

Author Full Name : Anonymous**Received Date :** 03/09/2025 08:25 PM**Comments Received :**

This policy will be costly to put into practice and offers no benefits for implementation. Reducing human beings to either "male" or "female" does nothing for identification purposes. Not only that, but it opens discriminatory practices for anyone who doesn't match preconceived notions of what they're "supposed" to look like. Besides that, people verify their identity through multiple methods which have nothing to do with gender. Removing the gender marker altogether would be a much better use of time than forcing this through.

Scientific consensus defines sex in humans as a biological construct that relies on a combination of chromosomes, hormonal balances, and the resulting expression of gonads, external genitalia and secondary sex characteristics. There is variation in all these biological attributes that make up sex. Accordingly, sex (and gendered expression) is not a binary trait. While some aspects of sex are bimodal, variation along the continuum of male to female is well documented in humans through hundreds of scientific articles. Such variation is observed at both the genetic level and at the individual level (including hormone levels, secondary sexual characteristics, as well as genital morphology). Beyond the incorrect claim that science backs up a simple binary definition of sex, the lived experience of people clearly demonstrates that the genetic composition at conception does not define one's identity. Rather, sex and gender result from the interplay of genetics and environment. Such diversity is a hallmark of biological species, including humans.