



September 21, 2012

Via Regulation.gov
General Services Administration
Regional Secretariat (MVCB)
ATTN: Ms. Hada Flowers
1275 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20417

**RE: Federal Acquisition Regulation (“FAR”); Information Collection;
Advance Payments; OMB Control Number 9000-0073**

Dear Ms. Flowers:

The Technology Association of America, Inc.¹ (“TechAmerica”) is pleased to submit the following comment to FAR Information Collection Extension for Advance Payments, OMB Control Number 9000-0073, which Department of Defense, General Services Administration, and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (collectively, the “Agencies”) published in the *Federal Register* at 77 FR 43,083 on July 23, 2012, requesting public comment regarding an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement from the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”).

The information collection relates to FAR 32.4 and the associated clause FAR 52.232-12, which allow the Government to authorize advance payments under Federal contracts and subcontracts with a special determination by the agency head. Specific financial information about the contractor must be collected for use by the agency head in making such a determination.

This comment will address the Agencies’ estimate of the public burden of performing the information collection requirements. In short, the Agencies’ estimate does not accurately reflect the public burden because it is based on invalid assumptions and a flawed methodology. Consequently, TechAmerica opposes granting the Agencies’ requested extension under the Paperwork Reduction Act (the “Act”).

A. An Extension of the Information Collection Requirement Would Violate the Fundamental Purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

¹ The Technology Association of America (TechAmerica) is the leading voice for the U.S. technology industry, the driving force behind productivity growth and jobs creation in the United States and the foundation of the global innovation economy. Representing approximately 1,000 member companies of all sizes serving clients in the public and commercial sectors of the economy, it is the industry’s largest advocacy organization and is dedicated to helping members’ top and bottom lines. It is also the technology industry’s only grassroots-to-global advocacy network, with offices in state capitals around the United States, Washington, D.C., Europe (Brussels) and Asia (Beijing). Learn more at www.TechAmerica.org.

Granting the Agencies' request for an extension would contradict the fundamental purposes of the Act. Congress intended that the Act would "minimize the paperwork burden . . . resulting from the collection of information . . . and ensure the greatest possible public benefit from and maximize the utility of information created, collected, maintained, used, shared and disseminated by or for the Federal Government."²

Granting the Agencies' request for an extension of its previously approved information collection requirement would contravene these essential purposes by continuing to significantly underestimate the paperwork burden imposed by this requirement. We believe that if an accurate assessment of the reporting burden were conducted, the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") would recognize that the Agencies have not met their high burden under the Act to justify the paperwork requirements. Further, the Act correctly notes that information collection creates a burden not only on the entity submitting the information, but also imposes administrative and cost burdens on the government agencies and other governmental organizations collecting the information. The Agencies' actions in this request are inconsistent with the Act's intended purpose to "minimize the cost to the Federal Government of the creation, collection, maintenance, use, dissemination, and disposition of information."³ With the Government continuing to face increased budget constraints, it is more important now than ever to minimize these costs. For these reasons, President Obama has issued a number of Executive Orders and memoranda seeking to "get rid of absurd and unnecessary paperwork requirements that waste time and money."⁴ The Agencies have expended considerable amounts of their scarce resources in seeking this extension, and if the extension is granted, it would extend and perhaps increase the cost to the Government without sufficient justification. Instead of expanding or retaining information collection requirements, the Agencies should be seeking to create savings by reducing or eliminating such requirements.

² Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. § 3501.

³ *Id.*

⁴ Executive Order 13563; Executive Order 13579 *available at*

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/2011/m11-28.pdf>; "Presidential Memoranda – Regulatory Flexibility, Small Business, and Job Creation," January 18, 2011, *available at*

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/01/18/presidential-memoranda-regulatory-flexibility-small-business-and-job-cre>; "Presidential Memoranda – Administrative Flexibility," January 18, 2011, *available at*

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/02/28/presidential-memorandum-administrative-flexibility>;

"Minimizing Paperwork and Reporting Burdens; Data Call for the 2011 Information Collection Budget" *available at*

http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/icb/2011_ICB_Data_Call.pdf; President Barack

Obama, "Toward a 21st Century Regulatory System," *Wall Street Journal*, January 18, 2011, *available at*

<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703396604576088272112103698.html>; *see also* "Presidential Memoranda – Regulatory Flexibility, Small Business, and Job Creation," January 18, 2011, *available at*

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/01/18/presidential-memoranda-regulatory-flexibility-small-business-and-job-cre>; "Presidential Memoranda – Administrative Flexibility," January 18, 2011, *available at*

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/02/28/presidential-memorandum-administrative-flexibility>;

"Minimizing Paperwork and Reporting Burdens; Data Call for the 2011 Information Collection Budget" *available at*

http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/icb/2011_ICB_Data_Call.pdf.

B. The Agencies Do Not Accurately Estimate the Public Burden an Extension of the Information Collection Requirement Would Create.

The Act defines the public burden an extension of the information collection would create:

Burden is the time, represented as hours spent by the public responding to Federal information collections. When an agency estimates and seeks to reduce the paperwork burden it imposes on the public, the agency must consider the time that an individual or entity spends reading and understanding a request for information, as well as the time spent developing, compiling, recording, reviewing, and providing the information.⁵

We respectfully submit that the Agencies have not faithfully applied this definition nor fully complied with the obligations under the Act and the implementing regulations in 5 C.F.R. Part 1320. See 5 C.F.R. § 1320.8 ("This review [of the information collection requirement] shall include ... [a] **specific, objectively supported** estimate of burden, which shall include, in the case of an existing collection of information, an evaluation of the burden that has been imposed by such collection." (emphasis added). The methodology used by the Agencies in this request is insufficient.

