Associates for Training and Development

Advancing Workforce Development for Mature Workers since 1983

Jennifer Pirtle
Older Worker Unit
Office of Workforce Investment
Room C4526
ETA/U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20210

Emailed to: SCSEP.National@dol.gov

Re: Comment Request for Information Collection for the Senior Community Service

Employment Program Performance Measurement System

OMB Number: 1205-0040

Dear Ms. Pirtle:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the suggested revisions for the Senior Community Service Employment Program forms, grant reporting templates, and customer satisfaction surveys. Please see our comments below.

Quarterly Narrative Progress Report Template for SCSEP Grants:

We are currently using this template for the SCSEP Limited Competition Grant and we have used it in the past for the Aging Worker Initiative (AWI). We appreciate the effort and detail that DOL puts into developing a Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA). In turn, applicants/grantees work diligently to develop their proposal based on the criteria outlined in the SGA. Consequently, we found that the report format followed the AWI SGA program components and requirements and was an excellent tool. However, the report does not track very closely with the requirements of the more recent Limited Competition Grant nor with the annual SCSEP State and National grants.

Although the report template provides a starting point, we feel that it would be much more efficient if it followed and was consistent with the SGA which likewise would be consistent with the grantee's proposal.

In the case of our Limited Competition Grant, the SGA was very precise in directing us to focus on our statement of need, developing a project strategy, outlining the work plan and identifying anticipated outcomes. When it came to providing a narrative report on our project, we found that we had to place the discussion of these important elements in either the first section, "Summary of Grant Activities" as a *summary* or in the last section titled "Additional Information" as though it was an after-thought. Whereas "Capacity Building", although an important goal for the AWI, was not a goal stated in the Limited Competition SGA, yet it is one of six sections included in the generic report template.

To improve efficiencies and ensure grantees provide DOL with a report that aligns with the scope of the SGA and grant proposal, we suggest that DOL provide grantees with a Quarterly Narrative Progress Report Template that is focused on the specific goals and outcomes of the particular grant. Providing a customized template will ensure grantees provide DOL with relevant, important information, and will provide less opportunity for including unwanted information that is beyond the scope of the SGA/grant proposal.

Customer Satisfaction Surveys

Please see the **attached document** that includes our comments on particular questions in the Participant, Host Agency and Employer surveys.

In addition, we support the comments developed and submitted by Senior Serve America, Inc. about Question #16 of the SCSEP Participant Customer Satisfaction Survey. It asks a participant to rate the following statement by choosing a number between 1 and 10 (Strongly disagree to Strongly agree):

The pay I receive from the Older Worker Program has made a substantial difference in the quality of my life.

Senior Service America does <u>not</u> concur with the Department's proposal to eliminate Question #16 entirely. We make this recommendation after (1) consulting with our SCSEP subgrantee network and (2) reviewing the five annual analyses of the customer satisfaction reports, PY2009 to PY2013, available on the Charter Oak Group (COG) website http://www.charteroakgroup.com/resources/scsep-nationwidesurveys.shtml.

COG found that every year, participants indicated moderate to strong agreement with the statement in Question #16. While it found some variation year to year, COG states that paying wages makes "a unique contribution to overall satisfaction" (PY2011 and PY2012 reports).

Several of the COG reports also indicate that the relationship of paying wages to customer satisfaction among SCSEP participants is not a simple one. The following excerpt from their PY2012 Report (p. 18) identifies several of the issues involved (including the impact of funding cuts) and reaffirms SCSEP's mission:

"...it does not make as strong a unique contribution to overall satisfaction, as the five shaded drivers. It is thus unshaded; however, it almost met the criteria for shading and it is still likely that it has some independent effect on overall satisfaction. Moreover, although the sub-grantees have limited control over this factor, the low score (7.7) indicates that there is substantial room for improvement, if not by raising pay rates, by maintaining the number of hours or providing adequate compensation for missed time. As was the case in PY 2011, many grantees had to reduce community service hours in PY 2012, and that may be continuing to affect this question. This question reminds us that changing people's lives for the better is at the heart of this program."

For these reasons, we recommend that the Department consider replacing the current Question #16 with the following two questions (in which participants would be asked to respond using the same 1-10 scale):

New Question #16a and Question #16b:

The pay I receive from the Older Worker Program is important for meeting my basic expenses.

I would not be able to participate in the Older Worker Program without receiving the minimum wage.

By revising rather than eliminating Question #16, the Department would enhance its understanding of a program feature at the core of SCSEP since its launch as a demonstration project 50 years ago.

Thank you for this opportunity. We also appreciate the opportunity to have served as one of the customer satisfaction focus group sites. Although we did not participate in the focus groups, we have subsequently received positive feedback from the participants, host agency personnel and employers who were involved. Please feel free to call on us if there is any additional information we can provide.

