

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

**As of:** 9/12/14 8:15 AM  
**Received:** July 17, 2014  
**Status:** Pending\_Post  
**Tracking No.** 1jy-8d9v-v0mx  
**Comments Due:** September 15, 2014  
**Submission Type:** Web

**Docket:** FAR-2014-0055  
FAR - Information Collections

**Comment On:** FAR-2014-0055-0026  
Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals: Federal Acquisition Regulation; Place of Performance; OMB Control No: 9000-0047

**Document:** FAR-2014-0055-DRAFT-0004  
Comment on FR Doc # 2014-16600

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Bryan Rudgers  
**Address:**  
12 Rust Way  
Keene, NH, 03431  
**Email:** brudgers@jamaicabearings.com  
**Phone:** 603-352-6060  
**Fax:** 603-352-6060

---

## General Comment

Thank you for the opportunity to post a comment regarding this subject matter of Place of performance data collection.

My desire would be for this information to not be collected as it is considered competitive data, however I do have a couple points for consideration,

1) The "place of performance" information is considered competitive information. Meaning, a company may supply the product of a manufacturer that a competitor may be unaware of and then suddenly be aware of thus the reason for said info to be considered competitive data. As such this information is currently protected in some markets.

2) If the DoD is going to collect this data and require, by FAR, its disclosure than it should be across the board in all contracting vehicles. Meaning either collect and disclose or don't collect at all. In block # 9 of DD form 1155, this "place of performance" is currently a mandated field by DFAR, DLAD and PGI but is largely not required however, if I read this FAR notice correctly, it would potential become mandated and as such my position would be; require it across the board, in all contracting vehicles, in block # 9 of DD form 1155.