Comment #	Commenter ID	Comment	USCIS Response
1.		Commenter: jean publiee	
	0072	our current prez has flooded the usa with 20 million illegal i mmigrants and the usa is overwhelmed with foreigners. we should not close the borders to all foreigners of any kind. we should shut down this program, which is full of liars who say they are not immigrants but who stay over. we have about 50 million here under that lying category. the usa is corrupt as any third world nation in not fully protecting americans. it used tobe valuable to be an american citizens,now we are treated as slaves by aa totally corrupt govt which does not listen to its people. the people are to have power in an alleged democracy. it is a fraud to say it is a democracy anymore., a total and complete fraud. no regulatory aency listent to the people. they all listen tomomey frmo rich coroporate types. americ has gone downhill. when will it live up to the slogans.admit no more people at this time. deport 20 million is the effort shoull be made at this time in the usa. deport them all. they are shysters, criminals druggies and the worst kinds of peple. we used to be careful who we allowed to come here. now any criminal can come across our southern and canadian border. or frmo teh sea. and they are comng to sully the entire country. to crimnalize america.	Response: This comment is out of scope for the proposed revision of this intended information collection because it does not provide feedback on the nature of the information collection, the categories of respondents, the estimated burden (i.e. the time, effort, and resources used by the respondents to respond), the estimated cost to the respondent, or the actual information collection instrument.
2.		Commenter: ASISTA Immigration Assistance	
	0075 (see attachment)	Please see attached file. Thank you for this opportunity for comment.	Response: See Comment Responses below labeled with Commenter ID: 0075. The information in the attachment from the public comment (0075) was separated into different sections in this comment matrix to address each portion of information individually. See Comment # 3. – 7.

3.		Commenter: ASISTA Immigration	
		Assistance	
	0075	I. Form I-192, Item 26	Response: USCIS has accepted this recommendation and has added a
		Observation : It is very easy to miss the critical Item 26 because it has no answer box or spaces.	textbox to Item Number 26. on the I-192 Form.
		Recommendation: Provide a box, even if small, and instruct the applicant to finish their answer at Part 6, Additional Information, or on a different page specifically for that question. One option for the box could be a "Yes/No" alternative, for the question "Have you explained the grounds of inadmissibility that may apply in your case in Part 6. Additional Information?" Then follow that with, "You must provide this explanation in Part 6. Additional Information." Providing a different page from Part 6 for this could also help encourage applicants to provide a fulsome answer and not skimp on providing sufficient information about prior employment, address, etc., which	
4.		often must overflow into Part 6, too. Commenter: ASISTA Immigration	
		Assistance	
	0075	Observation: These fields address other visa applications which may be pending or decided, but do not request complete information about them.	Response: USCIS may consider these recommendations during the next revision action to Form I-192.
		Recommendation: Add an optional field for receipt numbers. This will facilitate connecting the Form I-192 to multiple nonimmigrant applications if appropriate (e.g., to a pending U petition and pending T application). We recommend also providing in the instructions, under "USCIS Processing," a statement on what USCIS does when a single I-192 is filed while multiple nonimmigrant petitions	

r	1	T	
		are pending. For instance, does USCIS	
		automatically transfer the I-192 to be	
		decided with the petition being	
		adjudicated earliest? Once that first	
		status is decided, must a new I-192 be	
		filed if the person still wishes to pursue	
		the alternative nonimmigrant status?	
5.		Commenter: ASISTA Immigration	
		Assistance	
	0075	III. Form I-192, Special Directions for U	Response: USCIS has accepted
		and T Applicants	recommendations (1) and (2) to update
			the special instructions on page 1 of the
		Observation : On page 1, the form advises	form to simplify the instructions to
		U and T applicants to skip several	complete Item Numbers 1. – 10., as Item
		questions. It is unclear why some of these	Number 4. does notate "(if any)," which
		questions are skipped, and it may be	provides clarity that this is optional, and
		confusing for applicants to jump around	for T nonimmigrant status applicants and
		the form, leading to errors and	U nonimmigrant status petitioners to
		unnecessary rejections or Requests for	complete Item Numbers 8 and 9, as
		Evidence.	opposed to skipping these.
		Evidence.	opposed to skipping these.
		Recommendations: (1) Instead of	
		advising U and T applicants to skip the	
		USCIS account number field, simply	
		indicate it is for completion "if you	
		already have one; skip if not," or	
		"optional" for everyone. This will	
		eliminate one of the "jumps" around the	
		form. It will also enable U and T	
		applicants to take advantage of the	
		online system to the extent it is available,	
		as recently encouraged during USCIS	
		stakeholder engagements.	
		(2)	
		(2) Include U and T applicants in the	
		gender and safe address questions (Items	
		8 and 9). Again, this will decrease the	
		amount of jumping around. It would also	
		better correspond to the instructions at	
		page 3, which call for U and T applicants	
		to complete Item 9 in certain situations,	
		and may provide a way for U and T	
		applicants to update a safe mailing	
		address if it has changed since a prior I-	
		918 or I-914 was submitted.	

