Accredited Survey (Survey 2)

Form Approved OMB No. XXXX-XXXX Expiration Date XX/XX/XXXX

Welcome

NORC at the University of Chicago (NORC) is asking recently accredited health departments to participate in a survey about the national public health accreditation program. The survey includes questions about your experiences with the process, the benefits of accreditation, and short-term outcomes and other effects from accreditation. NORC is conducting this survey on behalf of the Public Health Accreditation Board (PHAB) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to evaluate the outcomes of the national public health accreditation program. The questions and topics in this survey are intended for the director of your health department, or a designee, if the director is unable to complete the survey. Thank you for participating in this survey.

Directions

Use your mouse to click on the circle or box to indicate your answer. Click "Next" to advance to the next page, and scroll to the bottom of each page and click "Previous" to return to the previous page. On the last page of the questionnaire, click "Done" to complete the questionnaire. Note: once you click "Done," you will not be able to edit or return to your questionnaire responses.

If you have technical difficulties, contact Megan Heffernan at heffernan-megan@norc.org or 301-634-9412. Thank you again for your participation.

Background

The survey is estimated to take 20 minutes or less to complete. Your open and honest feedback is appreciated. Findings from this assessment will be included in a report to PHAB and CDC and may be publicly available. All data will be presented in the aggregate; report findings will not be linked to the organization that completed the survey. For more information about this assessment, please contact Project Director Michael Meit at <a href="meith-

CDC estimates the average public reporting burden for this collection of information as 20 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data/information sources, gathering and maintaining the data/information needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Road NE MS H21-8, Atlanta GA 30333 (ATTN: PRA (0920-xxxx)).

Accredited Survey (Survey 2) Information About Your Health Department * 1. Name of Health Department: Note: This information will be used to analyze findings by health department structure, size, and geographic region; responses will not be linked to any specific health department. * 2. Respondent Role: Director of Health Department

Accreditation Coordinator

Other, please describe:

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)								
PHAB Standards and Measures								
	3. For each statement below, consider your impressions of and experiences with the PHAB Standards and Measures. Please select the appropriate column to indicate whether you Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, or Strongly Disagree. If you are unsure, please select Don't Know.							
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know		
	As currently written, the PHAB Standards and Measures for accreditation allow for accurate measurement of the public health capacities and processes in our health department.	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
	As currently written, the PHAB Standards and Measures for accreditation accurately reflect the practice of high-performing health departments.	\bigcirc			\bigcirc	\bigcirc		

Action Plan 4. Did the Accreditation Committee require your health department to develop an Action Plan? O Yes \bigcirc No $\bigcirc \ \, \mathsf{Don't}\,\mathsf{know} \\$

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)
Action Plan
Please provide additional information about the Action Plan process.
5. It was beneficial for our health department to implement the activities identified in the Action Plan.
Strongly agree
Agree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
On't know
6. Please provide additional comments about the Action Plan process, if desired.

Accredited	Survey	(Survey	2)

Preparation

documents, plans, or systems. he accreditation process?

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)	
Preparation	
10. What role did the consultant play?	

Accredited	Survey	(Survey	2)
Accidation	Juivey	(Dui vcy	'

Internal Benefits and Outcomes

11. For each statement below, consider the internal benefits and/or outcomes your health department has seen as a result of applying for PHAB accreditation.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
The accreditation process has stimulated greater accountability and transparency within our health department.	\bigcirc		0		
Undergoing accreditation has stimulated greater collaboration across departments or units within our health department.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			
Because of our participation in the accreditation process, integration across departments or units in our health department has improved.					
Accreditation has improved our health department's ability to identify and address gaps in employee training and workforce development.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Accreditation has improved our health department's overall capacity to provide high quality programs and services.	\bigcirc				
Accreditation has increased our health department's capacity to identify and address health priorities.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Accreditation has increased the extent to which our health department uses evidence-based practices for public health programs and/or business practices.				\bigcirc	
Because of our participation in the accreditation process, our health department's staff competencies have improved.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
Accreditation has strengthened employee pride in our agency.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc

Qu	ality Improvement					
	12. For each statement below, consider improvement (QI) activities because of		-	-	_	•
	Because of our participation in the accreditation process, our health department has implemented or plans to implement new strategies for QI.	\bigcirc		\bigcirc		
	Because of our participation in the accreditation process, our health department has implemented or plans to implement new strategies to monitor and evaluate our effectiveness and quality.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		\bigcirc
	Because of our participation in the accreditation process, our health department has used or plans to use information from our QI processes and/or performance management system to inform decisions.	0		\bigcirc		
	Because of our participation in the accreditation process, our health department has created or improved operational or public health policies.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc	\circ
	13. Please provide additional clarificat	ion for any	of your res	sponses, if d	esired.	

