsel in relation to this proceeding, having filed an entry of appearance, please specify the name of the law firm and the lead attorney(s).

Law firm:	
Lead attorney(s):	

U.S. Purchasers' Questionnaire - Fine Denier PSF (Review) I-3. Ownership.--Is your firm owned, in whole or in part, by any other firm? No Yes--List the following information, relating to the ultimate parent/owner. **Extent of ownership** (percent) Firm name Country I-4. Related producers/importers/exporters.--Does your firm have any related firms, either domestic or foreign, that produce fine denier PSF, import fine denier PSF into the United States, or export fine denier PSF to the United States? "Related firm" - A firm that your firm solely or jointly owned, managed, or otherwise controlled; a firm that solely or jointly owned, managed, or otherwise controlled your firm; and/or a firm that was solely or jointly owned, managed, or otherwise controlled by a firm that also solely or jointly owned, managed, or otherwise controlled your firm. No Yes--List the following information. Type (i.e., producer, Firm name exporter, importer) Country **Affiliation**

PART II.--PURCHASES

<u>Contact information</u>.--Please identify the responsible individual and the manner by which Commission staff may contact that individual regarding the confidential information submitted in this questionnaire.

Name	
Title	
Email	
Telephone	

II-1. Purchases.—

(a) Please estimate your firm's total U.S. purchases of fine denier PSF in 2022. (Do not include imports for which your firm was the importer of record; such imports should be reported in your U.S. importer's questionnaire.)

Quantity (actual pounds)	
--------------------------	--

(b) Report (or estimate) the share of the quantity of your firm's purchases of fine denier PSF in 2022 that were produced in each of the specified countries.

"South Korea, subject" -- Merchandise from all South Korean producers or exporters other than Toray Chemical Korea Inc. ("Toray")

"Taiwan, subject" – Merchandise from all Taiwanese producers/exporters <u>other than</u> Tainan Spinning Co. Ltd. ("Tainan")

"South Korea, nonsubject" – Purchases of imports from South Korean producer Toray.

"Taiwan, nonsubject" – Purchases of imports from Taiwanese producer Tainan Spinning Co. Ltd. ("Tainan")

Fine denier PSF produced in:	Share of quantity of 2022 purchases
United States	%
China	%
India	%
South Korea, subject	%
Taiwan, subject	%
Subject sources	0.0 %
South Korea, nonsubject	%
Taiwan, nonsubject	%
All other countries:1	%
Sources unknown	%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0 %
¹ Please identify these countries:	

	II-2. <u>Pı</u>	urchases before and after order.					
	(a	Did your firm purchase fine denier PSF from China, India, South Korea, and/or Taiwan before 2017?					
		☐ Nosk	ip to (c)	Yes			
	(b		your pattern o iwan changed s		fine denier PSF fro	om China, India, South Korea,	
			Check only on	e per source	(row)		
		No, our	Yes, we	Yes, we			
		pattern of	discontinued	reduced	Yes, we change		
		purchasing is	purchases from this	purchases from this	-		
		essentially unchanged	source	source	purchases fro this source, b		
		from this	because of	because of			าร
	Source	source.	the order.	the order.	than the orde	other than the order.	
C	China						
lı	ndia						
	outh Korea, ubject						
T	aiwan, subject						
	(c	countries	other than Chir oducer Toray a	na, India, Sou	th Korea, and Taiw	nsubject foreign sources (i.e., van, as well as nonsubject Sout ucer Tainan combined) change	
	We did not purchase fro nonsubject foreign sourc before or aft	m No, our pa	inc purch attern nor sing is foreig	es, we reased ases from asubject an sources use of the	Yes, but we changed our pattern of purchases from nonsubject foreign sources for reasons other	Please explain the reasons	
	the order	unchang	ged. o	order.	than the order.	other than the order.	
						i l	

II-3. Changes in purchasing patterns.-- Please indicate whether the shares of your firm's purchases of fine denier PSF steadily increased, fluctuated but ended higher, were constant, fluctuated but ended lower, or steadily decreased since January 1, 2017 from the listed sources.

Select one box per row.

Source of purchases	Did not purchase	Steadily increased	Fluctuated up	No change	Fluctuated down	Steadily decreased	Explanation for trend
United States							
China							
India							
South Korea, subject							
Taiwan, subject							
All other sources							
Sources unknown							

II-4. <u>Country knowledge.--</u> Please indicate whether your firm has experience or is otherwise familiar with fine denier PSF produced in the following countries.

