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## B. Collections of Information Employing Statistical Methods

### 1. Respondent Universe and Respondent Selection Method

This section summarizes the primary features of the sampling and statistical methods used to collect data and produce estimates for the NLSY97. Additional technical details are provided in the NLSY97 Technical Sampling Report, available online at <http://www.nlsinfo.org/preview.php?filename=nlsy97techsamprpt.pdf>. Chapter 2 of the report describes the design of the NLSY97 sample. Chapter 3 describes the sample-selection process. Chapter 4 describes the sample weighting process. Chapters 5 and 6 describe the accuracy and representativeness of the sample.

Additional information about statistical methods and survey procedures is available in the NLSY97 User’s Guide at:

<http://www.nlsinfo.org/nlsy97/docs/97HTML00/97guide/toc.htm>

The initial sample was selected to represent (after appropriate weighting) the total U.S. population (including military personnel) 12 to 16 years of age on December 31, 1996. The sample selection procedure included an overrepresentation of blacks and Hispanics to facilitate statistically reliable analyses of these racial and ethnic groups. Appropriate weights are developed after each round so that the sample components can be combined to aggregate to the overall U.S. population of the same ages. Weights are needed to adjust for differences in selection probabilities, subgroup differences in participation rates, random fluctuations from known population totals, and survey undercoverage. Computation of the weights begins with the base weight and then adjusts for household screener nonresponse, sub-sampling, individual nonresponse, and post-stratification of the nonresponse-adjusted weights. The number of sample cases in 1997, the first round, was 8,984. Retention rate information for subsequent rounds is shown in the table below. BLS anticipates a somewhat lower retention rate in Round 16 than was attained in Round 15. In Round 15, the retention rate (taking into account the deceased respondents) was close to that of Round 8. We saw an increase in retention rate in Round 10, a modest decline in Round11, and additional increases in Rounds 12 and 13. Response rates fell slightly in Round 14 and then remained stable in Round 15. Only sample members who completed an interview in Round 1 are considered in-scope for subsequent rounds. Even if NORC is unable to complete an interview for an in-scope sample member in one round, they attempt to complete an interview with that sample member in each subsequent round. The interview schedule is designed to pick up crucial information that was not collected in the missed interviews.

The schedule and sample retention rates of past survey rounds are shown in Table 3.

###### Table 3. NLSY97 Fielding Periods and Sample Retention Rates

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Round** | **Months conducted** | **Total respondents** | **Retention rate** | **Number of deceased sample members** | **Retention rate excluding the deceased** |
| 1 | February–October 1997and March–May 1998 | 8,984 | — | — | — |
| 2 | October 1998–April 1999 | 8,386 | 93.3 | 7 | 93.4 |
| 3 | October 1999–April 2000 | 8,209 | 91.4 | 16 | 91.5 |
| 4 | November 2000–May 2001 | 8,081 | 89.9 | 15 | 90.1 |
| 5 | November 2001–May 2002 | 7,883 | 87.7 | 25 | 88.0 |
| 6 | November 2002–May 2003 | 7,898 | 87.9 | 30 | 88.2 |
| 7 | November 2003–July 2004 | 7,755 | 86.3 | 37 | 86.7 |
| 8 | November 2004–July 2005 | 7,503 | 83.5 | 45 | 83.9 |
| 9 | October 2005–July 2006 | 7,338 | 81.7 | 60 | 82.2 |
| 10 | October 2006–May 2007 | 7,559 | 84.1 | 77 | 84.9 |
| 11 | October 2007-June 2008 | 7,418 | 82.6 | 90 | 83.4 |
| 12 | October 2008 – June 2009 | 7,490 | 83.4 | 103 | 84.3 |
| 13 | September 2009 – April 2010 | 7,561 | 84.2 | 112 | 85.2 |
| 14 | October 2010 – May 2011 | 7,420 | 82.6 | 118 | 83.7 |
| 15 | September 2011 – June 2012 | 7,423 | 82.6 | 134 | 83.9 |
| 16 | October 2013 – June 2014 | 7,400\* | 82.4\* | 150\* | 83.8\* |

**Note 1:** The retention rate is defined as the percentage of base year respondents who were interviewed in a given survey year.