		(3) Clarify in the form and instructions whether U and T applicants need to provide their address history for the past five years. In response to a commenter's recommendation about questions 10-11 during the prior 60-day comment period, USCIS suggested it expects the address history to be provided, and the instructions, as well as the language directly preceding Item 10 support this notion. However, Item 10 itself asks only for the Applicant's "current address," and the form advises U and T applicants to answer Item 10 then skip ahead to Item 26. Together, these make it ambiguous whether U and T applicants should provide only their current address or their addresses for the past five years.	(3) As shown in the special instructions on page 1 of the form for T nonimmigrant status applicants and U nonimmigrant status petitioners filing this form concurrently or with a previously filed USCIS Form I-914 or Form I-918, the applicant's current physical address must be provided at Item Number 10. The special instructions stating to complete up to Item Number 10. and to then skip to Item Number 26. provides clarity that Item Number 11. is not required for these applicants. Although not required information, applicants may provide their prior physical addresses for the past five years.
		(4) Write the full list of questions that U and T applicants can skip in a single place, and also reinforce it with additional instructions as appropriate, where those questions appear. For instance, on page 5, a notation states these applicants may skip Items 37-43, but these are not listed on page 1, where the rest of the directions appear regarding Items that can be skipped by U and T applicants. It is helpful to have this reminder on page 5, but Items 37-43 should be noted as skipable on page 1, too. Inconsistent placement of this type of direction may increase confusion, error, unnecessary expenditure of resources, and need for RFEs.	(4) USCIS has not accepted this recommendation because the individuals who may skip the items listed on page 1 are distinct from the individuals listed on page 5. Items 37-43 may only be skipped by individuals who are in the United States, and not all individuals applying for T or U nonimmigrant status are in the United States. The instructions on page 1 are intended to be applicable to all those applying for T or U nonimmigrant status.
6.		Commenter: ASISTA Immigration Assistance	
	<u>0075</u>	IV. Form I-192, Special Directions for U and T Applicants Observation: On page 1, there are special instructions about questions to skip if the person is "filing this form concurrently with a USCIS Form I-914 or Form I-918."	Response: USCIS has accepted this recommendation and added I-914A and I-918A to this special instructions on page 1 of the form.

		Recommendation: Please specify	
		whether this instruction also pertains to	
		filers who are derivatives on those forms	
		(i.e., filing concurrently with or	
		subsequent to a USCIS Form I-914	
		•	
7		Supplement A or I-918 Supplement A).	
7.		Commenter: ASISTA Immigration Assistance	
	0075	V. Instructions for Form I-192	Response: USCIS may consider this
	<u> </u>		recommendation during the next revision
		Observation: The instructions do not set	action to Form I-192.
		forth the standard by which the Form I-	4000 10 101111 132.
		192 will be evaluated for different waiver	
		provisions, nor when the different	
		provisions are implicated.	
		Recommendation : As is done with the	
		instructions for Form I-601, provide more	
		information on the standards for waivers	
		under INA § 212(d)(3), (d)(13), and	
		(d)(14). Explain both when the different	
		waiver standards apply, and what the	
		standards require. Providing this clarity	
		and a summary of how USCIS evaluates	
		the standards will increase the quality of	
		applications and decrease the need for	
		RFEs and NOIDs.	
8.		Commenter: Immigrant Legal Resource	
		Center	
	0074	Please see the Immigrant Legal Resource	Response: See Comment Responses
	(see attachment)	Center's comment, attached.ec	below labeled with Commenter ID: 0074.
			The information in the attachment from
			the public comment (0074) was
			separated into different sections in this
			comment matrix to address each portion
			of information individually.
9.		Commenter: Immigrant Legal Resource	See Comment # 9. – 10.
J.		Center	
	0074	1. ILRC commends the reduction in length	Response: Thank you for your support of
	30	of Form I-192.	the changes.
		The current edition of Form I-192 is	the changes.
		eleven pages, and the proposed form is	
		nine pages. ILRC commends USCIS for	
		reducing the length of the form,	

		. 1	
		eliminating unnecessary questions (such	
		as regarding an applicant's parents and	
		the applicant's biometrics), and	
		streamlining the information sought. This	
		change will increase access to relief for	
		immigrant survivors of crime as well as	
		benefit practitioners – particularly non-	
		profit providers – by allowing them to	
		reserve resources for more complicated	
		cases in addition to the lower burden of	
		completing a shorter form generally. A	
		shorter form will also be less of an	
		adjudicatory burden for USCIS and help	
		reduce the backlog for U nonimmigrant	
		status.	
10.		Commenter: Immigrant Legal Resource	
		Center	
	0074	2. ILRC commends the new instructions	Response: USCIS may consider this
		for U and T nonimmigrant applicants to	recommendation during the next revision
		skip the section on marital history.	action to Form I-192.
		The proposed form instructs those filing	
		Form I-192 concurrently with Form I-914	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one	
		•	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for recognizing that these questions are not relevant to a U and T nonimmigrant's	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for recognizing that these questions are not relevant to a U and T nonimmigrant's Form I-192 waiver application and	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for recognizing that these questions are not relevant to a U and T nonimmigrant's	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for recognizing that these questions are not relevant to a U and T nonimmigrant's Form I-192 waiver application and appreciates the instructions to those applicants to skip this section. ILRC also	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for recognizing that these questions are not relevant to a U and T nonimmigrant's Form I-192 waiver application and appreciates the instructions to those applicants to skip this section. ILRC also encourages USCIS to add clarifying	
		or Form I-918 or in relation to one previously filed to skip the sections on marital history. ILRC commends USCIS for recognizing that these questions are not relevant to a U and T nonimmigrant's Form I-192 waiver application and appreciates the instructions to those applicants to skip this section. ILRC also	