Submitter Name: Robert Neale

The International Code Council (ICC) supports this important research effort.

ICC is a US-based non-profit with more than 64,000 members in the public and private sector who are interested in public safety.

ICC promulgates the nation's most-used residential and commercial building and safety codes to protect public health, safety and welfare. Our codes address smoke and carbon monoxide detection in a wide variety of new and existing buildings.

Having reliable data to analyze the scope of use and effectiveness of these detection devices will enable us to review and modernize our codes and standards to address improved public safety.

Please let us know how we might support this effort.

Submitter Name: Bhny Ukij

America working class, the poor hurt on flawed reports, 2017 Trade Deficit almost 800 Billion Dollars. 80 Billion spent By Obama climate Admin. Obama, EPA gave more than \$27 million in taxpayer-funded grants to major environmental groups.. based on flawed reports. Obama added more than \$80 billion of regulatory burden on the American economy in just 8 years of his term, on nonsense, hurting the poor.

Billions based on Flawed reports from IPCC policymakers Nations in work in Groups I, II and III Nations like; Russian? Cuba? Venezuela,? Pakistan? Sudan? Ethiopia? Hundreds of billion dollars have been wasted with the attempt of imposing a Anthropogenic Global Warming (AGW) theory that is not supported by physical world evidences.

ISSUE: American hurt by EPAs 2009 reliance on the IPCC AR4 for GHG Regulations, which violated the Agencys own internal policy. See Inspector General and GSO reports in doing so.. America has lost; more than 55,000 factories, 6,000,000 manufacturing jobs and accumulated Trade Deficits of more than 12 Trillion Dollars since Bush administration.

Issue: Nations to Benefit from CO2 regulations; India, China, Asia; India is one of the largest beneficiaries of the total world carbon trade through the Clean Development Mechanism. 2011 EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) has cost their consumers \$287 billion for "almost zero impact" on cutting carbon emissions, 2011 UBS study.

Green planet advocates should be arguing for a CO2- fertilized atmosphere, not a CO2-starved atmosphere. the "uncertain science" and how "climate researchers have lost the public's trust" from a "cascade of scandals" from the UN IPCC. One large News outlet said, compared the leaders of the climate science community to "used-car salesmen. The IPCC assessment process had a substantial element of schoolyard bullies, once vaulted UN IPCC now become the object of ridicule and scrutiny. 80% of the UN IPCC membership has no dealing with the climate as part of their academic studies. More than 1,000 dissenting scientists from around the globe have now challenged man-made global warming claims made by the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). In a 321-page Climate Depot Special Report -- U.S. Senate Report of scientists who voiced skepticism about the so-called global warming consensus -- features the skeptical voices of over 1,000 international scientists, including many current and former UN IPCC scientists, who have now turned against the UN IPCC. The chorus of skeptical scientific voices grew louder in 2010 as the Climategate scandal -- which

involved the upper echelon of UN IPCC scientists -- detonated upon on the international climate movement. "I view Climategate as science fraud, pure and simple," said noted Princeton Physicist shortly after the scandal broke.

UN lead author grew disillusioned with the IPCC and lamented that it had been "captured" and demanded that "the Chair of IPCC and Chairs of the IPCC Working Groups should be removed." also publicly called for the "suspension" of IPCC Process in 2010 after being invited by the UN to participate as lead author again in the next IPCC Report. A South African UN scientist declared the UN IPCC a "worthless carcass" and noted IPCC chair is in "disgrace". He also explained that the "fraudulent science continues to be exposed." Former member of the UN Scientific and Technical Committee on Natural Disasters harshly critiqued the UN.

A geologist, and professor of geology, December 3, 2010: "The corruption within the IPCC revealed by the Climategate scandal, the doctoring of data and the refusal to admit mistakes have so severely tainted the IPCC that it is no longer a credible agency."

52 scientists who participated in the 2007 IPCC Summary for Policymakers had to adhere to the wishes of the UN political leaders [ISSUE: WHO is making the rules against USA look at the IPCC work Groups I, II and III Nations like; Russian? Cuba? Venezuela,? Pakistan? Sudan? Ethiopia? some are on Sanctions, Embargoes sanctions counties: china, Cuba, Russia, Central African Republic, Sudan Venezuela why is USA making regulations based on advise form these counties. ?? Current Chairman: South Korea since 2015 Past Chairman SUDAN Ismail El Gizouli was interm Chairman 2015 PAST Chairman INDIA 2002 -2015 Rajendra K. Pachauri.

