Questions for OSHA from Rational Ground
Agenda

• Questions
• Knowing Your Enemy: Virus-Carrying Respiratory Aerosol Size
• Alert to Potential, Unstudied Harms
• Deep-dive: Concerns on Filtration and Safety
What is Goal and Scope?

- **Which pathogens?**
  - COVID, Flu, all Respiratory illnesses?
- **Time frame?** Until? Every Respiratory virus season?
- **Protection?**
  - Vulnerable workers, all workers, can workers electing not to be “protected” opt-out?
- **Would protection include a requirement to stop transmission in a workers’ environment?**
  - E.g., children in schools masked to protect teachers, patrons masks to protect performers, shoppers masked to protect shop workers?
- **To which industries would this apply?** White collar, too? What exceptions?
- **What happens when an employee contracts a viral illness at work, despite the employer following the rules. Mightn’t this presumption of protection expose employers?**
- **Have standards been considered to clearly define the vulnerable population, and develop stronger standards to protect them?** Rather than all workers?
The First Rule of Defense: Know Your Enemy

Airborne transmission arises through the inhalation of aerosol droplets exhaled by an infected person and is now thought to be the primary transmission route of COVID-19. By Yan et al., 2017: Infectious virus in exhaled breath of symptomatic seasonal influenza cases from a college community.

Source: https://www.pnas.org/content/118/17/e2018995118

Size Distribution, Respiratory Aerosols Exhaled During Tidal Breathing

Medan, 0.28 μ

Cigarette Smoke

Sources:
Cigarette smoke particle distribution:

It is now widely thought that respiratory aerosols generated during normal breathing—the majority of which are less than 0.3 microns (the same size as cigarette smoke)—are the primary method of transmission for respiratory viruses.
While there are some respiratory aerosols generated above 1 micron, and specifically above 5 microns, these larger, easier-to-contain aerosols contain only a tiny fraction of the virus.

Mechanistic Studies Showing Promise of Masks, not Borne Out Empirically or via RCTs

CDC Meta-Analysis of Mask and other NPI RCTs (May 2020)

Abstract

There were 3 influenza pandemics in the 20th century, and there has been 1 so far in the 21st century. Local, national, and international health authorities regularly update their plans for mitigating the next influenza pandemic in light of the latest available evidence on the effectiveness of various control measures in reducing transmission. Here, we review the evidence base on the effectiveness of nonpharmaceutical personal protective measures and environmental hygiene measures in nonhealthcare settings and discuss their potential inclusion in pandemic plans. Although mechanistic studies support the potential effect of hand hygiene or face masks, evidence from 14 randomized controlled trials of these measures did not support a substantial effect on transmission of laboratory-confirmed influenza. We similarly found limited evidence on the effectiveness of improved hygiene and environmental cleaning. We identified several major knowledge gaps requiring further research, most fundamentally an improved characterization of the modes of person-to-person transmission.

Conclusions: Mask mandates and use are not associated with slower state-level COVID-19 spread during COVID19 growth surges.

Source: https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article

Source: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.05.18.21257385v1.full.pdf
High-Quality Evidence Indicates No Effect, Low Quality Evidence Indicates Effect.

Why the disconnect?
3 Reasons Studies ≠ Reality

1: Mechanistic Studies Look at Wrong Size

0.3 Microns

- The majority of respiratory aerosols are this size or smaller.
- The lower limit of most instrumentation used to measure aerosols, and how much virus they carry.

Size Distribution, Respiratory Aerosols Exhaled During Tidal Breathing

- Median Respiratory Aerosol Size: 0.28 μ
- 99.9% range
- 0.1% range

Range where most mechanistic mask studies focus (>5+ micron)

Cigarette Smoke Particles

Naked SARS-CoV-2 Virion (.06 – 0.14μ)
3 Reasons Studies ≠ Reality

2: They Ignore the Importance of Gaps

Source: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02786826.2020.1817846

1% gap in N-95, < and > 300 nm

Source: https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.0c0325212
3 Reasons Studies ≠ Reality

3: They Use Mass/Volume to Evaluate

| Particle Volumes ($\mu^3$) & Time to Settle 2 m (hrs) for Various Particle Sizes |
|-------------------|----|----|---|---|----|---|---|
|                  | 0.2 $\mu$ | 0.5 $\mu$ | 1 $\mu$ | 2 $\mu$ | 5 $\mu$ | 7 $\mu$ | 10 $\mu$ |
| Volume           | 0.03 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 33 | 523 | 1,436 | 4,187 |
| Settling Time    | 456  | 66  | 18 | 4.5 | 0.75 | 0.33  | 0.2 |


In this study, on the same day viral load peaks (NP), aerosols <0.3 microns increase by 7-fold, to almost 70K. Those over 1 micron decrease to fewer than 10.