Sincerely,

Pat Elmer

Founder, President/CEO

Associates for Training and Development, dba

Vermont Associates for Training & Development, Inc.

3 Champlain Commons, Suite 2

PO Box 107

St. Albans, VT 05478

802/524-3200x112

802/524-9933 Fax

www.a4td.org

Visit us on Facebook & Twitter

OLDER WORKER CUSTOMERS

OMB Approval Number: 1205-0040

Expiration Date: 3/31/2015

- 4. The primary reason I enrolled in SCSEP was to: (Choose one)
 - 1) Obtain a full-time job when I completed the program
 - 2) Obtain a part-time job when I completed the program
 - 3) Just participate in the program's training and host agency activities
 - 4) Provide service to my community
 - 5) Be able to meet new people
 - 6) I had no specific hopes or thoughts coming into the program.

This is valuable information that we do collect at the time of our initial screening of new applicants. It's not clear to us how this information helps to evaluate grantee performance.

in ra	a par	ticipa moti	ant e	nrollm	ent fo	rm. This	way, w	e could	use the	data	to break	stead, this bel down satisfac ered employn	tion
8.	Given your transportation situation, was your community service assignment convenient to where you live? (Choose one answer)												
	□ Y	'es		No	□ Do	on't know							
of lin pa tra	ten n nited articip aining	ecess acces ants sites	sitate ss to throu s.	es long public ughout	distan transp our a	ce trave cortation rea of se	l, with I n. Also, ervice, e	imited Equitate even in o	host age le Distr counties	ency of the second seco	options, a on require of few opti	rving rural area nd even more s us to serve ons for host a	genc
	suc	cessfu	ıl in n	ny assig	nment	. (Choose	one nui	mber)					
	trongly isagree			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Strongly agree 10	Didn't need any 90	
ex pa te th ur "H	nis quality period period perticipam the e resulters personal period personal period perioders	estion ence is pants nat all ponsi tand a	s tha to le lows bility and s y staf	ems to the thick	rough pants rantee t the S	y supervi hands-on to learn to select CSEP ob able to a	agency isors are n activit hard are trespo jectives ssist me	e not tr ties. It nd soft nsible, s. A bet e to be	ainers— is the ex skills the high quater way successi	they peries at equality to photon to the pho	are partnence of seuip them host agenerase this my assignment	question migh	f a is t be,
11		a a say iber)	y mi Cr	ie types	OI SKII	iis i would	ı ganı uu	n mB mA	Commu	nty se	I AICE BOOIR	mienc (Ciloose	JIIC

SCSE	P Er	nploy	er						OM	IB Approval	Number:	1205-004
Custo	mer	Satisf	acti	on Sur	vey					Expiration	on Date:	3/31/2015
Strongly disagree		2			5	6	7	8	9	Strongly agree 10	Don't know 90	
1 This guy	2 oction	3 November	d ba	4 confusir	_	_	•	_	-	initial applic		ening.
										l conversati		
										we select a		
										host agenc		
										ff collaborat		
										nity service		
ueteiiii	me u	ie type	OIL	i airiii ig i	Would	CCCIVE		iny co.		inty believe		
con										m pressured e I was ready		
ΠY	es	□N	D	☐ Don't l	know							
										"before" co		
										his way mig		
										gram encoui	raged m	e to
leave m	y co	mmuni	ty se	rvice ass								
Befo	ore I	was re	ady			When	I was r	eady	N	lever		
-		el that y	_	_	ion in SCS	SEP pre	pared y	ou for ur	subs	idized emplo	yment in	these
	1)	i felt p	repar	ed for emp	olovment i	in the n	onprofit:	sector.				
	2)			ed for emp								
	3)			ed for emp								
	4)									nment sectors	> .	
	5) 6)			ed for emp								
	7)			ed for emp	•	-		private 5	500013	•		
	8)	l did n	ot fee	l prepared	for emplo	oyment	in any se					
This qu	estio	n is co	nfusi	ng becau	ise of th	e man	y respo	nse opt	ions	. It could be	easily s	implified
by brea	king	it dow	n int	o three o	question	s:						
I felt pr	epar	ed for a	empl	oyment i	in the no	onprof	it secto	r				
	Y	es 🔙 l	lo									
I feit pr		ed for (oyment	in the go	overnn	nent se	ctor				
I feit pr	epar	ed for (empl	oyment	in the pr	ivate :	sector					
		es 🗌 I										
The par	ticip	ant co	ıld a	nswer al	l three q	uestic	ns sinc	e many	com	munity serv	ice assi	gnments
_				r placem								
Caution	1 – Sc	me pa	rticip	ants ma	y not ur	nderst	and the	three s	ecto	rs mentione	ed so re-	wording
this wo	uld b	e advi	sable).								

