Form Section	Comment	USCIS Response
<b>Instructions</b> Page	On page 1, the heading at the top of the	USCIS appreciates this feedback and
1 – Page Heading	page is mislabeled. The heading says,	has made appropriate edits.
	"Medical Certification for Disability	
	Exceptions." It should say "Instructions for	
	Medical Certification for Disability	
Page 1 - Who	Exceptions."  The instructions state, "USCIS generally	USCIS agrees that some applicants
should submit this	only considers a Form N-648 that is	may require more guidance than what
form and when?	concurrently submitted with a Form N-400	is provided in the N-648 Instructions.
	to be filed timely, but later-submitted or	USCIS has updated the form and
	multiple Forms N648 may be accepted in	added instructions to accommodate
	certain circumstances." The instructions provide no guidance on what circumstances	this issue. More specifically, if an applicant submits the form after the
	allow for submitting the N-648 at a later	filing of the Form N-400, the
	stage in the N-400 process. The new	applicant must provide an explanation
	language in the instructions does not take	for the late submission and submit
	into account those applicants who are	any supporting evidence, if
	caught in long backlogs and have	applicable. USCIS will evaluate late
	disabilities that develop or worsen while they are waiting for naturalization, in	submissions on a case-by-case basis.
	which case the new guidance	
	acknowledges that a late-filed N-648 would	
	be appropriate.	
	This omission may discourage late filing by	
	applicants who qualify for the exception	
	but do not understand they can still file. Additionally, an N-648 submitted later may	
	not be accepted if the applicant does not	
	provide a "credible explanation and	
	sufficient evidence," as stated in the USCIS	
	Policy Manual (Vol. 12, Part E, Chapter 3,	
	B). Yet, the instructions do not inform the	
	applicant to provide an explanation or submit any evidence connected to filing the	
	N-648 after filing the N-400. Further	
	instruction on this is essential given the	
	revised policy guidance that says	
	"without sufficient probative evidence, a	
	late submission can raise credible doubts	
	about the validity of the medical	
	certification, especially where little or no effort is made to explain the delay." Not	
	only is the revised policy ill-conceived, but	
	the language in the instructions is deficient	
	in that it does not request an explanation or	
	provide examples of what kinds of	
	evidence may be submitted in support of	
	filing the N-648 after the N-400.	

Page 1 - Who should not submit this form?	The instructions further state, "A certifying medical professional must complete this form within six months of submission of Form N-400 to USCIS." This instruction is not consistent with the revised policy guidance and is confusing. There is a provision in the revised guidance that a Form N-648 certified more than six months before submission of N-400 may give rise to credible doubt, but there is no prohibition of such a submission. As noted above, Form N-648 submissions after N-400 filing may be accepted under certain circumstances, and these submissions would not necessarily be completed within six months of submission of form N400, yet would still be properly filed. The new language is inaccurate and should be changed to reflect eligibility to file outside of the six-month period, and request an explanation and provide examples of what kinds of evidence may be submitted in support.  The instructions tell applicants requesting an accommodation to indicate that on Part 3 of the N-400. However, the proposed revision to the N-400 eliminates the section on requesting accommodations. CLINIC's comment on the proposed changes to Form N-400 recommended that USCIS restore the sections of Form N-400 and its instructions assisting applicants with disabilities to understand how to apply for accommodations. If those sections are restored to the N400, the above-referenced instructions for Form N-648 should remain the same. However, if that section is deleted from the N-400, the instructions for Form N-648 should tell applicants how to indicate their intention to submit a request for accommodation.	USCIS has found that form instructions are not an efficient method for providing accommodations information. Moreover, it adds text to instructions that are only required for a minority of requests. Instead, if a physical appearance is required for any request, USCIS provides instructions in the appointment notice for how to request accommodations. Not including accommodations instructions occurs across USCIS information collection.
Page 2 – Information Needed for Item Number 6	The heading contains a mistake. It should say "Item Number 8" rather than number 6 as written.	USCIS appreciates this feedback and has made appropriate edits.
Page 3 – Information Needed for Item Number 22	There is a typo in the heading. It should say "Number 22." The instructions ask for a detailed explanation of why the regular treating medical professional was unable or unwilling to complete this form.	USCIS appreciates this feedback regarding the typo and has made appropriate edits.

