

The new required 'Summary' Field is now viewable in the Docket Details Tab of Rulemaking Dockets.



Docket (/docket/USCIS-2007-0029) / Document (USCIS-2007-0029-0315) (/document/USCIS-2007-0029-0315) / Comment



PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Comment Submitted by Xuan Luo

Posted by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services on Oct 27, 2023

View More Comments 3 (/document/USCIS-2007-0029-0315/comment)	
View Related Comments (/docket/USCIS-2007-0029/comments)	Share →

Comment

I suggest that it be clarified whether a sponsor's spouse can file I-864A, if the sponsor's spouse does not live in the same residence as the sponsor. The sponsor's spouse must be counted as part of the sponsor's household size in I-864 Part 5 item 3, regardless of whether the spouse lives with the sponsor. So it would seem that a sponsor's spouse who did not live with the sponsor should be able to act as a household member and file I-864A. However, I-864A instructions, "What Is the Purpose of Form I-864A?" -> "Who May Be Considered a Household Member for Purposes of Form I-864A?" (on page 1), item 2, says, "2. The spouse, parent, child, adult son or daughter, or sibling relative of the sponsor, if that relative has the same principal residence as the sponsor; or", which seems to indicate that a sponsor's spouse who did not live with the sponsor could not file I-864A.

In I-864 instructions, section "Specific Requirements" -> "How Can My Relatives and Dependents Help Me Meet the Income Requirements?", it says, "You may use the income of your spouse and/or any other relatives living in your residence if they are willing to be jointly responsible with you for the intending immigrants you are sponsoring". It is unclear whether the clause "living in your residence" applies only to "any other relatives" (in which case the spouse does not need to live in the same residence), or applies to "your spouse and/or any other relatives" (in which case the spouse does need to live in the same residence).

In the 8 CFR 213a.1 definition for "Household income", it says, "Household income means the income used to determine whether the sponsor meets the minimum income requirements under sections 213A(f)(1)(E), 213A(f)(3), or 213A(f)(5) of the Act. It includes the income of the sponsor, and of the sponsor's spouse and any other person included in determining the sponsor's household size, if the spouse or other person is at least 18 years old and has signed the form designated by USCIS for this purpose, on behalf of the sponsor and intending immigrants. [...]". Here, it seems to indicate that the income of the sponsor's spouse can be

1/11/24, 1:37 PM Regulations.gov

included if the sponsor's spouse completes the required form (I-864A), with no qualifications regarding whether the spouse needs to live in the same residence as the sponsor.

Comment ID

USCIS-2007-0029-0328



Tracking Number

lo7-wlwu-a9yv

Comment Details

Received Date

Oct 26, 2023



Your Voice in Federal Decision Making

About Bulk Data Download Agencies Learn

(/about) (/bulkdownload) (/agencies) (/learn)

Reports FAQ

(https://resources.regulations.gov/public/component/main?main=Reports) (/faq)

Privacy & Security Notice (/privacy-notice) | User Notice (/user-notice) | Accessibility Statement (/accessibility) | Developers (https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/) |

FOIA (https://www.gsa.gov/reference/freedom-of-information-act-foia)

Support (/support) Provide Site Feedback



Submitted via Regulations.gov

Samantha Deshommes Chief, Regulatory Coordination Division Office of Policy and Strategy United States Citizenship and Immigration Services 5900 Capital Gateway Drive Camp Springs, MD 20746

RE: OMB Control Number 1615-0075, Docket ID USCIS-2007-0029; Public Comment in Response to the Revision of Forms I-864, I-864A, I-864EZ.

Dear Chief Deshommes,

Our organization, Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc. (CLINIC),¹ submits these comments in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) published in the Federal Register on October 26, 2023, titled Agency Information Collection Activities; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection: I-864, Affidavit of Support Under Section 213A of the INA; I-864A, Contract Between Sponsor and Household Member; I-864EZ, Affidavit of Support Under Section 213A of the INA. CLINIC supports most of the proposed changes but opposes some changes, as detailed below.