**Note 2:** \* indicates projection; actuals not known.

### 2. Design and Procedures for the Information Collection

The NLSY97 includes personal interviews with all living Round 1 respondents, regardless of whether they subsequently become institutionalized, join the military, or move out of the United States. We employ a thorough and comprehensive strategy to contact and interview sample members. At each interview, detailed information is gathered about relatives and friends who could assist NORC field staff in locating respondents if they cannot readily be found in a subsequent survey round. Every effort is made to locate respondents. Interviewers are encouraged to attempt to contact respondents until they reach them. There is no arbitrary limit on the number of call-backs.

Preceding the data collection, the NORC interviewers are carefully trained, with particular emphasis placed on resolving sensitive issues that may have appeared in the pretest and in prior rounds. Most of the NORC interviewers have lengthy experience in the field from having participated in earlier NLSY97 rounds as well as from involvement with the NLSY79 and other NORC surveys. All new recruits are given one day of personal training on general interviewing techniques, followed by three days of personal training on the questionnaire and field procedures. Experienced interviewers receive online self-study training consisting of over 8 hours on specially designed materials requiring study of the questionnaire and procedural specifications, with exercises on new or difficult sections and procedures.

Field interviewers are supervised by NORC Field Managers and their associates. NORC has divided the U.S. into 10 regions, each supervised by a Field Manager who is responsible for staffing and for the quality of field work in that region. A ratio of 1 supervisor to 15 interviewers is the standard arrangement. Field Managers are, in turn, supervised by one of the two Field Project Managers.

The interview content is prepared by professional staff at BLS, CHRR, and NORC. When new materials are incorporated into the questionnaire, assistance is generally sought from appropriate experts in the specific substantive area.

Because sample selection took place in 1997 in preparation for the baseline interview, sample composition will remain unchanged.

In supplement to the main interview, we plan a small expansion of our web-based interactions with respondents. For the last several rounds, respondents have been encouraged to visit the NLSY97 respondent web-site to update their contact information. In Round 16, we propose to expand the on-line contact form (see attachment 6), by adding questions, including several from the main survey.

A random sample of 1,770 main study respondents (20 percent of the living sample members) would be invited to update their contact information on the NLSY97 respondent website concurrent with the Round 16 pretest. The expanded web contact form takes approximately 10 minutes to complete. No pre-loaded data are exhibited to the respondent (thus minimizing security risk from someone other than the R entering the instrument). Items include a combination of substantive items and operational questions.  In addition, selected respondents would receive additional promptings to complete the form relative to respondents not selected. NLSY97 respondents not selected for the web prompting test would receive their advance letter (with invitation to update contact information) closer to the launch of the main data collection period and would not be asked additional questionnaire items if they visited the NLSY97 website to update their contact information.

The expanded contact form is designed to help us understand the issues of access and technical difficulties associated with completing a web-based form, as well as help us understand possible data quality differences by mode in reporting of information among this panel of individuals who are accustomed to the in-person NLSY97 interview. Contact information includes address and other locating information, preferences for how respondents are contacted by the project team, and selected questionnaire variables in order to allow analysis of data quality differences in this mode. Web-collected variables will be included with Round 16 main field period data release (when not confidential), with no attempts to retrieve information that has not been collected by web.

The second piece of the test is to learn how much web-based response we can generate initially and through a sequence of prompts, as well as comparison of those (randomly selected) test individuals with the remainder of the sample in terms of their behavior during the main study field period. For example, do respondents participating in the web prompting test confuse that web visit with the main study interview, or does the additional invitation suppress or enhance participation in the main study? We anticipate that web questionnaire administration is most likely to enter the NLSY97 as a supplement to the main (in-person or phone) interview for selected respondents rather than as a full substitute data collection mode. For this reason, we have designed the web prompting test also as a supplement to the main interview rather than a substitute for it. The results could help us understand the operational and data quality issues that would need to be addressed before and the advantages associated with incorporating web data collection into future NLSY97 data collection efforts.

Respondents for whom we have an e-mail address on file would receive the following sequence of communications. Respondents would receive an e-mail invitation with a link to the expanded web form. After one week, a hard-copy reminder would be sent with a $5 prepay cash incentive. This reminder would be accompanied by an e-mail or text message letting the respondent know to be on the lookout for an NORC letter with a small incentive for web participation. Weekly e-mails or texts would follow requesting participation. After the third notice, a phone call would be placed to the respondent one or two days after an e-mail or text message was sent out, calling attention to the request while receipt of the notice is still quite recent. This sequence of electronic message followed by telephone contact within 2-3 days was successful with (non-experimental) web survey samples on the National Survey of Early Care and Education (NSECE), which fielded more than 22,000 web surveys. (The NSECE is funded by the Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.) Individuals selected for the web prompting test but for whom we do not have e-mail addresses will receive hard-copy mailings: initially with $5 pre-pay incentive (and with QR code to reach the web survey location), a second time at one week’s delay, a third time by express mail. A fourth telephone contact will conclude the prompting sequence.