United States	China	India	South Korea, subject	Taiwan, subject	All other sources	All other sources (specify)

II-5. <u>Supplier identification.</u>--Please list your firm's <u>FIVE</u> largest suppliers for fine denier PSF since January 1, 2017, by quantity. Also, provide the share of the quantity of your firm's total purchases of fine denier PSF that each of these suppliers accounted for in 2022.

No.	Supplier's name	City and state	Share of quantity of 2022 purchases
1			%
2			%
3			%
4			%
5			%

PART III.--MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES

III-1.	Firm typeWhich of the following best describes your firm as a purchaser of fine denier PSF
	(check all that apply)?

End user (woven or knit application	End user (non-woven application)	Distributor	Other	Describe other

If your firm is a distributor of fine denier PSF, please answer questions III-2 and III-3.

III-2.	Competition for salesDo you compete for sales to your customers with the manufacturers or
	importers from which you purchase fine denier PSF?

No	Yes	If yes, please describe.

III-3.	<u>Types of customers</u> What are the major types of customers to which you sell fine denies	r PSF?

ı	f	vour	firm	is a	an e	nd	user	of	fine	den	ier	PSF.	please	answer	questions	: 111-4	and	III-5.
•	,	,	,		<i></i> c		450.	~,	,			,	picase	<i>a</i>	9465666	, , , , ,	uiiu	

No	Yes		explain the c	hanges, noting wh	en these chang	es occurred or are
Demano	l for end-u	ıse prodı	ucts			
	Has the demand for your firm's final products incorporating fine denier PSF steadily decreased, fluctuated but ended lower, were constant, fluctuated but ended higher, or steadily increased since January 1, 2017? Select one answer.					
	Steadily increase	-	ctuated up	Constant	Fluctuated down	61 - 19 - 1 1
			ctuateu up	Constant	down	Steadily decreased
						Steadily decreased
(b)	Has this ha			firm's demand for f		Steadily decreased
(b)	Has this ha			Firm's demand for f		Steadily decreased
(b)		ad any ef		Firm's demand for f	fine denier PSF?	Steadily decreased
<u>Substitu</u>	No Ites.— Hav	ad any ef Yes Ue there	fect on your f	firm's demand for f	Fine denier PSF? Explain r or types of pro	
<u>Substitu</u>	No Ites.— Hav	Yes ve there le denier If yes,	fect on your f	firm's demand for f	Fine denier PSF? Explain r or types of pro you anticipate a	ducts that can be

III-7. <u>Demand trends.</u>-- Has demand within the United States and outside of the United States (if known) for fine denier PSF steadily increased, fluctuated but ended higher, not changed, fluctuated but ended lower, or steadily decreased since January 1, 2017, and how do you anticipate demand will change in the future? Explain any trends and describe the principal factors that have affected, and that you anticipate will affect, these changes in demand.

Select one box per row.

Market	Steadily increase	Fluctuate up	No change	Fluctuate down	Steadily decrease	Explanation and factors
	-	D	emand si	nce January	, 1, 2017	
Within the United States						
Outside the United States						
			Anticipat	ed future d	emand	
Within the United States						
Outside the United States						

U.S. Purchasers' Questionnaire – Fine Denier PSF (Review)	
---	--

III-8.			ces Do you or your customers ever prefer to order fine denier PSF produced ry or countries over other possible country sources of supply?
	No	Yes	If yes, identify the countries and explain any preferences.

III-9.	Importance of purchasing domestic productPlease fill out the table below, estimating the
	share of your firm's total 2022 purchases of fine denier PSF that required fine denier PSF
	produced in the United States

	Estimated share of your firm's total 2022 purchases of fine denier PSF
Purchases that did not require domestic product	%
Purchases that were required by law or regulation to be domestic product (e.g., government purchases under "Buy American" provisions)	%
Purchases that were not required by law or regulation, but were required by your customers to be domestic product	%
Purchases that were required to be domestic product for other reasons	
(explain:)	%
Total (should sum to 100.0%)	0.0 %

III-10. <u>Business cycles.</u>—Is the fine denier PSF market subject to business cycles, either during the year or across years? If yes, describe.

No	Yes	If yes, please describe, including any changes since January 1, 2017.

III-11. <u>Conditions of competition.</u>--Is the fine denier PSF market subject to conditions of competition distinctive to fine denier PSF other than the business cycles described in the previous question? If yes, describe.

No	Yes	If yes, please describe, including any changes since January 1, 2017.

III-12.	Decisions based on producer and country-of-origin How often does your firm, and if known,
	do your customers, make purchasing decisions involving fine denier PSF based on its producer or
	country of origin?

