## STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL



P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, Michigan 48909

BILL SCHUETTE ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 19, 2014

The Honorable Thomas Edward Perez Secretary of Labor U.S. Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room S-2018 Washington, DC 20210

Via Fax: (202) 693-6111

Re: Comments from the Chief Legal Officers of the States of Alabama, Arizona, Alaska, Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, Michigan, Montana, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming Regarding the Proposed Rule on the Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act ("LMRDA"); Narrowing of the Statutorily-Required "Advice" Exemption.

Dear Secretary Perez:

As the chief legal officers of our states, we are writing to express concerns about the proposed final rule referenced above and currently under consideration. As proposed, this new rule would undermine long standing protections of confidential attorney-client communications. We request that it be withdrawn as drafted.

For more than 50 years, the LMRDA has preserved the confidentiality of attorney-client communications by exempting attorney advice relating to labor relations issues from disclosure generally, and specifically, by exempting confidential attorney-client interactions. This new rule, however, would dramatically change well-established precedent by requiring the reporting of advice related to persuasion of employees, regardless of whether the lawyers who provide the advice communicate with anyone other than their employer-clients.

The proposed rule will require unprecedented disclosures that are clearly excessive and contrary to congressional intent. Further, the rule is inherently vague and fails to properly inform lawyers of when they have engaged in reportable communications with their clients.

Finally, and most significantly, this proposed rule will have a chilling effect on the attorney-client privilege and employers' fundamental right to counsel. Because the rule is overly broad, it may well discourage employers from seeking legal representation, a consequence

contrary to the overall intent of the Act. For this reason, the Bar Associations of many of our states, as well as the American Bar Association, also have expressed their concern with the dramatic, negative impact the rule would have on the practice of law and the right to associate with counsel.

On behalf of the undersigned states, we therefore urge your office to withdraw the proposed rule as drafted and reaffirm the longstanding interpretation of the advice exemption to the reporting requirements of the LMRDA.

Sincerely,

Bill Johnette

Bill Schuette Michigan Attorney General

alan Wilin

Alan Wilson South Carolina Attorney General

T

Tim Fox Montana Attorney General

Deg anwart

Greg Abbott Texas Attorney General

Ion Llong

Tom Horne Arizona Attorney General

Luther Stran

Luther Strange Alabama Attorney General

and

Lawrence Wasden Idaho Attorney General

Sean Reyes Utah Attorney General

Scott Pruitt Oklahoma Attorney General

Wape Stenelijum

Wayne Stenehjem North Dakota Attorney General

Mumpung

Michael Geraghty Alaska Attorney General

Peter Kinfinhand

Peter Michael Wyoming Attorney General

Sal S. Olma

Samuel Olens Georgia Attorney General

ent Schmidt

Derek Schmidt Kansas Attorney General