

Author Full Name : Anonymous**Received Date :** 06/13/2024 12:15 PM**Comments Received :**

First, thank you for the detailed report in response to the first round of comments on the IPEDS changes. The context provided helps appreciate the position the DoE is in (and has been for several years), as well as reinforce the value that we must fund the initiatives we care about. For academic libraries, the ACRL reporting structure is an existing alternative to IPEDS and institutions will need to allocate funding to access those reports. None of this work is done for free, but it has value. I am glad to hear that a piece of library staffing will continue to be part of the data collection and it can be used to benchmark institutional staffing.