	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never	If at least sometimes, explain.
	_	D	ecision based o	on produ	cer
Your firm					
Your customers					
		Decis	ion based on c	ountry of	origin
Your firm					
Your customers					

III-13. Availability of supply.--Has the availability of fine denier PSF in the U.S. market changed since January 1, 2017? Do you anticipate any future changes?

Availability in the U.S.			Please explain, noting the countries and reasons for the
market	No	Yes	changes.
Changes since January 1, 20	017:		
U.Sproduced product			
Imports from China, India, South Korea, and Taiwan			
Imports from all other countries			
Anticipated changes:			
U.Sproduced product			
Imports from China, India, South Korea, and Taiwan			
Imports from all other countries			

U.S. Pur	chasers'	Questio	nnaire – F	ine Denier P	SF (Review)				Page 14
		Availability of specific product typesAre certain grades/types/sizes of fine denier PSF only available from certain country sources?						SF only	
	No	Yes		olease identi om those cou	fy the countr untries.	ies and the g	grades/ty	pes/sizes av	<i>r</i> ailable
	fine deni "controll deliverin etc.)? If t (e.g., ant cut fine o PSF, silico	er PSF s ed orde g less th :here are :imony f denier P onized f	ince Janua er entry," c nan the qu e constrail ree PSF, c SF, black c ine denier	ary 1, 2017 (declining to a antity promins specific to obalt free PS or other color PSF, micro (declaration)	examples included accept new consisted, being urb particular types, cationic PS ared fine denicate as much	lude placing of ustomers or in able to mee pes of special of special of the period of	customer renew exi t timely s alty fine d or PSF with certified s odegradal	s on allocati sting custon hipment cor enier PSF pr n PCR conte iliconized fir ole fine deni	on or ners, mmitments, roducts nt, short ne denier er PSF, and
	No	Yes	,	•	by source of				
					January 1, 20 Ived, and tin			-	
		Source		Frequent	Occasional	Rarely or never	Don' knov		escribe
	United S	States							
	Subject	sources							
	Nonsubj	ject sou	rces						
III-15b. <u>U.S. producer closure impacts.</u> —Has your firm been impacted by the closure of production facilities by U.S. producers of fine denier PSF since January 1, 2017?					uction				
	No	Yes	where	your firm ha	fy the firms a s found alter reviously sou	native sourc	es of sup	ply for its pu	
				2 22 25 [6]					
III-16.	Purchasi				ly do you mal	· 	Γ	-	-
		Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly	Annually	Other	If other, sp	ecify

III-17.	Raw	material	prices.—

(a) Is your firm familiar with the prices for raw materials used in the production of fine denier PSF?

No	Yes – please answer (b)

(b) Has information on raw material prices affected your firm's negotiations or contracts to purchase fine denier PSF since January 1, 2017?

No	Explain, noting the specific raw material if known (e.g., MEG, PTA, post-consumer recycled (PCR) bottle flake, clean bottle flake)

III-18. <u>Number of suppliers contacted</u>.--How many suppliers of fine denier PSF do you generally contact before making a purchase? Between _____ and _____ firms.

urchasers	s' Questio	onnaire -	- Fine Denier PSF (Review)	Page 16
	_		Do your firm's purchases of fine denier PSF usually involve negot suppliers of fine denier PSF?	tiations
No	Yes	_	explain the factors you generally negotiate and note whether y uotes prices from competing suppliers during negotiations.	our
Change	e in supp	liersHa	as your firm changed suppliers since January 1, 2017?	
No	Yes		please list the supplier(s), whether the firm was added or dropper reasons for the change.	oed,
New su	uppliers	-		
(a)	•		of any new suppliers, either foreign or domestic, that have entere nuary 1, 2017?	d the
	No	Yes	If yes, please identify the firms.	
(b)	Do you	expect n	ew fine denier PSF suppliers to enter the U.S. market?	
	No	Yes	If yes, please explain.	

	U.S. Purch	asers' Questio	nnaire – Fine	Denier PSF	(Review)
--	------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------

III-22.	Supplier certificationDo you require your suppliers to be or to become certified or qualified to
	sell fine denier PSF to your firm?

If yes, provide the following information.

- The number of days required to qualify a new supplier.
- A general description of the certification or qualification process. Also, a brief description of the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product, reliability of supplier, etc.).

No)	Yes	Number of days	Certification/qualification process and factors considered
]			

III-23. <u>Failure to certify</u>.--Since January 1, 2017, have any domestic or foreign producers failed in their attempts to certify or qualify their fine denier PSF with your firm or have any producers lost their approved status?

No	If yes, please identify these producers, the countries where they are located, and the reasons why they failed or lost the certification/qualification.

