

Author Full Name : Anonymous**Received Date :** 02/20/2025 12:02 AM**Comments Received :**

Updates or modifications to the processes of change, correction, and replacement reflecting the "restoring biological truth" executive orders are premature, at best, and a potential civil rights violation. The replacement of gender with sex, and the use of assigned sex at birth does not take into account variations of biology, making it insufficient to express any "truth."

Technical accuracy aside, executive orders are not established law, and there are already many legal challenges to the constitutionality of the EO, or even a congressionally approved law based upon it. The executive orders only serve to penalize a minority population, in defiance of their civil rights.

Modifications to the forms of an application process are no place to attempt to establish law. Change should not be made at this time, and only considered after such time as laws are approved by legislative bodies, upheld by the judiciary in legal challenges, and their precedent established.