HOST AGENCY CUSTOMERS

OMB Approval Number: 1205-0040

Expiration Date: 3/31/2015

- 7. When program staff proposed a participant for our agency, I understood that: (Choose one)
 - 1) I could accept the individual offered or not.
 - 2) I had a choice among several potential participants.
 - 3) I really had no choice.

The first and second response options in this question are not mutually exclusive, but readers are asked to choose only one.

The question could be a little misleading—grantees are not required to offer a choice of participants to host agencies. Especially in rural areas, we aren't often in a position to offer several applicants from which host agencies can select. In situations where only one applicant is brought to a host agency, the supervisor might remember that as having had no choice, thus resulting in a negative survey response, despite receiving excellent service.

13. If the answer to question $rac{13}{12}$ is "Yes," to what extent did the Older Worker Program provide the
participants the supportive services they needed? (Choose one number)

1	2	3	4	9
None	Few	Some	Nearly all	Don't know

Host agency supervisors might not know the answer to this question. Although participants often develop friendly relationships with their host agency teams, supervisors are not required to know the supportive service needs of their trainees, and probably won't. When participants do require supportive services, we focus on referrals to other agencies. Although we do have resources available for supportive services, we find it more effective to refer participants to partner agencies who specialize in their unique areas of need. So, to ask whether the program *provided* supportive services does not ascertain whether the program *addressed* those needs.

14. Did the older worker program provide any of the following training when it was needed? (Choose "Yes" or "No" for items 1-5)

1)	Basic computer training	☐ Yes	☐ No
2)	Advanced computer training	Yes	☐ No
3)	Specific job skill training	☐ Yes	☐ No
4)	Customer service training	☐ Yes	☐ No
5)	Other training (specify)	☐ Yes	∏No

If "No" to all of the above, choose one of the two remaining responses.

- 6) No specific training needed
- 7) Don't know

Participants need training in a wide range of areas, some of which grantees are equipped to provide in house, others require referrals to other training providers. Since many participants access training through partner organizations, backed by SCSEP assistance, a better way to

SCSEP Employer

OMB Approval Number: 1205-0040 **Customer Satisfaction Survey** Expiration Date: 3/31/2015

phrase this question might be, "Did the older worker program provide access to any of the following training when it was needed?"

16.				er Prograi ere ready						nts from yo	our ager	ncy before
		1		2			3		4			9
		Never			ion migh	t confu		er the		ant was re	ady to	on't know leave with
ma	ndato	ory rota	tion pol	icies held	by some	grante	es. A bet	ter wa	y to ask	e further of the quest or unsubs	ion mig	
		ment:	ter Frog	num neip	ea the p	ит стетра	iit ieuve i	ine pro	gramj			
			narticii	ant was	ready	Г	When	the n	articipo	ınt was re	adv	Never
	Deje	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	our crosp		realy						,	
					EMP	LOYER	R CUST	OMER	RS			
2.										rvices of to Choose		
Fa	all									Exceed	Did	n't
sh	ort										rece	ive
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	90	=
ex	pecta	tions?"	' ☐ Ye	s [No					r Program		
3.										es. How w		you think the
N	ot at a	all								Very	Did	ln't
	ose									close	rece	ive
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	90	o
ad	minis	ter the	progra		s writter	n- whet	her that	progr	am ad	dresses th		s can only Is of the
7.				rs hired v Choose "					· Worke	r Program	need a	ny
	1) 1	Basic co	mputer	training						Yes		□No
				puter train	ning					Yes		☐ No
	-			ll trainin	_					Yes		☐ No
				ce trainin						Yes		☐ No
	5) (Other to	aining (specify)						Yes		No

SCSEP Employer Customer Satisfaction Survey

If "No" to all of the above, choose one of the two remaining responses.

- 6) No specific training needed
- 7) Don't know

Computer skills training is something that we highly encourage, and usually require of our SCSEP participants. However, since it is not a performance measure and is not required by legislation (and because there is very limited funding to provide it), its purpose in this survey is unclear. Also, this question does not make much sense in the way it reads. If the reader answers "no" to all questions, he is then invited to answer "don't know," in which case his previous answers would be incorrect. Instead, "don't know" should be an option instead of, not in addition to, the other answers.

OMB Approval Number: 1205-0040

Expiration Date: 3/31/2015

9. If the answer to question 8 is "Yes," would you say that the Older Worker Program provided the supportive services that the older workers needed? (Choose one number).

1	2	3	4	9	
None	Few	Some	Nearly all	Don't know	

Our success is dependent on maintaining excellent relationships with employers. We would not discuss with an employer whether a participant needs supportive services- this would violate confidentiality, and we would not freely share this information. So, the employer probably will not know this information. If they do know, it's unclear what this question is meant to measure. If intended to measure job readiness, a better way to phrase this may be, "Was the person you hired with the help of the older worker program ready for employment?"