EMAIL	SSN REDUC	CTION RE	VIEW	DATE COMPLETED:	30 Oct 2015	
SUBMIT	Submission for (Check one):	ORM ITS	SYSTEM		30 OCt 2013	
Form Number: 5580/1 Requiring Document: DoDI 5525.12						
Form Revision Da	ate:					
	SEC	TION 1				
TO BE COMPLETE	ED BY FORM ORIGINATOR/SPONSOR. Forms that		trieve by SSN/PII mu	ust be covered by a Syst	em of Record	
	e call for within a requiring document, and have Pri					
	ges that contain the SSN Reduction Review Form, a manager. If SECNAV/OPNAV/NAVSO send to DON				on Plan to the	
	end to the USMC Forms Manager, SMB.HQMC.AR			,,,,,,		
1. Is the form cover	ed by a System of Record Notice (SORN)?				☐ NO	
	what is the SORN number? NM05580-2 (pendi	ing publication	on)			
b. If no, contact the Privacy Act Officer for instructions.						
2. Does the form contain a Privacy Act Statement (PAS)?					∐ NO □ NO	
a. If yes, has the PAS been approved by a Privacy Act Officer?b. If no, contact the Privacy Act Officer for instructions.					∐ NO	
3. Is the SSN Field needed?					□ NO	
a. If no, complete DD67 to request revision of the form.					_	
4. Is this form electronic?					☐ NO	
a. If yes, is the SSN field masked or truncated?					⊠ NO	
b. If no, could it be?				☐ YES	⊠ NO	
Is this form part of an IT system?a. If yes, what is the IT System name and DITPR DON ID?					⊠ NO	
b. If yes, does the IT System mask or truncate the display of the SSN on the form?					 ⊠ NO	
c. If no, Could it be?				☐ YES ☐ YES	⊠ NO	
6. Is Justification Memorandum for the Record attached?				☐ YES	⊠ NO	
7. Could an alternative to the SSN be used?					⋈ NO	
	CONTACT INFORMATION - IT Syst	tem Owner or I	orm Originator/Spons	sor		
Name, Code, Mailing Address			Office Telephone	Number:		
LtCol Durham, HQMC(PS), Pentagon, 4A324, Washington DC, 20350-3000 (703) 692-4249)		
			E-mail Address			
jan.durham@usmc.mil				mc.mil		
	SECTION 2 TO BE COMPLET	TED BY PRIVA	CY ACT OFFICER			
To verify informa SSN Reduction I	tion given in Section 1 is accurate, is in complianc Plan.	e with Privacy	Act Regulations, ar	nd meets requirements o	of the	
Is Privacy Act Statement (PAS) correct?					□ NO	
2. If there is not a PAS, is one needed?				☐ YES	□ NO	
3. If a PAS needed	, what is the correct PAS? (Originator/owner of form/IT s	ystem will work w	ith the Privacy Act Office	er to draft a PAS if needed) (F	ield will expand	
to fit typed data))			•		•	
Is the System of Records Notice (SORN) number cited in Section 1 correct?					□ NO	
5. Does a SORN need to be initiated?				⊠ YES	□ NO	
	d for SORN will be worked between the originator/owner of	orm/IT system ar	nd Command Privacy Act			
6. Is use of SSN Justification Form complete and approved?					☐ NO	
	Deborah Contaoi			12 A	Apr 2016	
☐ DISAPPROVED	Privacy Act Officer Printed Name	Privacy	Act Officer Signature)	Date	
	SECTION 3 - COMM	AND FORMS N	MANAGER			
APPROVED	APPROVED Ernest W. Williams			23 H	23 Feb 2017	
☐ DISAPPROVE	DISAPPROVED Forms Manager Printed Name Forms Manager Approval Signature			Date:		
NOTES:	<u> </u>					
(1) If Disapproved, s (2) SSN Reduction P	ponsor/originator will need to provide a plan to include mi ackages for forms will be kept by the cognizant form man ackages for IT Systems will be kept by the cognizant CIO	ager in the form		n of the SSN usage.		

SECNAV 5213/1 (Jul 2010) Page 1 of 2

22 Jan 2015	
Date	

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Subj: JUSTIFICATON FOR THE USE OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER (SSN)

TBD "DoN LEOSA Applicant Information Form"

(Form number and name or IT system name and DITPR DON ID number)

The National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) of FY2013 amended Title 18 U.S.C. making Department of Defense (DoD) Law Enforcement Officers (LEO) eligible to participate in the Law Enforcement Officers Safety Act (LEOSA). The LEOSA grants qualified active, retired and separated law enforcement officers the authority to carry a concealed privately owned firearm while in an off duty status. Guidance for the implementation of the LEOSA within the DoD is articulated in DoD Instruction (DoDI) 5525.12. A key implementation measure within DoDI 5525.12 is the requirement for DoD components to issue photographic identification to qualified, as defined by Title 18 U.S.C. §926B (current) and §926C (retired/separated), law enforcement officers. Possession of agency issued photographic identification is required of all LEOs choosing to exercise their LEOSA concealed carry privileges.

With a command endorsement, active duty Military Police, Master at Arms and civilian police officers currently performing law enforcement within the Department of the Navy (DoN) are considered qualified as a consequence of their assigned duties and will be issued photographic identification accordingly. To determine qualifications of retired/separated personnel the DoN established a standardized application process that permits individuals to voluntarily submit personally identifiable information (PII) to substantiate eligibility to participate. This information is used to determine an individual's compliance with Title 18 U.S.C., §926C requirements; specifically, that they are not federally prohibited from possessing a firearm, they have a minimum of ten years law enforcement experience and they have no misdemeanor domestic violence or felony convictions. Information collected on the DoN LEOSA Application Form, which includes an individual's social security number, is an instrumental component of this process. The social security number provides LEOSA administrators with a unique, highly recognized, personal identifier that is common among all active, retired, and separated personnel. The social security number provides the most accurate and efficient means of validating criminal history, identity, and law enforcement experience. To use anything other than the social security number increases opportunities for inappropriate issuance of law enforcement identification which violates the law, tarnishes the reputation of the Naval Service, and creates potential risks to public safety.

Signature (*Flag, SES, or by direction*)

Director, Security Division (PS)

Title and Code

HQMC, PP&O

Command

Note: Justification must include operational necessity, cost, etc. explanation and impact if SSN were to be eliminated)

SECNAV 5213/1 (Jul 2010) Page 2 of 2