

From: [Burns, Lawrence - ETA](#)
To: [Correne Saunders](#)
Cc: [OUI PRA Inbox](#); [OUI.RESEA](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comment on OMB Control Number 1205-0538
Date: Wednesday, July 16, 2025 10:49:18 AM

Correne,

Confirming receipt of your comment on the RESEA state plan template

Our intent with this renewal is to consolidate and streamline elements while maintaining compliance with the statutory requirements. We appreciate the specific recommendations about consolidating multiple tables to reduce data entry and confusion. We are currently assessing the feasibility of these proposed modifications.

Regarding the removal of the “not applicable” option in element 22e, we concur, and this removal is among our planned changes.

Thank you again,

Larry

From: Correne Saunders <Correne.Saunders@abtglobal.com>
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2025 3:22 PM
To: OUI PRA Inbox <OUI-PRA@dol.gov>
Subject: Public Comment on OMB Control Number 1205-0538

You don't often get email from correne.saunders@abtglobal.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the Department of Labor. Do not click (select) links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Report suspicious emails through the "Report" button on your email toolbar.

To Whom It May Concern,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed extension of the information collection request (ICR) for the RESEA Grant State Plan (OMB No. 1205-0538).

I would like to respectfully suggest some revisions to the current data collection structure for RESEA state plans.

The first is to consolidate the intervention-specific reporting requirements currently found in Elements 9, 10, and 22 of the RESEA State Plan template. These elements each require states to provide detailed descriptions of RESEA activities in a table format, but can result in repetitive and sometimes conflicting information. In particular, 22c requests information by RESEA intervention while elements 9a, 10a, and 22i request information at the activity level (with several activities per intervention, potentially). By combining these elements into a single table that captures all necessary activity-specific data, the Department could reduce the time and effort required for states to complete the plan, minimize the risk of inconsistencies across elements, and improve the clarity of submitted plans.

The second is to modify the response options in element 22e of the state plan to remove the part that says “If ‘Not Applicable’ please advance to 22g”. This way, states that are conducting whole-program RESEA evaluations or participating in RESEA evidence building efforts will still be directed to describe their efforts in 22f (instead of advancing to 22g). This will help the Department gain a clearer understanding of the full scope of state’s plans to use grant funds to support evidence building.

Thank you for considering these recommendations.

Sincerely,
Correne Saunders

This message may contain privileged and confidential information intended solely for the addressee. Please do not read, disseminate or copy it unless you are the intended recipient. If this message has been received in error, we kindly ask that you notify the sender immediately by return email and delete all copies of the message from your system.