

From: [Philip Klevorick](#)
To: [McCartin, Timothy](#)
Cc: [Connie Simkins \(connie@lcnop.com\)](#); [Abigail Johnson \(saged183@gmail.com\)](#); [Cash Jaszczak \(cashjaz@gmail.com\)](#); ["Rexmassey@aol.com"](#); ["Darrell Lacy" \(darrell.lacy@co.nye.nv.us\)](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: Solicitation of comments on information collection in Yucca Mountain Regulations
Date: Wednesday, August 02, 2017 7:22:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Good afternoon.

It is now August 2, 2017 pm and there is probably no way to thoroughly answer these questions before 4th as I am only here tomorrow coming from vacation for the past 2 weeks. I find the questions very premature and confusing given the unknown status of the proceedings, funding, timelines and timing, various program status, the LSN, etc. What do you mean '...However, if the State of Nevada, local governments, or affected Indian tribes wish to participate in parts of the review of the potential repository site or seek NRC's facilitation for their participation in a license application review for the potential repository, then the information in question would be required.'? Given our status as designated under the NWPA and recognized status as an Intervenor to the Proceedings, isn't this satisfactory 'enough' to show our 'wish' to participate in parts of the potential repository review? Maybe I am missing what is 'required'.

I am not sure what is being requested here. Are we required to respond to the NRCs request for their budget approval when I have no idea what is going on, when anything is going to happen and to what extent these things may occur at some point in the future? In addition, how would anyone be able to reasonably respond to these questions without any knowledge of what will be transpiring in a federal organization who have been absolutely mute on the subject matter for years and continue to be this way? I would even ask if the NRC knows what is happening with the process of Licensing Yucca Mountain and if so, please pass this along to us for our information since we have no idea what is transpiring with respect to timing, funding, planning for the LSN or its replacement, the Construction Authorization Boards and location of hearings, Case Management hearings, etc. While I am sure some folks will be able to put values to your request, to me this is absolutely premature to respond to what level of interaction we would expect when we have no idea as to what to expect from a federal proceeding that has basically been mothballed for years and very few, if any, AULG or tribe has any capacity to participate until funding comes our way through the NWPA or other means and we are able to step up our respective programs and then be able to somewhat determine in a very shortened timeframe any level of interaction when it comes to budgetary review, practical utility, information collection or accuracy techniques for collecting the information. Let me know by tomorrow, if possible but I highly doubt I can add any specific value to what the NRC needs are to work with AULGS, Tribes and the State they would not have had prior to the stoppage of the Proceeding.

Phil

Phil Klevorick

**Clark County Economic Development Liaison &
Nuclear Waste Division Program Manager
Clark County Comprehensive Planning Department
500 South Grand Central Parkway
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1751**

Phone: (702) 455-6933

Fax: (702) 380-9996

NEDC



University of Nevada – Las Vegas

September 11-13, 2017

Nevada Sesquicentennial October 31, 1864

Clark County Sesquicentennial January 17, 1867 150+ years strong!

 Please be Green, consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Disclosure: This email may contain information that is confidential or attorney-client privileged and may constitute inside information. The contents of this email are intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are directed not to read, disclose, distribute or otherwise use this transmission. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the transmission. Delivery of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privileges. Thank you.

From: McCartin, Timothy [mailto:Timothy.McCartin@nrc.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 6:32 AM

To: Philip Klevorick

Subject: Solicitation of comments on information collection in Yucca Mountain Regulations

Mr. Phil Klevorick

Clark County, Nevada

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission published a Federal Register Notice (May 31, 2017) announcing our intent to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for renewal of the information collection contained within NRC's regulations for Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in a Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada (Part 63 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations [10 CFR]). A supporting statement describing the requested information is available at <http://regulations.gov> by searching docket NRC-2016-0264. I have also attached a copy of the supporting statement in this email as well as a copy of the Federal Register Notice.

The sole purpose for this notice is to request information on the NRC's intention to seek OMB's approval for an extension of information collection requirements in Part 63. Such requests are a matter of procedure and occur every three years. Briefly, the information collection is relevant for the State of Nevada, local governments, or affected Indian tribes, or their representatives, requesting consultation with the NRC staff regarding parts of the review of the potential Yucca Mountain high level waste geologic repository site, or wishing to participate in a license application review for the potential geologic repository. Part 63 does not require the submission of such requests. However, if the State of Nevada, local governments, or affected Indian tribes wish to participate in parts of the review of the potential repository site or seek NRC's facilitation for their participation in a license application review for the potential repository, then the information in question would be required. If this information is submitted, representatives of the State of Nevada, local governments, or affected Indian tribes must also submit a statement of their authority to act in such a representative capacity. The information submitted by the State of Nevada, local governments, or affected Indian tribes would be used by the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards as a basis for decisions about the commitment of the NRC staff resources to the consultation and participation efforts.

As a potential respondent to this information collection, we are interested in your feedback.

Specifically, we are seeking input on the following questions (the attached supporting statement provides further details regarding these questions). Responses would be most helpful if received by August 4, 2017.

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Comments can be submitted by going to <http://www.regulations.gov> and searching for Docket No. NRC-2016-0264 or, if you prefer, you can submit your comments as a reply to this email and I will ensure that the comments are entered into the official record. Please note that comments will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, please contact me via email or telephone. Thank you for your consideration.

Tim McCartin (email: timothy.mccartin@nrc.gov or office phone #: 301-415-7099)