	The question does not take into account that the applicant may not have a regular physician or may be seeking evaluation by a specialist who may be better qualified to describe the disability. Further, it burdens the certifier to either speculate about the reason because this info is not available, to or investigate which is time consuming and may deter the certifying from completing the form. The regular treating medical professional may not be unable or unwilling to complete this form. Another explanation may exist. Perhaps the regular treating medical professional is willing, but the applicant and his/her family members have decided to seek the assistance of a specialist who can provide information that is more detailed to USCIS. Furthermore, 4 the certifier may not be privy to this information, or the applicant may not have a regular treating physician. The question as phrased shows a clear bias on the part of USCIS, treating the situation with immediate suspicion. We recommend keeping the current language of the form: "Explain why you are completing this form instead of the regularly treating medical professional."	The question regarding the regular treating physician also provides additional space to indicate why the regular treating physical did not provide the evaluation. USCIS will not find an N-648 insufficient merely because the regular treating physical did not provide the evaluation. Instead, USCIS reviews the responses to the question regarding the reasoning.
General Instructions, Signature	It is important for USCIS to clarify here that a mark will be accepted for a signature. See Policy Memorandum PM-602-0134.1 (2/15/18): "An individual who cannot write in any language may place an "X" or similar mark instead of a signature."	USCIS does not think that instruction is specifically required. People who sign using methods other than a handwritten signature are aware that they may sign forms using the same signature method or mark that they use to execute all of their legal documents.
How to Fill Out Form N-648, 2	The instructions give various examples of questions that do not appear on the N-648, such as the name of your current spouse. This is confusing and should be corrected.	USCIS appreciates this feedback and will remove the examples.
Page 4 - Processing Information, Initial Processing	The instructions state, "If your medical professional does not completely fill out this form, you will not establish a basis for your eligibility and USCIS may reject or deny your form." This language is misleading and should be clarified to say that USCIS may request additional information on the form, causing a delay. If the form is submitted at or before the first	USCIS appreciates this commenter's suggestion and will make the appropriate edit.

	interview and found insufficient, the applicant is given an opportunity to provide additional information at the second	
	interview before being denied. We recommend keeping the language in the	
	current form instructions: "Failure to	
	provide all information requested on the	
	form may result in USCIS determining that the form is insufficient."	
Form – General	The current form is six pages long. The	USCIS appreciates this feedback and
Comment	proposed, new form is nine pages. The new	has made appropriate edits as
	form is much longer and more onerous than	provided below. USCIS understands
	the current form and goes beyond the requirements of the statute and regulation	that providing responses may add additional time and burden on
	for demonstrating eligibility for a disability	medical professionals, however,
	waiver.	USCIS believes these questions are
		necessary to determine the eligibility
	The regulations only state that the medical professional, "shall be able to attest to the	for the exception.
	origin, nature, and extent of the medical	
	condition as it relates to the disability	
	exceptions." The current form contains 12 questions on the disability or impairment.	
	The proposed, new form contains 23	
	questions, almost double the amount.	
	The new form has new questions added on the date that each disability/impairment	
	began; the date of diagnosis; the severity of each disability/impairment; how each disability/impairment affects the	
	applicant's daily life activities; why each	Current regulations require that
	disability/impairment is expected to last	impairments that have lasted or are
	over 12 months; which disability/impairments are the result of	expected to last at least 12 months to qualify for the English and/or civics
	illegal drug use; the frequency of treatment;	exception. See 8 CFR 312.1(a)(3), 8
	and if the medical professional questioned	CFR 312.2(b)(1). Therefore, USCIS
	the interpreter about his/her fluency in	believes the questions regarding dates of diagnosis and timing are
	English and accuracy/completeness in interpretation. Our network of practitioners	appropriate.
	report that the level of detail already	11 1
	required by the form presents a major	
	challenge for busy medical professionals, and they frequently must struggle to have	
	doctors take the time to provide more	
	detailed information. We understand that	
1	LISCIS already unnecessarily rejects many	1

USCIS already unnecessarily rejects many forms on the auspices of lack of sufficient detail, which delays access to naturalization

	and create additional inefficiencies for the agency, thus contributing to its burgeoning backlog of cases. The proposed changes would result in a longer and more onerous form that would only exacerbate this issue and frustrate the intent of the waiver. The estimated completion time suggested by USCIS is 2 hours and 25 minutes. The proposed changes fail to consider that the medical professional may not have the time and capacity to devote nearly three hours to completing a single form for a patient.  The proposed, new form creates a major roadblock for disabled individuals' access citizenship, which is not consistent with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 that protects qualified individuals from discrimination based on their disability. Section 504 states that, "no qualified individual with a disability in the United States shall be excluded from, denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under" any program or activity that either receives Federal financial assistance or is conducted by any Executive agency or the United States Postal Service. CLINIC recommends that the USCIS lessen burden on doctors, by making the form shorter, easier, and simpler to complete.	USCIS disagrees that the updates are inconsistent with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. The act provides for reasonable accommodation to complete the requirements; however, it does not provide for a full exception from the requirements. USCIS continues to provide appropriate accommodations at the request of applicants in compliance with the Rehabilitation Act.  Moreover, the commenter did not provide reasons how requesting more information in this collection is in violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. In fact, USCIS wants to avoid further inconvenience to the applicant, where requesting a second medical certification could occur if these newly added questions are not asked.
Page Heading	On page 1, the heading at the top of the page is mislabeled. The heading says, "Instructions for Medical Certification for Disability Exceptions." It should say, "Medical Certification for Disability Exceptions."	USCIS appreciates this feedback and will make the appropriate edit.
Instructions	The current N-648 form contains many instructions in the heading of the form, in Part 2 for Medical Professional Information, and after some of the questions. These instructions are helpful since, in our experience, most medical professionals do not have time to read the lengthy form instructions. Yet, most of these instructions have been removed from	With this revision, USCIS removed instructions that were in both the form and in the instructions from the form and maintained them in the instructions only to reduce redundant text and the length of the form.  USCIS understands that the additional questions may present an

