

Author Full Name : Anonymous**Received Date :** 02/19/2025 08:58 PM**Comments Received :**

Hello!

I would like to note that EO 14168 contains a nonsensical definition of sex, and acting in compliance with EO 14168 is impossible. The definition in the EO means that no person fits either definition for either of the sex categories, as newly conceived embryos do not belong to either sex category. The only indications of sex at that stage are: chromosomes, which do not tell us their developed phenotype at birth, or current phenotype at conception, which is always female. Also, this change is not in compliance regardless of this point, because it says people will be asked for sex at birth, not conception, which is what the EO states.

It is also our obligation as citizens to not comply with nonsensical orders. Scientific consensus recognizes trans people, scientific consensus recognizes gender identity as a real human phenomenon. It is more useful for the government to identify people by how they are going to present and wish to be called, rather than by genetics or reproductive systems. The EO and this form change completely erase the physical medical reality of intersex people, some of which produce both gametes or neither. It is nonsensical, and the only 'compliance' can be done by making the order more sensical than it is. You cannot comply, so do not try. It is their obligation to give clear, sensical, logical orders, not ours to force some semblance of logic to try and follow.

Also, it's not any of the governments business what my internal and external genitalia look like. In my opinion, the category should be removed entirely, because if someone marked 'M' looks like a woman, that doesn't mean they aren't the person in question, and just because they are marked 'M' and look like a man, doesn't mean they are. Photo ID, name, social security number, birth certificate, etc... should be more than enough information.

Thank you.