Source: [https://www.pnas.org/content/118/8/e2021830118](https://www.pnas.org/content/118/8/e2021830118)

Even on day 7, when there are more than 80K particles <1 micron, those over 1 micron (fewer than 10) make up more than 90% OF THE VOLUME.
Limited Efficacy in Stopping Least Numerous Particles, Which Settle Fastest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size Range (μ)</th>
<th>.0 – 0.3</th>
<th>0.3 – 0.5</th>
<th>0.5 – 1</th>
<th>1 - 5</th>
<th>5+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of Respiratory Aerosols</td>
<td>~ 50%</td>
<td>~ 35%</td>
<td>~ 13%</td>
<td>~ 1.9%</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settling Times</td>
<td>19 days (0.2 μ)</td>
<td>66 hrs (0.5 μ)</td>
<td>18 hours (1.0 μ)</td>
<td>45 “ (5 μ)</td>
<td>45 “ (5 μ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Aerosols Observed w/viral RNA (Yan et al, 2017)</td>
<td>Below Observation Threshold</td>
<td>76% (40% infectious)</td>
<td></td>
<td>40% (0 infectious)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Viral RNA copies / 30” sample (Yan et al, 2017)</td>
<td></td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple of Viral Copies observed</td>
<td>Below Observation Threshold</td>
<td>8.8 (not split out)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Surgical Mask efficacy (protection)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20 - 50%</td>
<td>50 - 70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Surgical Mask Efficacy, 3% Gap (Transmission)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>0-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-95 (Protection)</td>
<td>60 - 80%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-95, 1% gap (Transmission)</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 38,000 Viral Copies of RNA Generated in 30 Minutes.
Estimates of Infectious dose is 10 – 300 copies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Of particles between 0.3 and 5 micron</th>
<th>0.3-0.5 micron</th>
<th>0.5 - 1 micron</th>
<th>1-5 micron</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Of infectious virus removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Viral Copies (30&quot;)</td>
<td>26,600</td>
<td>9,880</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Viral Copies Infectious (40%)</td>
<td>10,640</td>
<td>3,952</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>15,200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Infectious Viral Copies Kept out by Surgical Mask</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Of Infectious Viral Copies Stopped From Via Surgical Masks</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Infectiousness (# of particles w/infectious RNA * Hours aloft)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Of Infectious Virus</th>
<th>0-3-0.5 microns</th>
<th>0.5-1 micron</th>
<th>1-5 microns</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% Effectiveness, Infectiousness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to Settle</td>
<td>66 hours</td>
<td>18 hours</td>
<td>45&quot;</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectiousness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14,227</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>14,455</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing Infectiousness by containing infectious virus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.012%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In a given 30” period, 15,000 copies of infectious viral RNA can be generated via breathing. The infectious dose is 10-300—Less than 2% of the virus generated. Masks filter ~7% of those, the least infectious, that settle fastest. They stop transmission of <1%**
Despite a raft of studies of low evidence-quality, including mechanistic, ecological and modeling studies suggesting masks might work to reduce transmission or provide protection from respiratory viruses, higher quality evidence and the past years’ worth of empirical data continue to argue the opposite.

The reason for this disconnect is almost certainly due to these mechanistic and modeling studies to focus their efforts on the aerosols that are easiest to measure—those over 5 microns (but which make up less than 0.1% of all respiratory aerosols)—but ignore those aerosols making up the other 99.99%.

As OSHA considers a standard to require employers to provide protection from respiratory viruses, it must rectify these errors.

There is significant evidence suggesting that the majority of virus is also carried in the smallest aerosols—those less than 0.3 microns. Given that these are by far the most numerous, this would follow logically. But the challenge of measurement has made this challenging to confirm.

If OSHA is to provide a meaningful standard of protection, these knowledge gaps must be filled. Otherwise, vulnerable workers may be made to feel safe by PPE which provides no meaningful protection. Depending on the pathogen, this would put these workers at significant risk—risk which would have been sanctioned by OSHA.
A False Sense of Security

Respiratory Aerosols Carrying the Majority of Infectious Respiratory Viruses
Are the same size & Distribution as Cigarette Smoke

Video available here: https://youtu.be/gK4OiqHD6qQ

At-risk people have been given a dangerous false sense of security by wearing, and seeing others wear these kinds of masks. If they can smell cigarette smoke, they are not protected from COVID or other respiratory viruses.
Current standards developed for wear for minutes, not hours at a time

• Potential for bacterial infection, see next slides, for examples of science that isn’t being done, and can’t get published.

• Impact on cognition, well-being, airflow for long-term wear.

• For children, impact on social and emotional growth.

