

Norcross, Frank

From: Wentworth, Scott [swentworth@oaklandnet.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 04, 2010 5:28 PM
To: Norcross, Frank
Cc: Fong, Pete
Subject: comments regarding the draft DOE Recovery Act Reporting Requirements
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Dear Mr. Norcross,

Please consider the following comments regarding the draft DOE Recovery Act Reporting Requirements for the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program:

- We appreciate the opportunity to use the grant funds to accomplish valuable projects.
- Adding 12 more reports each year takes time that we did not anticipate in our proposals and will take resources away from our aggressive efforts to deliver several energy efficiency and conservation projects quickly.
- If monthly reports are added after considering our concerns, requiring a second report in the same month as a quarterly report will have a significant and negative impact. Preparing, reviewing, submitting and tracking another report will interrupt the work flow that we are working so hard to sustain.
- The differential benefits of monthly tracking on outlays compared to the current system are not clear. Oakland closely times ASAP drawdowns with outlays already. The ASAP system tracks our drawdowns and is accessible to the DOE. There appears to be little added benefit for our taking time away from the projects to re-report information that DOE already has available on ASAP.
- Reporting square feet is straightforward provided that we only report work completed when a whole building is done. Each project will receive a report on retrofitted square footage when work at the whole site is done. Communicating these figures on a quarterly basis should be sufficient.

Thank you for considering our comments.

Scott Wentworth, P.E.
Energy Engineer
City of Oakland, California
510-238-3984