Because the web prompting test is primarily methodological, we do not anticipate further prompting efforts beyond what is described here. Rather, we wish to amass evidence on the level of response after each prompting activity, so the behavior of this panel sample can be compared to the typical behaviors of cross-sectional web-survey samples. These comparisons will assist us in projecting likely effort required and completion rates from future, larger-scale web survey efforts in this study.

### 3. Maximizing Response Rate

A number of the procedures that are used to maximize response rate already have been discussed in items 1 and 2 above. The other component of missing data is item nonresponse. Nonresponse includes respondents refusing to answer or not knowing the answer to a question. Almost all items in the NLSY97 have low levels of nonresponse. For example, in prior rounds there was virtually no item nonresponse for basic questions like the type of residence respondents lived in (YHHI-4400) or the highest grade of school respondents had ever attended (YSCH-2857).

Cognitively more difficult questions, such as “How many hours did you work per week?” (YEMP-23901) have low levels of nonresponse. In the hours per week example, 6 individuals out of 2,810 (0.2%) did not answer the question in Round 8.

Sensitive questions have the highest nonresponse. Table 4 presents examples of Round 14 questionnaire items that are most sensitive or cognitively difficult. Even very personal questions about sexual activity have low rates of nonresponse. The top row of the table show that the vast majority of respondents (over 95%) were willing and able to answer the question, “Did you have sexual intercourse since the last interview?” The third row shows that only 1.2% of respondents did not respond to the question on marijuana usage since the last interview. The fourth row shows that very few respondents (1%) did not answer whether they had carried a handgun since the last interview. Lastly, almost all respondents (0.3% nonresponse rate) were willing to reveal whether they had earned money from a job in the past year, but many did not know or refused to disclose exactly how much they had earned (11.5% nonresponse rate). Because high nonresponse rates were expected for the income amount question, individuals who did not provide an exact answer were asked to estimate their income from a set of predetermined ranges. This considerably reduces nonresponse on the income question. Only 7.9% of those who were asked to provide a range of income did not respond. These individuals represent 0.4% (24/5944) of all individuals requested to provide income data in that round.

###### Table 4. Examples of Nonresponse Rates for Some Round 14 Sensitive Questions

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Q Name | **Question** | **Number Asked** | **Number Refused** | **Number Don’t Know** | **% Nonresponse** |
| YSAQ2-299B | Have Sex Since Date of Last Interview?1 | 7,393 | 230 | 30 | 3.5% |
| YSAQ-370C | Use Marijuana Since Date of Last Interview? | 7,393 | 73 | 19 | 1.2% |
| YSAQ-380 | Carry a Handgun Since Date of Last Interview? | 7,393 | 60 | 16 | 1% |
| YINC-1400 | Receive Work Income in 2003? | 7,477 | 19 | 8 | 0.36% |
| YINC-1700 | How Much Income from All Jobs in 2003? | 5944 | 45 | 641 | 11.5% |
| YINC-1800 | Estimated Income from All Jobs in 2003?2 | 686 | 30 | 24 | 7.9% |

1 Asked of respondents who have previously reported having sexual intercourse who do not report a spouse or partner in the household.

2 Asked of respondents who were unable or unwilling to answer the previous question (YINC-1700).

To reduce the proportion of “don't know” or “refused” responses to questions on income or assets (such as YINC-1700, shown in table 4), respondents who do not provide exact dollar answers are asked follow-up questions designed to elicit approximate information. For many income categories, the respondents are asked to select the applicable category from a predefined list of ranges. The approach for asset questions is slightly different: The initial question asks the respondent to provide an exact value, but if he or she is unable or unwilling to do so, interviewers are instructed to ask the respondent to define a range for the value using whatever values he or she feels are appropriate. If the respondent does not know or refuses to provide either an exact value or a range, a follow-up question asks him or her to select the appropriate range from a predefined list. This method provides researchers with some information on income, asset, and debt amounts when the respondent is reluctant or unable to furnish an exact figure.