Embracing the Gospel value of welcoming the stranger, CLINIC has promoted the dignity and protected the rights of immigrants in partnership with a dedicated network of Catholic and community legal immigration programs since its founding in 1988. CLINIC's network, originally comprised of 17 programs, has now increased to more than 450 diocesan and community-based programs in 48 states and the District of Columbia. CLINIC is the largest nationwide network of nonprofit immigration programs. Through its affiliates, CLINIC advocates for the just and humane treatment of noncitizens. Most of CLINIC's affiliates offer legal services to individuals who are in the process of adjusting their status to permanent residents. Due to our work with noncitizens around the country, we welcome the proposed changes with the exception of some aspects. We support the overwhelming number of proposed changes to Form I-864 and its Instructions. They improve the language and correct certain ambiguities or errors in the current version of the forms. We do have specific objections and concerns, however, as stated below.

I. SPECIFIC CONCERNS REGARDING THE PROPOSED CHANGES

A. CLINIC Recommends the Following Adjustments to the Proposed Changes to Form I-864

CLINIC recommends the following adjustment:

¹ Charles Wheeler, Senior Attorney/Director Emeritus; Carolina Rivera, Federal Advocacy Attorney & Liaison authored these comments. The authors would like to thank Nubia Torres, Director of Network Services, Karen Sullivan, Director of Advocacy, Jennifer Riddle, Director of Training and Technical Assistance, and Val Christian, Programs Assistant for their contributions to this comment.

On Page 5, Part 5, the proposed Form I- 864 makes various changes to the current language and would read as follows:

"Enter the total number immigrants you are sponsoring on this affidavit which includes the principal immigrant listed in **Part 3**, any immigrants listed in **Part 4**, **Item Numbers 4-7**, and any additional sponsored immigrants you listed in **Part 11**, **Additional Information**. Do not count the principal immigrant if you are only sponsoring family members entering more than six months after the principal immigrant."

This language is confusing and not as accurate as the current language. The following persons may complete and file an I-864: (1) petitioner/sponsors filing for the principal beneficiary and any accompanying derivative family members; (2) petitioner/sponsors filing for the derivative family members (and not the principal beneficiary); (3) joint sponsors filing for the principal beneficiary and one or more derivative beneficiaries; (4) joint sponsors filing for the principal beneficiary (and not any derivative family members); (5) joint sponsors filing for one or more derivative family members (and not the principal beneficiary); and substitute sponsors filing for the principal beneficiary and/or derivative family members. Given the different beneficiaries on whose behalf the I-864 can be filed, it is inaccurate to state that the number must include "the principal immigrant listed in Part 3, any immigrants listed in Part 4, Item Numbers 4-7, and any additional sponsored immigrants you listed in Part 11." It may or may not include them. It would be more accurate and less confusing to state it the following way (proposed changes in italics):

"Enter the total number immigrants you are sponsoring on this affidavit, which may include the principal immigrant listed in **Part 3**, any immigrants listed in **Part 4**, **Item Numbers 4-7**, and any additional sponsored immigrants ..."

In addition, the proposed new Form I-864 no longer includes Part 11. The final part of the revised form is Part 9, Additional Information. So, that portion of the same paragraph needs to be revised to read:

"...you listed in **Part 9**, **Additional Information**. Do not count the principal immigrant if you are only sponsoring family members entering more than six months after the principal immigrant."

B. CLINIC Recommends the Following Adjustments to the Proposed Changes to Form I-864 Instructions

CLINIC recommends the following adjustments:

- 1. Page 2 of the proposed changed Instructions identifying the exceptions as to who needs to submit Form I-864 includes:
 - "4. Self-petitioning battered spouses and children who have an approved Form I-360 (VAWA self-petitioners)."

VAWA self-petitioners have been "exempted" from filing Form I-864 given the unique nature of their relationship to the abuser. Since they are self-petitioning, they do not have a petitioning sponsor like other family- or employment-based beneficiaries. Instead, they have been allowed to file Form I-864W, Request for Exemption for Intending Immigrant's Affidavit of Support.

The current regulations still reference victims of domestic violence self-petitioners who were granted relief under the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) as exempt from the affidavit of support requirement at the adjustment of status stage.² But subsequent legislation actually exempted them from the public charge ground of

.

² 8 CFR § 213a.2(a)(2)(ii)(A).

inadmissibility.³ Applicants exempt from public charge are also exempt from the affidavit of support requirements and do not have to separately claim an exemption to filing an I-864. But at the present time, VAWA self-petitioners are still required to complete and file an I-864W, even though they shouldn't be required to. Fortunately, the final DHS regulations defining public charge officially designated VAWA recipients as exempt from public charge.⁴ CLINIC proposes that the agency eliminate this fourth designation of VAWA self-petitioners as exempted from filing Form I-864 since it is no longer accurate; all adjustment of status applicants exempted from public charge are exempted from filing Form I-864.

