

**Author Full Name :** Michael Cook**Received Date :** 02/19/2025 07:44 PM**Comments Received :**

The proposal to passport renewal processes regarding changes from gender markers to sex markers are unnecessary and intrusive since 'sex' is widely understood from a scientific basis to be neither binary nor useful as a biological identifier. Denoting 'sex' on documentation has already been legally dismissed via *Zzyym v. Blinken*; from a logistical perspective, this is a superfluous change to the renewal process since the applicant's identity would already have been reasonably verified prior to the addition of 'sex' markers, and these changes actually introduce the probability that inconsistencies would arise where none existed before. As a public individual, the idea of including a 'sex' marker on a passport is also offensive and intrusive beyond its uselessness as an identifier since it also introduces a level of invasive and unverifiable information to a person's status as a legally-verified individual.