Author Full Name: Nat V Received Date: 03/18/2025 12:40 AM

Comments Received:

Why is it considered "Gender Idealogy Extremism" for someone to simply affirm the way in which they would like to be treated by society? If America is all about Freedom, isn't how we relate with others not a fundamental freedom we should have protected? After all, we don't operate as a society based on what genitalia someone has. If you see someone you are convinced is a woman, do you assume this by checking their genitals? Or do you make assumptions based on changeable things such length of hair, clothing, makeup etc.?

If one truly believes that whatever someone else has between their legs matters, then how will this be enforced? Is someone going to check? How does that "defend women" when we know there are a significant amount of women (and others) who are already abused by people with state power? (https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9365085/, https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/249850.pdf,

https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2017R1/Downloads/CommitteeMeetingDocument/132808)

If we go by birth certificates to enforce this, then what would be the "legally correct" way for someone's sex to be documented when we know people with intersex traits exist? (https://magazine.hms.harvard.edu/articles/body-self)

There is no neat way to with 100% success rate document "biological sex" since it is a concept humans developed most to categorize things that are in truth much more scientifically complex. This categorization system is useful when you are trying to breed animals, and far less useful when you want a society in which people are allowed to function in that society as they see fit for themselves.

With this comment, I reject that this proposal has anything to do with defending women, and far more to do with oppressing people. Or is it the Government's opinion that the function of presenting as a woman is similar to the reasons we use the categorizing system to forcibly breed animals?