

**Author Full Name :** ANONYMOUS**Received Date :** 02/20/2025 04:16 PM**Comments Received :**

The changes proposed in this form are both unnecessary and inaccurate to the variety of lived experiences of Americans. Enforcing one political party's ideology or one religion's doctrine on every US citizen is not representative of the nation and should not be allowed to inform individuals' legal documentation. This country was said to be founded on individual liberty, and that is what those who stand against these changes are trying to protect.

There is historical legal precedent in this country for more than two biological sexes with the Zzyym vs. Blinken cases, and enacting the changes proposed for this form would contradict such rulings. By doing so, the courts are affirming their compliance with writing discrimination against transgender, intersex, non-binary, and gender-non-conforming Americans into law, and that is unacceptable. The idea that these changes are defending women is to erase the experiences of anyone who does not fit the fictionalized idea of femininity made by those in power.