III-24. <u>Major purchasing factors.</u>--Please list, in order of their importance, the three major factors your firm considers in deciding from whom to purchase fine denier PSF (examples include availability, extension of credit, contracts, price, quality, range of supplier's product line, traditional supplier, etc.).

1.	
2.	
3.	
Ple	ease list any other factors that are very important in your purchase decisions:

III-26.

III-25. <u>Purchasing factors.</u>—Please rate the importance of the following factors in your firm's purchasing decisions for fine denier PSF.

Factor	Very important	Somewhat important	Not important
Availability			
Coating (e.g., silicon)			
Coloring			
Cut length			
Delivery terms			
Delivery time			
Discounts offered			
Minimum quantity requirements			
Packaging			
Payment terms			
Price			
Product consistency			
Product range			
Quality meets industry standards			
Quality exceeds industry standards			
Recycled content			
Biodegradable			
Reliability of supply			
Technical support/service			
U.S. transportation costs			

	U.S. Purch	asers' Questio	nnaire – Fine	Denier PSF	(Review)
--	------------	----------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------

III-27.	Minimum qualityHow often does fine denier PSF from the following countries meet minimum
	quality specifications for your uses or your customers' uses?

Source	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely or never	Don't know
United States					
China					
India					
South Korea, subject					
Taiwan, subject					
Other:					

III-28. **Frequency of decisions based on price.--**How often does your firm purchase the fine denier PSF that is offered at the lowest price?

Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never

III-29. <u>Price leaders.</u>--A price leader is defined as (1) one or more firms that initiate a price change, either upward or downward, that is followed by other firms, or (2) one or more firms that have a significant impact on prices. A price leader is not necessarily the lowest-priced supplier.

Please list the names of any firms you have considered price leaders in the fine denier PSF market since January 1, 2017.

Firm(s) Descr	ribe how the firm(s) exhibited price leadership

For questions III-30 and III-31, if your response differs for particular orders, please indicate and explain the particular effect of imposition and/or revocation of specific orders.

	No	Yes	If yes, supply details as to the likely timing, nature, and significance of such anticipated changes and describe the underlying assumptions and business reasons for these anticipated changes.		
III-31.	<u>Effect of revocation of orders.</u> Would your firm anticipate any changes in the character of its purchasing operations relating to the production of fine denier PSF in the future if the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on fine denier PSF from China, India, South Korea, and Taiwan were to be revoked?				
	your firm's o	operations b	refore and after the imposition of the order(s).		
III-30.	antidumping	g duty order	cribe the significance of the existing countervailing duty and s covering imports of fine denier PSF from China, India, South Korea, and ffect on your firm's purchasing operations. You may wish to compare		

III-32.	Role of section 301 tariffs Did the tariffs on Chinese-origin products under section 301, or
	changes in these tariffs, have an impact on the fine denier PSF market in the United
	States, including any effects on fine denier PSF cost, price, supply, and/or demand, since
	January 1, 2017?

Yes— Please indicate the impact in the table below.	No— Skip to next question.	Don't know— Skip to next question.	

Select one box per row.

Factor	Steadily increase	Fluctuate up	No change	Fluctuate down	Steadily decrease	Explain, noting how the imposition of tariffs under section 301 affected each factor of the fine denier PSF market in the United States.
Supply of U.S produced fine denier PSF						
Supply of fine denier PSF imported from China						
Supply of fine denier PSF imported from other countries						
Prices for fine denier PSF						
Overall U.S. demand for fine denier PSF						
Raw material costs for fine denier PSF						

PART IV.--PRODUCT COMPARISIONS

IV-1. <u>Interchangeability.</u>—How often is fine denier PSF produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (i.e., can they physically be used in the same applications)?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = the products from a specified country-pair are *always* interchangeable

F = the products are *frequently* interchangeable

S = the products are *sometimes* interchangeable

N = the products are *never* interchangeable

0 = no familiarity with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	China	India	South Korea, subject	Taiwan, subject	All other sources
United States					
China					
India					
South Korea, subject					
Taiwan, subject					

For any country-pair producing fine denier PSF which is *sometimes* or *never* interchangeable, please identify the country-pair and explain the factors that limit or preclude the interchangeable use of fine denier PSF produced in the countries:

IV-2. **Factors other than price.**—How often are differences other than price (e.g., quality, availability, transportation network, product range, technical support, *etc.*) between fine denier PSF produced in the United States and in other countries a significant factor in your firm's purchases of the products?

