From: John Carl Roat

To: The Office Management and Budget

Comments On: Standard on Commercial Diving Operations; Extension of the Office of Management

and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements.

ID: OSHA-2011-0008-0016

I want to thank the Office of Management and Budget for asking for comment on this important topic. It is not only an issue of the "Collection (Paperwork) Requirements" but a serious Safety issue as well. Having two government agency with not only differing paperwork but differing Regulations and investigation practices causes confusion, expense and the loss of human life.

1) OSHA paperwork, Incident investigation and Reporting of Incidents need to be made the same as the the US Coast Guard requirements.

Reason: Dive Companies on all three coast, the great lakes, the harbors and major waterways come under both OSHA and Coast Guard, It depends the the job their bidding. This adds extra expense and confusion to the process.

2) Under Current OSHA CFRs Responsibility & Duties are not clearly stated in any one area. They need to change. The way they read now only the Dive Supervisor/Person in charge is even responsible to know the Regulations. The Contractor/Dive Company and the company contracting the work should be required to know and comply with the OSHA and coast guard CFRs.

Reason: Many of those contracting Diving services have no idea what or if CFRs apply to the commercial diving they are contracting. **Example:** (See Example of Unsafe Diving Practice common under OSHA) There is no A-frame hoist to bring a passed out diver out of the hatch. No stand by dive hose or diver ready to go in an emergency. The Dive Supervisor is running the dive from ground below the tank and could be of no assistance in an emergency. In short: one man on top of a tower tending another inside is not safe or coast effective! It is a death waiting to happen.

3) There are circumstances which prompt consideration of changes in operational procedures and/or equipment which are not in compliance with the appropriate OSHA or Coast Guard CFRs. When such situations exist, it is suggested that the Diving Contractor and the company contracting the work, submits a proposed variance to the appropriate Coast Guard or OSHA office. (See attached suggested form) A Management Of Change covering the proposed variance in operations or equipment is to be attached.

Reason: With a responsive Variance system when people or equipment is judged excessive it could be legally excluded. For this to work properly both customer and contractor need to be required to comply with the CFRs, regulation.

Sincerely John Carl Roat. class29r@cox.net

Dive Safe! Ir is profitable and it hurts less.

USCG/OSHA Request for CFR Variance

USCG/OSHA Jurisdiction		e of Request
CFR(s) requiring	Dat	e Required By
Variance		ject Start Date
Operator	Vessel/Facility	
Permit No.	Location	
	Longitude	
	Latitude	
	Verselleformation	
Vessel Name	Vessel Information IMO Number	
Flag State	Class Society	
	Diving Information	
Dive Type	Depth (fsw)	
Visibility	Conditions	
	Proposed Change	
F	Purpose (Justification for Change)	
Safety	Processes Proposed Mitigating Hazards	
Juicty	1 Toccsses 1 Toposed Willigating Hazards	
	Personnel Responsible and Roles	
Name(s)		Role(s)
Equi	pment Involved in Variance Request	
Equi	pilletit ilivoived ili variance kequest	
	L	
Requsted By	Date	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Client Representative	Date	
Diving Contractor	Date	

OSHA's Form 300A (Rev. 01/2004) Summary of Work-Related Injuries and Illnesses

Year____

U.S. Department of Labor
Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Form approved OMB no. 1218-0176

All establishments covered by Part 1904 must complete this Summary page, even if no injuries or illnesses occurred during the year. Remember to review the Log to verify that the entries are complete

Using the Log, count the individual entries you made for each category. Then write the totals below, making sure you've added the entries from every page of the log. If you had no cases write "0."

Employees former employees, and their representatives have the right to review the OSHA Form 300 in its entirety. They also have limited access to the OSHA Form 301 or its equivalent. See 29 CFR 1904.35, in OSHA's Recordkeeping rule, for further details on the access provisions for these forms.

Number of Cases			
Total number of deaths	Total number of cases with days away from work	Total number of cases with job transfer or restriction	Total number of other recordable cases
(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)
Number of Days			
Total number of days away from work		Total number of days of job transfer or restriction	
0	_	0	_
(K)		(L)	
Injury and Illness T	ypes		
Total number of			
(1) Injury ´	0	(4) Poisoning	0
(2) Skin Disorder	0	(5) Hearing Loss	0
(3) Respiratory Condition	0	(6) All Other Illnesses	0

Post this Summary page from February 1 to April 30 of the year following the year covered by the form

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 58 minutes per response, including time to review the instruction, search and gather the data needed, and complete and review the collection of information. Persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. If you have any comments about these estimates or any aspects of this data collection, contact: US Department of Labor, OSHA Office of Statistics, Room N-3644, 200 Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20210. Do not send the completed forms to this office.

Esta	ablishment information			
	Your establishment name			
	Street			
	City State		Zip	
	Industry description (e.g., Manufacture of motor truck tra	ailers)		
	Standard Industrial Classification (SIC), if known (e.g., S	SIC 3715)		
R	North American Industrial Classification (NAICS), if known (e.g., 336212)			
mp	oloyment information			
	Annual average number of employees			
	Total hours worked by all employees last year			
igr	n here			
	Knowingly falsifying this document may result in a f	ine.		
	I certify that I have examined this document and that to complete.	the best of my knowledge the entries are true, a	ccurate, and	
	Company executive	-	Title	
	Phone	-	Date	

You will note Occupation Listed as Not Reported.

Accident: 201262664 - Employee Drowns While Cleaning Pond

Accident: 201262664 -- Report ID: 0524200 -- Event Date: 11/03/2009

Inspection Open Date SIC Establishment Name 313893406 11/03/2009 7389 Us Aqua Vac, Inc.

At approximately 1:00 p.m. on November 3, 2009, Employee #1, a diver, was performing dredging operation in a retention pond. Employee #1 was using a surface supplied air. Attempts were made to rescue Employee #1 by another diver. Employee #1 was retrieved from the retention pond by the fire department rescue diver and air lifted to the hospital. Employee #1 drowned.

Keywords: drown, diver

Inspection Age Sex Degree Nature Occupation

<u>1</u> 313893406 Occupation not reported

Attachment 4 – John Carl Roat

Protect Commercial Divers' Lives Petition

View Attachment:

Abstract:

http://www.gopetition.com/404.php?notfound=1&url=http://www.gopetition.com/petitions/protect-commercial-divers-lives.html

Reason Restricted: This attachment is restricted to show metadata only because it contains copyrighted data.

Publication Reference:

http://www.gopetition.com/404.php?notfound=1&url=http://www.gopetition.com/petitions/protect-commercial-divers-lives.html