Specifically, the Agencies' estimate that only 500 respondents will be subject to these requirements annually is substantially understated. Far more than 500 companies are required to provide this information in any given year. The estimate of one hour of effort for each response is also similarly unrealistic. The FAR requires that the contractor submit extensive information to establish their financial health to support the Government's assessment of advance payments. Contractors must expend considerable efforts to compile and confirm this level of information, and those efforts will entail far more than a single hour per response.

Moreover, for this and every information collection exercise the Government imposes, each respondent must not only take time to report the compiled data, but must, on a continual basis, monitor whether or not they have a need to collect data at all. If this threshold analysis indicates that such a need exists, the respondent must establish and update mechanisms to capture that data and, at the requisite reporting intervals or instances, compile the data into a format that complies with the reporting requirement. In other words, each information collection requirement effectively imposes three separate requirements on the public: (1) the need to monitor whether reporting is required; (2) the need to compile and collect the required information; and (3) the need to disclose that information to the Government. Each of these requirements demands

⁵ Information Collection Budget of the United States Government for Fiscal Year 2010, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at 1.

time of the respondent, but the Agencies' estimate only accounts for the third aspect, which generally is the least time consuming.

The Agencies should reassess the estimated total burden hours provided as part of this request and revise those estimates to more accurately reflect the total burden noted in the Act and above.

C. The Collective Burden of Compliance with the Information Collection Requirement Greatly Exceeds the Agencies' Estimate and Outweighs Any Potential Utility of the Extension.

In addition to the burden the information collection in this request imposes on individual respondents, there is an immense collective burden imposed on all respondents. OMB estimates that, in Fiscal Year 2010, the public spent 8.8 billion hours responding to information collections.⁶ This estimate is ten percent (10 percent), or one billion hours less than the previous fiscal year. While this reduction seems to represent a victory in furthering the purposes of the Act, it is unlikely these estimates provide an accurate picture of the overall burden. In the same report, OMB identifies four causes of the paperwork burden change: (1) adjustments to agency burden estimates; (2) new statutory requirements; (3) discretionary agency actions; and (4) lapses in OMB approval.⁷ OMB found that, of these four causes, adjustments "accounted for most of the overall decrease in Federal paperwork burden in FY 2010."⁸

Based on a review of the acquisition-related Information Collection waiver requests published in the Federal Register between June of 2008 and June of 2011, using the Government's estimates, there are over 30 million total hours, across all respondents, of information collection burden required of the government acquisition community annually.⁹ As noted above and highlighted below, the methodology behind these estimates is woefully inadequate, and consequently, the total burden and the associated costs are far higher than the Agencies' estimates. Such a burdensome paperwork requirement imposes unnecessary expenses for both the Government and the respondents, without demonstrating a clear value to the taxpayer that would justify the additional cost.

While the Agencies are under immense pressure to reduce the number of information collection hours it imposes upon the public, it should not avoid its statutory responsibility by providing an artificially low estimate of the burden. We respectfully submit that assessing the impact in a horizontal fashion as part of a total burden on respondents was the intent, if not the letter, of the Act. The Agencies should be

⁶ *Supra* note 5 at iv.

⁷ *Supra* note 5 at 2.

⁸ *Id.* at 6.

⁹ See attachment.

responsible for assessing the total information collection burden they create and the need for extending this additional burden in that context. Further, OMB should make a comparable cumulative assessment across the entire Federal Government and objectively consider the need to increase or sustain that burden as part of their review of this request.

D. The Government's Response to the Paperwork Reduction Act Waiver for FAR Case 2007-006 is Instructive on the Total Burden for Respondents.

As noted above, TechAmerica challenges the methodology behind estimating the burden on each respondent to comply with this information collection requirement. We believe that the Agencies' estimated burden hours should be modified in this instance for the same reason that they were modified upward in FAR Case 2007-006. In that case, a final rule contained an information collection requirement that brought it under the authority of the Act. The Government initially estimated a total burden of three hours per response. During the open comment period, only one comment was received; however, that was sufficient to show that a modification of the Government's estimate was necessary. After comment, the Government conceded that the methodology and the resultant estimate did not accurately reflect the total burden, as defined in the Act, imposed upon the company. After modification, the revised burden was sixty hours per response, meaning that the initial annual reporting burden of three hours was off by a factor of twenty.

The Agencies have failed in this information collection waiver request to rationalize how it arrived at the estimated burden as required by the Act and the implementing regulations in 5 C.F.R. Part 1320. We submit that the Agencies' current estimate has failed to consider the time necessary for collection and review of the information prior to submission. Because the Agencies have not effectively measured the burden as defined in the Act under this request nor demonstrated that the burden is justified from the perspective of the taxpayer, Government, the Agencies, or the respondents, we respectfully submit that the Agencies' request should be denied.

TechAmerica appreciates this opportunity to comment, and would be pleased to respond to any questions the Agencies may have on these comments.

Respectfully submitted,



A.R. "Trey" Hodgkins, III
Senior Vice President