2. Page 3 of the proposed changed Instructions identifying other applicants not required to submit Form I-864 includes 33 categories of applicants exempt from public charge. This lengthens the instructions by two full pages. CLINIC believes that such detail, especially the eight subcategories (1. A-H) regarding employment-based applicants who rarely need an I-864, are unnecessary and should be eliminated. Instead, CLINIC proposes the agency include a sentence indicating that applicants who are exempt from public charge do not need to file an I-864:

"Other Applicants Not Required to Submit Form I-864

Applicants who are not subject to the public charge ground of inadmissibility are not required to submit a Form I-864. For a full list of these applicants, see 8 CFR 212.23(a)."

3. Page 9 of the Instructions makes two references to **Part 11, Additional Information**. This part of the revised Form I-864 is now **Part 9, Additional Information** and needs to be revised.

II. Conclusion

While we support most of the proposed changes to the Forms I-864, Affidavit of Support Under Section 213A of the INA; I-864A, Contract Between Sponsor and Household Member; I-864EZ, Affidavit of Support Under Section 213A of the INA., CLINIC urges USCIS to consider the proposed changes detailed above such as, changes regarding wording in the Form I-864 and its instructions; and language regarding VAWA self-petitioners. CLINIC applauds the efforts of the administration to improve the language and correct certain ambiguities or errors in the current version of the form. These changes will assist legal services organizations that directly serve noncitizens filing these applications.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. Please do not hesitate to contact Karen Sullivan, Director of Advocacy, at ksullivan@cliniclegal.org, with any questions or concerns about our recommendations.

Sincerely,

Anna Gallagher Executive Director

Anna Jallagher

³ INA § 212(a)(4)(E)(i), (iii).

⁴ 87 Fed. Reg. 55472, 55638 (Sept. 9, 2022), adding 8 CFR § 212.23(a)(20).

The new required 'Summary' Field is now viewable in the Docket Details Tab of Rulemaking Dockets.



Docket (/docket/USCIS-2007-0029) / Document (USCIS-2007-0029-0315) (/document/USCIS-2007-0029-0315) / Comment



PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Comment Submitted by Anonymous

Posted by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services on Dec 26, 2023

View More Comments 3 (/document/USCIS-2007-0029-0315/comment)	
View Related Comments (/docket/USCIS-2007-0029/comments)	Share ▼

Comment

1615-0075

I think discontinuing the use of the Request for Exemption for Intending Immigrant's Affidavit of Support in its adjudications and instead including the exemption option in the form itself is a good idea. Several people applying for immigration benefits do not speak English and must navigate the forms by themselves if they do not have any help. Having separate forms can get confusing and not be efficient for immigration offices and the immigrants. This will let people be able to have an easier time to apply for their applications or petitions. A lot of people are even unaware that a separate form needs to be filled with the application because it is in the instructions which are in English language. This will also save immigration offices time and maybe resources because they do not need to go through and check the separate forms.

Also, this might reduce the number of requests for evidence that people get from immigration for these forms. And those request for evidence slows down the process and can make immigrants wait longer to receive answers about their cases. Also, some people were filing the exemption form but receiving letters indicating that they did not file the form. The more forms people are required to fill out makes it more possible for mistakes. I think having the option to check off the box that requests an exemption is an efficient way for this already difficult process.

Comment ID

USCIS-2007-0029-0330

1/11/24, 1:34 PM Regulations.gov



Tracking Number

lqi-w7lw-s3rq

Comment Details

Received Date

Dec 23, 2023



Your Voice in Federal Decision Making

About Bulk Data Download Agencies Learn

(/about) (/bulkdownload) (/agencies) (/learn)

Reports FAQ

(https://resources.regulations.gov/public/component/main?main=Reports) (/faq)

Privacy & Security Notice (/privacy-notice) | User Notice (/user-notice) |
Accessibility Statement (/accessibility) | Developers (https://open.gsa.gov/api/regulationsgov/) |
FOIA (https://www.gsa.gov/reference/freedom-of-information-act-foia)

Support (/support) Provide Site Feedback