

**Author Full Name :** Lee Hawkridge**Received Date :** 03/08/2025 02:29 AM**Comments Received :**

Hello,

I think that adding the Megan's Law affirmation would not be a bad idea, though I would argue that it should be changed to "whether or not he or she is a registered sex offender", since doing otherwise would be a violation of basic rights of citizenship, which should not be able to be revoked, which has precedent in other cases involving the US Government trying to revoke passports of various persons.

Secondly, then change from "gender" to "sex" is unnecessary. Let us leave aside, for the moment, the fact the EO is 14168 is factually inconsistent with the existence of intersex individuals, including infants who done nothing but be born slightly different than their peers, as pointed out by Missoula County Judge Leslie Halligan, as well as being incompatible with current medial practice of determining sex of infants, the change in passport documentation is costly and unnecessary.

Since "gender" is now defined legally, at the federal level, for the time being, as "sex assigned at birth", there is no reason to change the printing of every single US Passport, which number in the millions and would be costly and time consuming, as well as creating more paperwork at a time when the number of civil servants who could process such requests is dropping rapidly