

**Author Full Name :** Jennifer Packard**Received Date :** 02/19/2025 07:56 PM**Comments Received :**

As a woman, I feel no need to be defended from "gender ideology and extremism" and "restoring biological truth" is the opposite of what Executive Order 11295 does. The definitions and requirements of terms such as "sex" and "gender" in the executive order that requires passport applicants to choose only M or F are incorrect. This order ignores scientific facts in favor of ideology.

Requiring a passport applicant to supply their biological sex "at conception", limited to male "M" or female "F", discriminates against people based on their sex or transgender status, depriving them of their rights to equal protection, privacy and speech. This is unconstitutional, scientifically wrong, and extremely harmful and painful to those affected.

Instead of providing additional clarity, this change will cause more confusion. For example, people who identify as female but were male at birth will not present themselves as the same gender that their passports claim they are. Transgender and nonbinary people are essentially being asked to lie about who they are on their passport.

As the parent of a transwoman, it makes me extremely angry that this government is attempting to deny my child from being who she is. I'm very much against these changes to the passport requirements.