February 26, 2025 Public Comments for ICR 202502-1405-001

Author Full Name: Alyssa Cole Received Date: 02/26/2025 08:52 PM

Comments Received:

Replacing the term gender with sex & requesting applicants biological sex at birth incorrectly defines sex as binary. The removal of the X gender designation forces people to carry a government document that contains a message that they do not agree with.

These policies serve no practical purpose. While purporting to protect women, these policies will exposes all people to invasive policing of their bodies, while offering no tangible prevention of sex or gender based violence. They are discriminatory under the due process clause by discrimination on the basis of sex. The policy is arbitrary, politically motivated, and capricious. They will make it uncomfortable or dangerous for certain people to travel freely on the basis of Sex, and provide opportunities for abusive bad actors to prey on and abuse these individuals.

"The review, which covered civil rights complaints filed from January 2016 through April 2019, found that 5%, or 298 complaints, were related to screening of transgender people, even though they are estimated to make up slightly less than 1% of the population." -From "When Transgender Travelers Travelers Walk Into Scanners, Invasive Searches Sometimes Wait on the Other Side", ProPublica 2019 (Attached)

These changes made in order to harm and discriminate are a waste of taxpayer money at the expense of marginalized and vulnerable groups in violation of the enjoinment put on State by the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado in the Zzyym v. Blinken case (formerly Zzyym v. Pompeo, Zzyym v. Tillerson, and Zzyym v. Kerry).