

Author Full Name : Jes Martinez-Hunt**Received Date :** 02/25/2025 04:16 PM**Comments Received :**

The proposed changes to DS-82 violate established legal precedent, waste government resources, and put transgender and intersex people at risk. In *Zzyym v. Blinken*, the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado already ruled that denying a passport based on gender marker restrictions is unlawful. These changes ignore that ruling and open the Department of State to further litigation at taxpayer expense.

As a trans person, I know firsthand the importance of accurate identification. Every time I present an ID that does not match my gender presentation, I am at risk of being harassed, delayed, or even denied services. The ability to renew a passport with an accurate gender marker is not a luxury—it is essential for safety, dignity, and equal participation in society.

Beyond trans and nonbinary people, this change actively erases intersex individuals, who scientifically and medically do not fit into a strict male/female binary. The executive order's emphasis on "biological truth" contradicts well-documented biological realities. There are people born with sex characteristics that do not fit neatly into one category. The government cannot claim to uphold scientific integrity while ignoring established medical knowledge.

Passports exist to confirm identity for international travel, not to enforce ideological beliefs about gender. These proposed changes serve no practical purpose and will only harm those who already face discrimination and violence. I urge the State Department to maintain policies that respect human rights, comply with legal precedent, and reflect the reality of American citizens' diverse identities.