Norcross, Frank

From: Jonathan Ippel [jonathan.ippel@cityoforlando.net]

Sent: Monday, August 02, 2010 3:04 PM

To: Norcross, Frank

Subject: Comments on a proposed collection of information that DOE is developing for submission to the OMB

pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

Mr. Norcross,

Per the Federal Registrar Notice, I am writing to encourage DOE and OMB to reconsider monthly reporting requirements related to the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant. As a grant recipient, I have found the additional reporting duplicative, time-consuming, and a cause for greater confusion (and thus greater chance for error) as more energy has to be put into reporting, and thus less energy into executing projects. Since agreements, invoices, and work ebb-and-flow accross months, it is easier to encapsulate what occurs over a 3 month period than tracking the same over distinct 30 day periods.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jon Ippel

--

Jon Ippel, AICP, LEED AP Sustainability Project Manager City of Orlando - Department of Public Works 400 S. Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32802-4990

Phone: (407) 246-3641

jonathan.ippel@cityoforlando.net

http://www.cityoforlando.net/greenworks

Florida has a very broad public records law. As a result, any written communication created or received by the City of Orlando officials and employees will be made available to the public and media, upon request, unless otherwise exempt. Under Florida law, email addresses are public records.

If you do not want your email address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this office. Instead, contact our office by phone or in writing. DOE Response to Public Comment on Proposed Information Collection Request OMB CONTROL NUMBER: 1910-5150

TITLE: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Status Report Federal Register Notice (60 Day): July 14th, 2010 (Vol. 75, No. 134 pp. 40796)

Commenter: City of Orlando, Florida Date of comment: August 2nd, 2010

DOE Response:

DOE acknowledges that the monthly reporting requirements in the EECBG reporting guidance (EECBG 10-07A) represent an undue burden on EECBG recipients with formula allocations >\$2M. Under this guidance, applicable recipients were required to report outlays, obligations, and some performance metrics by project activity on a monthly basis. Anecdotal feedback from EECBG Project Officers and recipients indicated that the report of performance metrics by activity on a monthly basis was particularly burdensome. As such, in the forthcoming revision to the reporting guidance (EECBG 10-07B), recipients will only be required to report outlays by project activity on a monthly basis. DOE estimates that this approximately amounts to a 40% reduction in the annual reporting burden and should concurrently reduce confusion amongst grantees.