

Public Comments - 2010 EDS

Comment Received	Commenter	Comment	Additional Information
9/14/2009	South Dakota Steve Serr	No changes to the survey	
9/21/2009	South Dakota Glenda Erickson	No changes to the survey	
9/23/2009	South Dakota Diane Olsen	Registration and UOCAVA questions are time consuming; other sections seem easy to answer	
9/28/2009	South Dakota Pamela Petrak	Some questions repetitive; Do not change survey	
10/26/2009	MD Board of Elections Nikki Trella	Question B19 - number of UOCAVA ballots transmitted as a one-time versus automatic request. With passage of the National Defense Authorization Act FY2010, this question will be removed since two-cycle request will no longer be required? Similarly, question B20 will be removed?	
11/3/2009	IL Champaign County Mark Sheldon	Some A6 questions are hard for IL to track; A9 should registrations from outside our jurisdiction be counted as rejections? Regs we get that fall in this category are not processed and are not rejected, but rather passed on to the proper jurisdiction; A10 use of the terms removal notices versus confirmation notices; A11e should read two most recent general elections; B1, B2, C2, E3, F1, F5 more clarity needed;	
11/5/2009	Michigan DOS Chris Thomas	Impossible for MI to respond to detailed data requests because of requirement to contact over 1,500 city/ township clerks. Extremely burdensome. Urge simplification of the survey. A6i impossible for MI to answer; A7-9 too detailed; B2, B3, B8 are unclear; B14 rejections do not cover FWABs that are rejected due to voter's regular ballot arriving in time. C1, C2, C4, and F3 need clarification	

Public Comments - 2010 EDS

[illegible]

Public Comments - 2010 EDS

Comment Received	Commenter	Comment	Additional Information
11/9/2009	Colorado Neal McBurnett	Include questions about election audits. Questions should address the extent to which practices in a best practices document are followed and where states' audit reports are published	Received after the comment deadline
11/9/2009	Verified Voting Sean Flaherty	Submitted testimony by Warren Stewart as VV's comments. VV recommends that the survey include questions about the number and type of voting equipment and number of poll workers (proposed survey includes them and they should remain). Include questions about service and maintenance of voting systems. In addition to name of voting system (model and vendor) also include questions about who provides ongoing support for system service and maintenance (including ballot layout (programming) and technical support. Include questions about whether UOCAVA voters used the Internet, fax, email, and US mail to send ballots to UOCAVA voters and to receive ballots back from voters. Include questions on voting system problems (not just for vote recording machines but also electronic poll books, voter-card encoders or precinct control modules).	Received after the comment deadline
11/9/2009	Citizens for Election Integrity Minnesota Mark Halvorson	Ask for the number of votes that are counted in a manual post-election tally of paper trail divided by the number of votes cast so that we get an idea of the extent to which any manual post-election tally audit is being conducted.	