130 German scientists urging German Chancellor to reconsider her climate views. 100 international scientists challenged President Obama's climate claims, calling them "simply incorrect. 166 scientists from around the world wrote an Open Letter to the UN Secretary-General rebuking the UN and declaring that the science is NOT settled. over 80 prominent physicists petitioned the APS revise its global warming position and more than 250 scientists urged a change in the group's climate statement in 2010.

Submitter Name:c c

We didnt create the UN to give us weather forecasts for 2100! The Unraveling of Global Warming is Accelerating. Shadow hanging over climate change and science.

The idea that CO2, a trace essential gas in the atmosphere that humans exhale form their mouth, is the main climate driver is now being challenged by peer-reviewed studies, data and scientists from around the globe. when looking at global temperatures, it is the Sun, volcanoes, tilt of the Earths axis, water vapor, methane, clouds, ocean cycles, plate tectonics, albedo, atmospheric dust, Atmospheric Circulation, cosmic rays.

The IPCC developed the Global Warming Potential (GWP) concept to compare the ability of each greenhouse gas to trap heat in the atmosphere relative to another gas. UNFCCC reporting guidelines for national inventories require the use of GWP values from the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) (IPCC 2007).

On October 30, 2009, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a rule requiring annual reporting of greenhouse gas data from large greenhouse gas emissions sources in the United States.

ISSUE EPAs reliance on the IPCC AR4 for GHG Regulations, which violates the Agencys own internal policy. See Inspector General and GSO reports . EPA. Review Panel did not fully meet the independence requirements for reviews of highly influential scientific assessments because one of the panelists. was an EPA employee.

ISSUE: Congress Committee: the IPCC is an international body outside the jurisdiction and oversight of the United States Congress. Moreover, EPA is the entity of the United States government that is seeking sweeping regulations on the basis that GHGs are increasing global temperatures.

ISSUE: Congress committee: 2014 Secret Science EPAs Playbook.; from the US Senate 67 page reported 2014 within (EPA), some officials making critically important policy decisions were not remotely qualified, anything but neutral.

ISSUE IPCC relied on data from a University of East Anglia, CRU, in England. College Students did research, More than two-thirds of all authors of chapter 9 of the IPCCs 2007 climate-science assessment are part of a clique whose members have co-authored papers with each other.

CRU were accused falsification of findings.

CRU were accused of manipulating/selecting data to exaggerate global warming.

CRU were accused of hiding data flaws and research findings.

CRU were accused of hiding climate data flaws.

CRU were accused of refusing to release data requested,

CRU were accused of losing primary station data.

ISSUE: Also the AR4 had many errors. More Than 1000 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made Global Warming Claims Scientists Continue to Debunk Fading Consensus in 2008 & 2009 & 2010.

ISSUE: Nations that made IPCC AR4 working Groups: NOT one represented USA in ANY working groups

China, India, Pakistan, Largest Polluters on Plant: some of the countries are not friend with USA and did NOT agree to reduce current levels, to international GHG reduction agreements, Almost 200 nations affiliated to the 2007 IPCC only 12, or just 6%, were represented among the authors in chapter 9.

ISSUE Schlesinger at IPCC few year ago, acknowledged that 80 percent of the IPCC had no dealing with the climate as part of their academic studies.

ISSUE: WHO is making the rules against USA.

IPCC work Groups I, II and III Nations like; Russian? Cuba? Venezuela,? Pakistan? Sudan? Ethiopia? some are on Sanctions, Embargoes sanctions counties: china, Cuba, Russia, Central African Republic, Sudan Venezuela why is USA making regulations based on advise form these counties. ?? Current Chairman: South Korea since 2015

Past Chairman SUDAN Ismail El Gizouli was interm Chairman 2015

PAST Chairman INDIA 2002 -2015 Rajendra K. Pachauri.

ISSUE: AR4 continued the America has lost; more than 55,000 factories, 6,000,000 manufacturing jobs and accumulated Trade Deficits of more than 12 Trillion Dollars since Bush administration.

2017 Trade Deficit almost 800 Billion Dollars. 80 Billion spent By Obama climate Admin. .

Obama, EPA gave more than \$27 million in taxpayer-funded grants to major environmental groups.. based on flawed reports.

Obama added more than \$80 billion of regulatory burden on the American economy in just 8 years of his term, on nonsence, hurting the poor. Billions based on Flawed reports.

Issue: Nations to Benefit from CO2 regulations in USA, is India, Asia, India is one of the largest beneficiaries of the total world carbon trade through the Clean Development Mechanism. 2011 EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) has cost their consumers \$287 billion for "almost zero impact" on cutting carbon emissions, 2011 UBS study.

Issue: even the IPCC AR5 2014 reports are grounded in speculative conjecture based on well documented climate model analytical limitations instead of solid science.