

From: Biercevicz_Jessica
To: "Thomas, David A."
Subject: RE: Proposed EIA-914 reporting changes; OMB 1905-0205
Date: Thursday, May 11, 2017 8:51:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Hi Mr. Thomas,

Thank you for your comments.

You would not have to report drip condensate volumes recovered from a stabilizer at a gas processing plant. The purpose of the proposed stabilizer section on the proposed EIA-914 form is to collect data on standalone stabilizers (not associated with a gas processing plant) with the purpose of reducing the Reid vapor pressure of crude oil and condensate production to meet safety and transportation requirements. The situation you described in the West Panhandle field of recovering drip condensate forming in a natural gas pipeline that moves gas from the producing field to a gas processing plant would not have to be reported on the proposed EIA-914 form.

However, the situation you described in south Texas (via our phone conversation) where a standalone stabilizer may be used to treat crude oil and condensate production would be required to report on the proposed form. We look forward to talking to you again after you gather more details about the south Texas stabilizer operation and discussing the potential reporting requirements.

Thanks again.

Jessica Biercevicz | Survey Statistician
Office of Oil, Gas, and Coal Supply Statistics
U.S. Energy Information Administration
O: 202-586-4299

From: Thomas, David A. [mailto:David.Thomas@pxd.com]
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 12:24 PM
To: Biercevicz, Jessica <Jessica.Biercevicz@eia.gov>
Cc: Bister, Geoff <Geoff.Bister@pxd.com>; Condley, Dan <Dan.Condley@pxd.com>
Subject: RE: Proposed EIA-914 reporting changes; OMB 1905-0205

Sorry for the typo ---

The phrase in paragraph 2 that states "shrunk by about 75%" should instead read "shrunk to about 75%".

David Thomas
Sr Accounting Analyst, Production Accounting

w 432.571.3115

From: Thomas, David A.
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 10:32 AM
To: 'jessica.biercevicz@eia.gov' <jessica.biercevicz@eia.gov>
Cc: Bister, Geoff <Geoff.Bister@pxd.com>; Condley, Dan <Dan.Condley@pxd.com>
Subject: Proposed EIA-914 reporting changes; OMB 1905-0205

Jessica ---

As a Texas producer currently filing the EIA-914 report on a monthly basis, we have received the proposed changes in the report via the Federal Register. Our question and comment concerning the proposed addition of Part 5 (Stabilizer activity) concerns the type of product stream that EIA is seeking information for.

The language in the Federal Register leads us to conclude that EIA is seeking volumes from mainly an oil stream that goes into a stabilizer in order to account for the volume of stabilized oil and NGL's on the outlet side of the stabilizer. Pioneer Natural Resources uses a stabilizer in our West Panhandle area to stabilize drip condensate that is pigged nightly from a gas stream in order to stabilize the drip for sale purposes only. Any gas removed from the stabilizer goes back into the plant inlet, where it is accounted for in our regular monthly plant reports. The drip that emerges at the outlet of the stabilizer has been stabilized and consequently shrunk by about 75% for sale to a third-party; there are no other products generated by the process at this stabilizer.

Our question is: does EIA's proposed new Part 5 on the EIA-914 report include reporting drip condensate from a gas stream that results solely in stabilized drip condensate? We would appreciate any guidance that EIA could give us on this matter.

Thank you.

David Thomas
Sr Accounting Analyst, Production Accounting
Pioneer Natural Resources

w 432.571.3115

Statement of Confidentiality:

This message may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you receive this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.