PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 8/21/23, 9:25 AM **Received:** August 21, 2023

Status: Non_Public

Tracking No. llk-ndkz-diol

Comments Due: October 10, 2023

Submission Type: Web

Docket: FINCEN-2023-0008

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Renewal; Comment Request; Renewal Without Change of Reports of Foreign Financial Accounts Regulations and FinCEN Form 114, Report of Foreign Bank And Financial Accounts.

Comment On: FINCEN-2023-0008-0001

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals: Renewal Without Change of Reports of Foreign Financial Accounts Regulations and FinCEN Form 114, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts

Document: FINCEN-2023-0008-DRAFT-0025

Comment on FR Doc # 2023-17092

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I am a US citizen living abroad for the last 15 years. The last 5 years I spent in Germany, where numerous banks actively discriminated against US citizens, refusing to open bank accounts for them because they did not want to have to deal with the FinCEN regulations. Some banks have even actively closed bank accounts for US citizens to no longer comply with US anti-tax-evasion laws, such as the Augsburger Aktienbank in Germany.

Most worryingly, I am also aware of other expats who put their money into their spouse's accounts in order to avoid filing FBARs or because they are unable to open their own bank account. This appears to be predominantly women who have followed a spouse abroad and are already earning less than their partner, thus resulting in even greater financial inequality for women. I have even seen expat women who end up in very difficult situations due to their spouse then having total financial control over them.

The FBAR requirement isn't just a matter of time for the filer or a workforce cost for FinCEN. There are real socioeconomic effects that the requirements of filing has on US citizens abroad, from discrimination by financial institutions to financial inequality and domestic abuse against women. I therefore urgently request that this requirement be reconsidered and, ideally, removed.