Please indicate A, F, S, N, or 0 in the table below:

A = such differences are *always* significant

F = such differences are *frequently* significant

S = such differences are *sometimes* significant

N = such differences are *never* significant

0 = *no familiarity* with products from a specified country-pair

Country-pair	China	India	South Korea, subject	Taiwan, subject	All other sources
United States					
China					
India	\bigvee	\nearrow			
South Korea, subject					
Taiwan, subject					

For any country-pair for which factors other than price are *always* or *frequently* a significant factor in your firm's purchases of fine denier PSF, identify the country-pair and the relevant factors other than price and report the advantages or disadvantages imparted by such factors:

prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-3. **Factor country comparisons.**-- For the factors listed below, please rate how fine denier PSF produced in each country you with which you are familiar, as reported in your response to question II-4, compares with fine denier PSF produced in each of the other countries with which you are familiar.

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the boxes for those country comparisons blank.

	<u>Uni</u> cor	duct fr ted Sta mpared duct fr China	ites I to	<u>Uni</u> coi	oduct fr ited Sta mpared oduct fr India	ites I to	<u>U</u> comp	fron	tates product
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Coating (e.g., silicon)									
Coloring									
Cut length									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Payment terms									
Price ¹									
Product consistency									
Product range									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Recycled content									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									

IV-3. **Continued.**

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the boxes for those country comparisons blank.

	Uni coi pro	duct fr ited Sta mpared duct fr van, sul	ates I to om	Uni cor pro <u>No</u>	duct fr ted Sta mpared duct fr onsubje ountrie	ites I to om ect	China pro No	duct fr compa duct fr onsubje	red to om ect
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Coating (e.g., silicon)									
Coloring									
Cut length									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Payment terms									
Price ¹									
Product consistency									
Product range									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Recycled content									
Biodegradable									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									

¹ A rating of "superior" on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.

IV-3. **Continued.**

If you are unfamiliar with the product from a particular country, please leave the boxes for those country comparisons blank.

	cor pro	oduct fr India mparecoduct fr onsubje	l to om ect	Sou cor pro <u>No</u>	duct fr uth Kor subject mpared duct fr onsubje	ea, I to om ect	Taiw cor pro <u>No</u>	oduct fr van, sul mparec oduct fr onsubje sources	bject I to om ect
Factor	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Coating (e.g., silicon)									
Coloring									
Cut length									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Payment terms									
Price ¹									
Product consistency									
Product range									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Recycled content									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									
¹ A rating of "superior" on price and U.S. transportation costs indicates that the first country generally has lower prices/U.S. transportation costs than the second country.									

	PART V	-ADDITIONAL	INFORMATION
--	--------	-------------	-------------

V-1.	Other explanationsIf your firm would like to further explain a response to any question that for which a narrative response box was not provided, please note the question number and the explanation in the space provided below.

HOW TO FILE YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSE

This questionnaire is available as a "fillable" form in MS Word format on the Commission's website at:

https://usitc.gov/reports/active_import_injury_questionnaires.

Please do not attempt to modify the format or permissions of the questionnaire document. Please submit the completed questionnaire using one of the methods noted below. If your firm is unable to complete the MS Word questionnaire or cannot use one of the electronic methods of submission, please contact the Commission for further instructions.

• <u>Upload via Secure Drop Box.</u>—Upload the MS Word questionnaire along with a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1) through the Commission's secure upload facility:

Web address: https://dropbox.usitc.gov/oinv/ Pin: FDPSF

• E-mail.—E-mail the MS Word questionnaire to eric.sguazzin@usitc.gov; include a scanned copy of the signed certification page (page 1). Submitters are strongly encouraged to encrypt nonpublic documents that are electronically transmitted to the Commission to protect your sensitive information from unauthorized disclosure. The USITC secure drop-box system and the Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) use Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 140-2 cryptographic algorithms to encrypt data in transit. Submitting your nonpublic documents by a means that does not use these encryption algorithms (such as by email) may subject your firm's nonpublic information to unauthorized disclosure during transmission. If you choose a non-encrypted method of electronic transmission, the Commission warns you that the risk of such possible unauthorized disclosure is assumed by you and not by the Commission.

If your firm does not purchase this product, please fill out page 1, print, sign, and submit a scanned copy to the Commission.

<u>Parties to this proceeding</u>.—If your firm is a party to this proceeding, it is required to serve a copy of the completed questionnaire on parties to the proceeding that are subject to administrative protective order (see 19 CFR § 207.7). A list of such parties may be obtained from the Commission's Secretary (202-205-1802). A certificate of service must accompany the completed questionnaire you submit (see 19 CFR § 207.7). Service of the questionnaire must be made in paper form.