



TRADYN FOLEY
VICE PRESIDENT
OFFICE 701.235.1183 EXT. 221
EMAIL TRADYN@COLIAUDIT.COM

August 19, 2014

Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
400 7th Street SW., Suite 3E-218, Mail Stop 9W-11
Washington, DC 20219

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
20th Street and Constitution Avenue N.W.
Washington, DC 20551
Attention: Robert DeV. Frierson, Secretary,

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
550 17th Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20429
Attention: Gary A. Kuiper, Counsel (Comments, Room NYA-5046)

**RE: Comments on Proposed Revisions to Risk-Weighted Assets Portion of
Schedule RC-R for Call Reports – Bank-Owned Life Insurance Assets**

Ladies and Gentlemen:

On June 23, 2014, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (collectively, the “agencies”) approved the publication for public comment of proposed revisions to the risk-weighted assets portion of Schedule RC–R, Regulatory Capital in the Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income (“Revised Schedule RC–R”).

Once finalized, the Revised Schedule RC–R will implement the reporting of risk-weighted assets (RWA) consistent with the Standardized Approach which will become effective and replace the existing risk-weighting (commonly referred to as “Basel I”) on January 1, 2015.

Established in 1992, MB Schoen & Associates, Inc. provides consulting and administrative services specifically related to Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) programs. Our clients include community banks, large regional banks and banks that are among the largest in the world. We presently provide administrative services for more than \$18 billion of BOLI assets. We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments and suggestions on the Revised Schedule RC–R, specifically as it relates to the reporting of risk-weighted assets for BOLI assets.

Page 2

² Line 8 spans two pages in the Revised Schedule RC-R; the second page includes risk-weight categories ranging from 250% to 1250%.

Comments on Proposed Revisions to Risk-Weighted Assets Portion of Schedule RC-R for Call Reports – Bank-Owned Life Insurance Assets

August 19, 2014

Page 3

specific issues with respect to utilizing this line item for separate account BOLI assets, which, as clarified in the final regulatory rules, must be treated as investment fund exposures.

Separate Account Securitization Exposures

Certain separate account BOLI plans include investments in high-quality securitization exposures. Under the Standardized Approach, securitization exposures may be risk-weighted in three ways: the Gross Up Approach, the Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach (“SSFA”) or by assigning a risk-weight of 1250%. The computational results of the Gross Up Approach and SSFA will vary based on the input parameters at an applicable point in time. As such, it is understood that they likely will not fall into the prescribed risk-weight categories.

We note that the Revised Schedule RC–R includes a line item (Line 9.d) which the agencies may intend to be used to capture securitization exposures within separate account BOLI plans (“All other on-balance sheet securitization exposures”).

Dollar Amounts in Thousands	(Column A) Totals			(Column B) Adjustments to totals reported in Column A			(Column Q) Total risk-weighted exposure, by calculation methodology			(Column R)			(Column S)		
							1250%			SSFA			Gross-Up		
	Bil	Mil	Thou	Bil	Mil	Thou	Bil	Mil	Thou	Bil	Mil	Thou	Bil	Mil	Thou
9. On-balance sheet securitization exposures															
a. Held-to-maturity securities															
b. Available-for-sale securities															
c. Trading assets that receive standardized charges															
d. All other on-balance sheet securitization exposures															

Other Exposures in Separate Account BOLI Plans

Separate account BOLI plans may have underlying exposures to investments that have risk-weight categories that are currently marked as closed in line 8 of the Revised Schedule RC–R. These may include:

- Exchange traded interest rate futures contracts that might qualify for either the 2% or 4% risk-weight;
- Publicly traded equity securities (used in connection with hedging certain deferred compensation plan liabilities) that receive a 300% risk-weight; and
- Investments that might receive a 150% risk-weight (including, as an example, a foreign sovereign following an event of default).

Comments on Proposed Revisions to Risk-Weighted Assets Portion of Schedule RC-R for Call Reports – Bank-Owned Life Insurance Assets

August 19, 2014

Page 4

Given the potential to encounter additional risk-weight categories, we think it would be helpful if the agencies clarified their intentions with respect to reporting such exposures.

Suggested Resolution – Add Investment Fund Line Items

Under the Advanced Approaches reporting (FFIEC 101), there are distinct line items for “Equity Exposures to Investment Funds” (lines 12-14 of Schedule R).

	Simple Risk Weight Approach			Full Internal Models Approach			Publicly Traded Internal Models Approach		
	(Column A) Exposure			(Column C) Exposure			(Column E) Exposure		
	Risk Weight or Multiplier			Risk Weight or Multiplier			Risk Weight or Multiplier		
	(Column B) Risk-Weighted Assets			(Column D) Risk-Weighted Assets			(Column F) Risk-Weighted Assets		
Dollar Amounts in Thousands	Bill	Mil	Thou	Bill	Mil	Thou	Bill	Mil	Thou
Equity Exposures to Investment Funds	AARA J063			AARB J063	AARC J063		AARD J063	AARE J063	AARF J063
12. Full look-through approach									
	AARA J064			AARB J064	AARC J064		AARD J064	AARE J064	AARF J064
13. Simple modified look-through approach									
	AARA J065			AARB J065	AARC J065		AARD J065	AARE J065	AARF J065
14. Alternative modified look-through approach									

We think it would be helpful to add similar line items in the Standardized Approach forms. Benefits include:

1. Better regulatory visibility into investment fund RWA treatment (as opposed to the funds being dispersed across a number of line items and incorporated along with fixed assets, intangibles, etc.);
2. Better alignment between Standardized Approach and Advanced Approach methodologies for banks that are subject to both approaches; and
3. Potentially more efficient administration and recordkeeping since the values would more directly align with general ledger asset accounts.

Conclusion

As discussed in this letter, we recommend adding specific line items for Equity Exposures to Investment Funds in the revised risk-weighted asset schedule that was proposed for comment. If the agencies choose not to add such line items, we recommend opening up additional risk-weight categories in the “All other assets” line (line 8.) and/or providing additional guidance regarding how underlying exposures within separate account BOLI programs should be reported in the revised schedule.

Again, we appreciate the opportunity to submit comments on this matter and would be happy to discuss our observations and recommendations further if it would be of assistance to the agencies.

Sincerely,



Tradyn Foley
Vice President