• Fear cues, etc., etc.
10 masks, worn for an average of 7.9 hours/day

100% contaminated
104 unique strains
24 pathogenic strains
50% masks contaminated w/pathogens
20% w/antibiotic-resistant strains

- Surgical (Age 10)
- Surgical (Age 9)
- Surgical (Age 8)
- Surgical (Age 7)
- Poly, Gaitor (Age 11)
- Poly, Gaitor (Age 9)
- Poly (Age 6)
- Cotton (Adult)
- Cotton (Age 11)

Pathogens

Unire Strains of Biological Contaminants Found on Mask (bacteria, fungi, parasites, slime molds & viruses) [Private investigation]
10 MASKS, WORN FOR AN AVERAGE OF 7.9 HOURS/DAY

100% CONTAMINATED
104 UNIQUE STRAINS
24 PATHOGENIC STRAINS
50% MASKS CONTAMINATED W/PATHOGENS
20% W/ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTENT STRAINS

- Surgical (Age 10)
- Surgical (Age 9)
- Surgical (Age 8) 8
- Surgical (Age 7)
- Surgical (Age 17) 2
- Poly, Gaitor (Age 11)
- Poly, Gaitor (Age 9)
- Poly (Age 6) 1
- Cotton (Adult) 10
- Cotton (Age 11) 5

Including: Acinetobacter baumannii (causes meningitis, pneumonia, bloodstream infections, antibiotic-resistant, and very difficult to treat); mycobacterium tuberculosis (causes tuberculosis); neisseria meningitidis Serogroup A & C (causes meningitis, and life-threatening sepsis); streptococcus pneumoniae (Major cause of pneumonia in humans); streptococcus pyogenes (causes strep throat); Ricketts rickettsii (Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever);

- Pathogens

- H. Pylori (Causes ulcers)
- Acanthamoeba polyphaga (parasite, causes amoebic encephalitis, skin lesions), Candida albicans (fungus, causes yeast infections)
- Acinetobacter baumanni (antibiotic resistant bacteria causing meningitis, pneumonia, and blood infections); corynebacteriam kroppenstedtii (antibiotic resistant pathogen); streptococcus pyogenes (causes strep throat); streptococcus pneumoniae (Major source of human pneumonia); mycobacterium tuberculosis (causes tuberculosis); neisseria meningitidis serogroups A & C (extremely pathogenic, causes meningitis and life-threatening sepsis); staphylococcus aureus (causes meningitis, pneumonia and sepsis); Rickettsia rickettsii (causes Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever);

104 UNIQUE STRAINS
24 PATHOGENIC STRAINS
50% MASKS CONTAMINATED W/PATHOGENS
20% W/ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTENT STRAINS

Including: E. Coli, Lyme Disease (Borrelia Burgdorferi), & Francisella tularensis (causes fever, skin ulcers, sore throat, pneumonia)
Masking is not tied to decreased deaths, but is tied to low-levels of access to in-person education, and high unemployment.
High levels of mask-induced fear may contribute to hesitancy to teach in-person, have children attend school, or disinclination to patronize businesses.

Sources:
- **Masking**: The Delphi Group at CMU, [https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/](https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/) Daily survey of 225K people, "What portion of people around you are wearing masks?"
- **Fear of Getting COVID**: The Delphi Group at CMU, [https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/](https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/) Daily survey of 225K people, "Are you afraid of becoming ill with COVID?"
- **Time Spent with Others**: The Delphi Group at CMU, [https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/](https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/) Daily survey of 225K people, "Have you spent time indoors with someone outside of your household in the past week?"
- **Have you felt isolated**: The Delphi Group at CMU, [https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/](https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/) Daily survey of 225K people, "Do you feel isolated?"
None of these behaviors—masking or isolating from others is tied to reduced long- or short-term cases or deaths

Sources:
Masking: The Delphi Group at CMU, https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/ Daily survey of 225K people. *Data represents daily average for each state between 11/19/20 and 5/20/21 “What portion of people around you are wearing masks?”

Time Spent with Others: The Delphi Group at CMU, https://delphi.cmu.edu/covidcast/export/ Daily survey of 225K people. *Data represents daily average for each state between Mar 21 and May 21 “Have you spent time indoors w/someone outside of your household in the past week?”

Cases and Deaths by day (7-day average) www.usafacts.org Masking or “time indoors responses were taken”, for a given date of each month (the 29th of each month), the 7-day average for daily cases and deaths/million was then taken for 17 days after for cases, and 18 days after for deaths. These days were taken, because COVIDCast noted showed a statistically significant association. Analysis was done for all months with available data for each question.
Turning it Over to Megan Mansell
DOUBLE MASKS
Which ones are better?
PART 1

Covid rides on this tiny water vapor

NIST airflow visualization 250 fps

NO FACE COVERING talking and coughing