	the proposed, new form. We recommend	additional burden for the interpreters
	keeping these instructions in the new form.	and doctors. However, USCIS
	The same and forms are sure as a sure of the	believes these questions are
	The proposed form now requires the	necessary.
	medical professional to ask the interpreter two questions:	Part 4 of the form refers to
	<ul><li>Do you certify that you are fluent in</li></ul>	interpreters during a USCIS
	English and the following	interview. The new questions relate
	language .	to interpreters at the time of the
	Do you further certify that you will	medical evaluation when interpreter
	accurately and completely interpret all	is interpreting telephonically.
	communications between the applicant and	
	me (the medical professional)?	
	These questions impose an additional,	
	unnecessary burden on the medical	
	professional. Interpreters who are present	
	for the medical appointment are already	
	required to sign a certification that	
	addresses these two questions in Part 4 of	
	the N-648.	
Part 2	The instructions that appear under Part 2	USCIS appreciates this feedback and
	(Medical Professional Information) do not	will make appropriate edits.
D + 2	match the content in this section.	D 1
Part 3	Comment 1:	Response 1:
	Question 3 in Part 3 asks when each disability/impairment began. This question	The regulation states that the disability must have or is expected to
	presumes that every applicant has a long	last for at least 12 months. Having
	history of healthcare in the U.S., but the	this information helps USCIS
	reality is that many individuals have	determine duration of disability.
	disabilities that began before they came to	Asking these specific questions helps
	the U.S. Their doctor will not have this	USCIS evaluate and accurately
	information, especially not a very specific	adjudicate the form.
	date such as MM/DD/YYYY. This	
	question also presumes that the person had	
	a specific event or injury that caused the	
	disability, such as a stroke or heart attack,	
	and that the date is available in the U.S.	
	medical record. This is often not the case.	
	For example, when did the cancer begin?	
	When did the depression, dementia, mental illness, or PTSD begin? This information is	
	often unknowable.	
	STOLI SIMILO II WOLO.	
	Comment 2:	
	Question 7 asks the medical professional to	
	"Describe the severity of effects of each	Response 2:
	disability and/or impairment listed in Part	USCIS appreciates this feedback.
	3, Item 1. Explain the basis or your	USCIS has added additional
	assessment, i.e., known symptoms of	instructions and examples to explain
	condition, tests conducted, observations,	this question.

etc." No additional instructions are provided for this question, so the medical professional may be uncertain of the level of detail needed to sufficiently answer the question. Moreover, Question 7 is redundant of questions 8 (effects on applicant's daily life) and 13 (effects on applicant's ability to demonstrate English/civics knowledge). Both questions 8 and 13 will require the medical professional to address the severity of the disability/impairments. There is no need for an additional question on the severity. This question is unnecessary since existing questions on the N-648 illicit the information needed to determine the applicant's qualifications for the exception. We recommend removing question 7 from the proposed form.

#### Comment 3:

Question 8 is a new question USCIS has added on how the disability/impairment affects the applicant's daily life activities, including the ability to work or go to school. This question invites the adjudicator to substitute his/her judgement for that of the medical professional and to use daily activities as an overly simplistic litmus test for N-648 eligibility, such as presuming that someone who can drive can pass the citizenship test.

There is no basis in the statute or regulations for USCIS to question the applicant about his/her daily life activities. It wrongfully presumes fraud and contradicts past policy guidance, which stated that "an officer SHOULD NOT... Ouestion the applicant about his or her medical care, community and civic affairs, or daily living activities unless the facts in the form or during the examination directly contradict facts in the A-file" (D. Guidelines for Officer's Review). The presumption of fraud at the outset and without justification denies the applicant a chance at a fair hearing. Question 8 is overreaching and should be removed.

#### Response 3:

Existing rules and policies do not allow USCIS officers to evaluate the nature of the disability and/or impairments; they are instructed only to make sure that the forms are completed correctly and that the information in an applicant's file is consistent.

USCIS officers rely on the expertise of a medical professional to understand the origin, nature, and extent of an applicant's disability. If there is something inconsistent with what the medical professional indicated in the form and statements by the applicants or other information in the file, a USCIS officer may request additional information.