

EPA's Proposal to Remove *De Minimis* Exemption for PFAS Listed on the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)

Presentation to OMB September 16, 2022

Agenda

- Introduction to Chemours
- Elimination of the *De Minimis* Exemption Discussion
- Alternatives



Considerations with Elimination of the *De Minimis* **Exemption**

- Removing the de minimis exemption is unlikely to achieve additional useful data on PFAS substances
 - 1. The list of PFAS substances were not added via public comment rulemaking as outlined in Section 313 of EPCRA
 - 2. The list of TRI PFAS is a small subset and includes legacy substances that are no longer in commerce
 - 3. Limited analytical methods to test for PFAS substances
 - 4. This would create indirect costs, burdens and reporting uncertainties by inferring companies test to trace levels of impurities
- Other reporting mechanisms are available to EPA



Eliminating the *de minimis* exemption from all PFAS substances may result in the costly burden of monitoring and analysis for trace levels of PFAS with uncertain results

- Limited analytical methods for PFAS
 - Only three EPA approved analytical test methods currently available (two drinking water and one non-drinking water)
 - Can only analyze between 18 and 25 PFAS compounds
 - Only 9 of the 180 PFAS TRI chemicals can be analyzed by these methods
- EPA does not require facilities to perform analysis to determine thresholds or releases.
 - It is difficult to estimate levels that are expected to be in part per billion (ppb) or parts per trillion (ppt) concentrations of impurities.
 - Estimates may exaggerate (or overestimate) the releases, or the thresholds determined, and generate erroneous reporting.
 - Inaccurate estimates would not be beneficial to the Agency or to the public.
- Creates an incumbrance for companies to analyze TRI substances at the impurity level.



Alternatives

- Use other mechanisms for understanding PFAS on the market such as TSCA Section 8(a)7 proposed PFAS Reporting rule and CDR
- Removal of the de minimis exemption for any PFAS compounds follows existing rulemaking precedent (1999 rule making).
 - Maintain the reportable threshold at the 100 lb limit, which is consistent with 43% of the current PBT chemical list.
 - If any PFAS chemical thresholds are reduced, Chemours recommends that the rationale be explained based on scientific criteria.
 - Any addition to the PBT list, including PFAS compound, should be subject to rational scientific criteria for each individual compound.





Questions?

