

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 6/6/11 2:44 PM <b>Tracking No.</b> 80e3b365 <b>Comments Due:</b> June 03, 2011
--

**Docket:** APHIS-2010-0119  
 Gypsy Moth Identification Worksheet

**Comment On:** APHIS-2010-0119-0001  
 Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals: Gypsy Moth Identification Worksheet

**Document:** APHIS-2010-0119-0002  
 Comment from Robert Brassell, Jr.

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Robert James Brassell, Jr.

**Address:**

New York, NY,

**Submitter's Representative:** Mr. Robert J. Brassell, Jr., N.P.

**Organization:** Estates of Delois Brassell/Delois Albert Brassell and Robert James Brassell, and Process Handler et al. For Hire, Inc.

## General Comment

On top of the previous and still-ongoing correspondence regarding the James A. Farley Post Office Building (the world-famous, historic property located at 421 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York 10001-7800, directly westward of the world-famous Madison Square Garden and Penn/Pennsylvania Station) essentially being demolished and transformed into a commercial, long-term money-losing behemoth, an apparently unanswered question looms here: Why was the aforementioned James A. Farley Building apparently never fully, if at all, examined, evaluated et al. as per various required, applicable et al. United States, New York State et al. environmental impact et al. laws, regulations, rules et al. so as to make DAMN SURE NO ONE, ABSOLUTELY NO ONE (including the various unionized et al. workers, staffers and other officials working within et al said property, contractors et al.) WAS EVEN POTENTIALLY EXPOSED to such contaminates as asbestos from within the infrastructure et al. of said property, INCLUDING THE DECADES-TENURED UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE (USPS), CONSTRUCTION ET AL. WORKERS (unionized et al.), STAFFERS AND OTHER OFFICIALS (yes, including the various and current Postmasters and District Managers posted there), CONTRACTORS, SUBCONTRACTORS ET AL., from the present time (i.e., the present month of June 2011) going backward to the creation and establishment of said property many years BEFORE it was renamed (by official United States federal Congressional resolution, specifically House of Representatives Resolution 368 of the Second Session of the 97th Congress, dated March 2, 1982) the James A. Farley Building in 1982, i.e., such as a REAL, THOROUGH, INDEPENDENTLY-CONFIRMED-AS-BEING-CONDUCTED-AND-DONE environmental impact study, evaluation et al. AS REQUIRED, APPLICABLE TO ET AL. FEDERAL, STATE ET AL. LAW? Just another thought.

Thank you.