



Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
USCIS
Chief, Regulatory Products Division, Clearance Office
111 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Suite 3008
Washington, DC 20529-2210

RE: Agency Information Collection Activities: Form I-129. OMB Control no. 1615-0009

Dear Sir/Madam:

The Xtreme Technical Solutions LLC hereby submits comments to the Agency Information Collection of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) proposing modification of Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (75 Fed. Reg. 6212 (Feb. 8, 2010)).

Part 6. Additional Information about Employment under a Third Party Contract

We suggest that requiring the name of the company where the beneficiary will work, as well as the name, title, and phone number of the contact individual at the work site is duplicative and overly burdensome. USCIS Service Centers already routinely request a letter from the work site that is to include all of these details. Because this information would only be provided by the *Petitioner* on Form I-129, we expect that Service Centers will still require a confirmation of the same information in the form of a letter from the work site. Therefore, it is unnecessary to request the same information in a different format.

Part 7. Deemed Export Acknowledgement

We urges that before any attempt to require information regarding Deemed Export license requirements be included in Form I-129, that the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) and Commerce Control List (CCL) be clarified and available to employers in a more user-friendly format. The FAQs listed at <http://www.bis.doc.gov/deemedexports/deemedexportsfaqs.html> have not been updated since 2004. The FAQs make mention of source code and software, however, it is difficult to determine whether the software used by our members in the IT industry for various projects falls under the CCL. In its current form, the CCL consists of Categories 0 through 9 with each one being listed on the internet in a separate pdf file. There appears to be no searchable index. The Alphabetical Index to the CCL appears on the internet in a 49 page pdf file that is also not searchable. A more user-friendly format of the CCL and EAR should be implemented prior to requiring this information collection to be included in Form I-129.

Data Collection

We requests that a clear definition of "affiliate" be provided in order for employers to make a determination of the total number of full-time equivalent employees for purposes of determining the proper filing fees required. For example, if one individual owns two separate businesses with two separate FEINs; will the two businesses be considered affiliates, such that a petitioner must count the employees of both businesses to determine the proper fee? What about if one person is a majority

shareholder of two separate businesses? What if a group of individuals owns both businesses, but in differing percentages? Etc.

Part D. Attestation Regarding Off-site Assignment of H-1B Beneficiaries

In this section, the petitioner will be required to attest that the Beneficiary will be paid the prevailing rate of pay at any and all off-site locations. It is clear that the intent of this attestation is to ensure that the H-1B beneficiary is paid the proper wage. However, we take issue with the terminology used. The wage requirement for H-1B workers is that the beneficiary be paid the higher of the actual or prevailing wage. Therefore, we suggest that this attestation be revised to state: The beneficiary will be paid the higher of the actual or prevailing wage at any and all off-site locations.

In addition, the requirement of the beneficiary's signature on the H-1B petition is overly burdensome. In New Employment and Consular Processing situations, the beneficiaries are often abroad at the time the I-129 petition is filed. Because original signatures are required on the form, this places an undue burden on H-1B beneficiaries to pay for postage to mail an original form from overseas to his or her employer in the U.S. It should be sufficient that the petitioner attests that the beneficiary has been advised of the off-site placement.

Changes to Instructions:

Amended Petition

The proposed instructions regarding the use of the Form I-129 for filing an amended petition indicate that a change of geographic location of the position is one of the reasons a petitioner would file an amended petition. This is troublesome in that the current guidance indicates that a mere location change where all other aspects of the employment relationship remain the same will *not* be considered a material change in employment and therefore an amended petition would *not* be required. In the IT staffing/consulting industry, work locations can change quickly and often as new projects become available or a work site moves its principal place of business. Requiring an amended petition for such a minor change will cost the employer additional filing fees and attorney fees and will increase the amount of applications to be adjudicated by USCIS. This will serve only to increase processing times and create instability for the H-1B workers who will likely always have a petition pending.

In addition we suggest that the Form W-2 not be required as evidence of maintenance of status. There are situations in which an H-1B worker may change jobs before a W-2 is issued by relying on the portability provisions of AC21. In addition, many H-1B workers take extended trips outside the United States. Because of this, the Form W-2 may lead an adjudicator to conclude that a beneficiary was not paid the proper wage prior to filing a petition, when in fact the proper wage was paid for the time while the beneficiary was in the country. The current reliance on paystubs as evidence of maintenance of status should continue without change.

Sincerely,


Murali Vanam
Xtreme Technical Solutions LLC