### 4. Testing of Questionnaire Items

BLS is cautious about adding items to the NLSY97 questionnaire. Because the survey is longitudinal, poorly designed questions can result in flawed data and lost opportunities to capture contemporaneous information about important events in respondents’ lives. Poorly designed questions also can cause respondents to react negatively, making their future cooperation less likely. Thus, the NLSY97 design process employs a multi-tiered approach to the testing and review of questionnaire items.

When new items are proposed for the NLSY97 questionnaire, we often adopt questions that have been used previously in probability sample surveys with respondents resembling the NLSY97 sample. We have favored questions from the other surveys in the BLS National Longitudinal Surveys program to facilitate intergenerational comparisons. We also have used items from the Current Population Survey, the Federal Reserve Board’s Survey of Consumer Finances, the National Science Foundation-funded General Social Survey, and other Federally funded surveys.

All new questions are reviewed in their proposed NLSY97 context by survey methodologists who consider the appropriateness of questions (reference period, terms and definitions used, sensitivity, and so forth). Questions that are not well-tested with NLSY97-type respondents undergo cognitive testing with convenience samples of respondents similar to the NLSY97 sample members.

Existing questions are also reviewed each year. Respondents’ age and their life circumstances change, as does the societal environment in which the survey is conducted. Reviews of the data help us to identify questions that may cause respondent confusion, require revised response categories, or generate questionable data. Sources of information for these reviews include the questionnaire response data themselves, comments made by interviewers or respondents during the course of the interview, interviewer remarks after the interview, interviewer inquiries or comments throughout the course of data collection, other-specify coding, recordings of items during the interviews, and comparison of NLSY97 response data to other sources for external validation. We also watch carefully the “leading edge” respondents, who answer some questions before the bulk of the sample – for example, the first respondents to attend graduate school or to get a divorce. These respondents are often atypical, but their interviews can reveal problems in question functionality or comprehensibility.

A comprehensive pretest is planned as part of this information collection request and would occur approximately five months preceding the main NLSY97 field period to test survey procedures and questions. For Round 16, we propose a longer interval between pretest and main because the shift to biennial may make it more likely that pretest experience on the questionnaire and with fielding activities identify needs to revise standard project procedures or the planned questionnaire. This pretest includes a heterogeneous sample of 201 respondents of various racial, ethnic, geographic, and socio-economic backgrounds. On the basis of this pretest, the various questionnaire items, particularly those being asked for the first time, are evaluated with respect to question sensitivity and validity. When serious problems are revealed during the pretest, the problematic questions are deleted from the main NLSY97 instrument.

Although further edits to questionnaire wording are extremely rare, we monitor the first several hundred interviews each round with particular care. Based on this monitoring, field interviewers receive supplemental training on how best to administer questions that seem to be causing difficulty in the field or generating unexpected discrepancies in the data.

Round 16 questions that have not appeared in previous rounds of the NLSY97 include:

**Questions on personality traits**

The Round 16 questionnaire will include a series of questions on personality traits. Each respondent will be presented with 8 statements and be asked to assess the applicability of the personality traits to himself or herself on a scale ranging from “very much like me” to “not like me at all”. Personality traits will include task completion, pursuit of goals, diligence, hard work, dealing with setbacks, focus and distractibility. These measures are combined into a Grit Index which can be used by researchers to measure non-cognitive skills which may be important measures of labor market success.

The Grit index was constructed by Angela Duckworth at the University of Pennsylvania.  The Grit index has been extensively tested and is currently being used by several high performing urban charter school networks which serve mostly students from low-income and minority families. The schools were founded to close the "achievement gap" between these students and their higher-income peers.

Recent research by Duckworth has found that students who succeed in getting associate's degrees are, on average, score higher on the Grit scale than people who get bachelor's degrees.  In fact, those who complete an associate’s degree have similar scores to those students who get a Ph.D.  Duckworth and her colleagues have documented the ability of the Grit index to predict success in areas as disparate as the National Spelling Bee, performance in an Ivy League university, and performance at West Point.

**Questions about the respondent’s parents**

In Round 16, we plan to include some questions about respondent’s parents such as whether either parent served in the military, served a prison sentence or ran his or her own business when the respondent was young. These questions provide information that will inform research on parental employment status, occupational choice and childhood experiences and their impact on labor market outcomes*.*  These questions are similar to other NLSY97 and NLSY79 questions in prior rounds.

A list of all changes to the NLSY97 questionnaire from rounds 15 to 16 is shown in attachment 7.
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The sample design was conducted by NORC, which continues the interviewing fieldwork.