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)

Quality Improvement and Performance Management

Please answer the following questions regarding other changes in quality improvement (QI) and performance management culture within your agency.

14. Has your health department established an organization-wide process for QI?
Yes, established while participating in the accreditation process (after registering in e-PHAB)
Yes, established prior to participating in the accreditation process, but updated while undergoing the process
Yes, established prior to participating in the accreditation process and not updated while undergoing the process
No, but under development
No, not working on it
On't know
15. Indicate the level of familiarity your health department staff members have with QI.
Have no knowledge of QI
Subset of staff have familiarity with QI
Majority of staff have familiarity with QI
Subset of staff are knowledgeable and practice QI
Majority of staff are knowledgeable and practice QI
Majority of staff routinely practice/use QI
On't know
16. Currently, QI in my agency is
Not practiced anywhere in the agency
Talked about, but not required
Conducted informally; sporadic program efforts
Conducted formally in specific areas
Conducted formally and agency-wide
Our culture
On't know

17. Engaging in QI has affected my agency by Select all that apply.
Decreasing time spent completing processes and cost
Improving process quality
Improving public health outcomes
Strengthening our performance management system
Making us better prepared for public health accreditation
It has not made much of an impact
We are not engaging in QI
Don't know
18. Please provide additional clarification for any of your responses, if desired.
19. Approximately what percentage of staff in your organization have received training in performance management and/or QI?
O-5%
O 6-25%
<u>26-50%</u>
<u></u>
O 76-95%
96-100%
Oon't know
20. If there was a change in knowledge and practice of QI in your health department because of participation in the accreditation process, please describe the change and indicate how the accreditation process contributed to this change.

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)
Workforce Development and Training
Please answer the following question about your health department's workforce development and training.
21. Select all workforce development and training activities currently implemented by your health department. <i>Select all that apply.</i>
Include education and training objectives in performance reviews
Allow participation in training during working hours
Pay travel/registration fees for trainings
Provide on-site training
Have staff position(s) whose responsibilities include coordinating internal training for employees
Provide employee reward and recognition programs
Other, please describe:

Accredited Sur	vey (S	Survey	2
----------------	--------	--------	---

Communication and Relationship with Stakeholders

22. Please describe how accreditation has improved your relationship with stakehold

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Accreditation has improved our board of health or governing entity's working knowledge of our health department's roles and responsibilities.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
Accreditation has improved our local policymakers' (other than our governing entity) working knowledge of our health department's roles and responsibilities.	\bigcirc				
Accreditation has improved the public's working knowledge of our health department's roles and responsibilities.	\bigcirc			\bigcirc	
Accreditation has improved our partners' working knowledge of our health department's roles and responsibilities.	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Accreditation has improved our health department's relationship with key partners in other sectors (e.g., health care social services, education).		\bigcirc	\circ		
Accreditation has helped us to build relationships with new partners across sectors (e.g., health care, social services, education).	\bigcirc				
Accreditation has led to increased collaboration with other health departments.	\bigcirc			\bigcirc	
23. Please provide additional clarifi	cation for any o	f your res	sponses, if d	esired.	

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)						
Financial Status						
24. Please answer the following questions to provide feedback about fees, financial status, and your health department.						
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know	N/A
Our health department leadership team views the PHAB accreditation fees as a good value.	\circ		\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
Accreditation has improved the utilization of resources within our health department.		\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Accreditation has had a positive impact on our health department budget (i.e., helped us demonstrate our value and needs in budget discussions, or protected the health department against budget cuts).		\circ			0	0

Accredited	Survey	(Survey	2)
Accidation	Juivey	(Dui vcy	'

Additional Feedback

Please answer the following questions to provide additional feedback about your health department's experiences as you completed the PHAB accreditation process.

25. Our health department made the correct decision to apply for national accreditation through PHAB.
Strongly agree
Agree
Disagree
Strongly disagree
On't know
26. Throughout the process of applying for accreditation, did your health department experience any of the following challenges?
Select all that apply.
Leadership changes
Staff turnover or loss of key staff
Limited staff time or other schedule limitations
Decreased perceived value or benefit
Decreased priority for our health department
Decreased support from board of health or governing entity
Decreased support from other elected leaders
Decreased support from health department leadership team
Limited funding or financial constraints
Unanticipated costs
None
Other, please describe:
27. For any of the challenges selected above, please describe how your health department
overcame the obstacle.

○ No ○ Don't know	Yes				
Obout know	O No				
	Oon't l	now			

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)
Additional Feedback
29. Please describe the unanticipated benefits or outcomes your health department experienced.

Accredited Survey (Survey 2)	
Thank You	
Thank you for your participation!	