

January 19, 2016

Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 7th Street SW, Room 10276 Washington, DC 20410-0500

Re: Instituting Smoke-Free Public Housing; Docket No. FR 5597-P-02 RIN 2577-AC97

Dear Secretary Castro:

The Childhood Asthma Leadership Coalition (CALC) convened in June of 2012 with a primary purpose of engaging diverse stakeholders to advance policy proposals that will improve childhood asthma management and symptom prevention. Relying on a strong foundation of evidence-based policy analysis to inform its work, one of CALC's policy goals is to reduce asthma triggers in homes and communities. As exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) is one of the most significant triggers of asthma attacks among children – especially for low income and minority children – we are particularly supportive of policies that promote reduced exposure to secondhand smoke.

We commend the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for its proposal to require all public housing agencies (PHAs) to implement a smoke-free housing policy. HUD's leadership in recent years has contributed to hundreds of PHAs going smoke-free, and this new proposed policy will go a long way towards protecting low income children from the dangers of tobacco smoke in their own homes. However, we urge HUD to expand its proposed smokefree policy to protect children where they play outdoors on public housing property, and to expand its definition of tobacco products to include ecigarettes and waterpipe tobacco. In addition, we strongly believe that the only way to fully protect children living in federally-assisted multifamily housing is to adopt a nationwide smoke-free policy covering all multifamily housing under HUD's control, including units in mixed-finance buildings.

While we recognize the wide range of adverse health effects related to secondhand smoke exposure in multiunit housing – including lung cancer, heart disease, respiratory infections, sudden infant death syndrome, and public safety concerns from residential fires – we focus our comments on the detrimental impact secondhand smoke exposure has on children with asthma.

Secondhand tobacco smoke is a significant public health hazard, greatly contributing to the burden of childhood asthma. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, in 2013, 9.3% of children were affected by asthma, making asthma the single most common chronic condition among children in the United States. Asthma is disproportionately prevalent among residents of federally-assisted public housing. Low-income and minority children – the populations who are most likely to live in public housing² – bear the greatest burden of the disease. One in three children with asthma lives in poverty, and the rate of asthma is significantly higher among African-American and Puerto Rican children. The poorest children, whose family's income is below 100% of the federal poverty line (FPL),



have an asthma prevalence of 11.2%, compared to just 7.3% asthma prevalence among children above 200% FPL.

Unfortunately, childhood asthma cannot be cured and symptoms may continue into adulthood. While symptoms usually can be controlled with guideline-based management, reducing exposure to environmental pollutants that trigger or exacerbate childhood asthma symptoms is one of the best ways to combat this burdensome and pervasive chronic condition. According to the Surgeon General, secondhand smoke (SHS) is a major asthma trigger and one of the most significant contributors toward asthma prevalence and morbidity: ⁵

- Children who are exposed to SHS are at a greater risk for developing asthma, and if they already
 have asthma, they are more likely to experience increases in the severity of their symptoms,
 with subsequent increases in asthma-related medical care.^{6,7,8,9}
- A 2015 systematic review of 1,945 studies found that <u>children with asthma and SHS exposure</u> were twice as likely to be <u>hospitalized for asthma</u> than children with asthma but without SHS exposure.¹⁰ SHS exposure also was significantly associated with emergency department or urgent care visits, increased asthma symptoms, and poorer asthma control.¹¹
- The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has estimated that over <u>one million</u> episodes of increased asthma symptoms in children are associated with environmental tobacco smoke exposure each year. 12,13,14

The reason SHS causes such significant asthma morbidity in children is that a child's developing body and lungs are especially vulnerable to the health effects of environmental pollutants. ¹⁵ Children with asthma are more likely to be affected by cigarette exposure compared with adults, ^{16,17} and, according to the Surgeon General, there is no safe level of SHS exposure – even brief exposure can cause immediate harm to children. ¹⁸ Protecting children from the harmful effects of tobacco smoke exposure, therefore, should be a major priority of federal policy.

We applaud HUD for taking a fundamental step toward eliminating involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke in PHAs. Addressing smoking in multifamily housing is a significant opportunity to protect children from asthma. The home is the main source of SHS exposure for children, as approximately 25 percent of children live with a smoker. Household smoking is associated with a significantly increased risk of pediatric asthma: children who live in smoking households show a rate of asthma 44% higher than children in nonsmoking households. 20,21

Even where children live in a nonsmoking household, they are not safe from tobacco smoke exposure given the ease at which SHS can infiltrate other units in multifamily housing, slipping through air ducts, ventilation systems, elevator shafts, electrical lines, and cracks in walls and floors. Multiunit housing is a significant source of SHS exposure for children, and puts children at an increased risk of developing asthma and exacerbating asthma symptoms.

Children living in publically-funded housing bear a unique burden of SHS exposure: public housing residents smoke at a rate almost twice as high as residents of non-public housing, meaning that children in public housing are more likely to live with a smoker or experience exposure to SHS from other units. 24,25,26,27 Studies show that the majority of nonsmoking units in public housing buildings where



smoking is allowed have detectable air nicotine levels. ^{28,29,30,31} This research documents the harsh realities of the daily exposure to tobacco smoke that residents of multiunit buildings face.

HUD's proposal to require PHAs to implement a smoke-free policy is an important step toward protecting vulnerable children from the harmful effects of SHS exposure. Where public housing smoking bans are in place, studies show an increase in smoking cessation and substantial reductions in air nicotine levels, leading to reduced SHS exposure. These improvements in indoor air quality are only achieved by total, building-wide bans — partial smoke-free policies (e.g. banning smoking in hallways or common areas, or allowing "grandfathering" of current smokers in their units) are not sufficient to protect residents from the harms of SHS exposure. The suppose the suppose of the su

We applaud HUD for initiating rulemaking to require building-wide smoking bans for all PHAs, and we strongly encourage HUD to finalize this rule.

We applaud HUD's proposal to set a smoke-free perimeter around PHA buildings (§ 965.653(a)), but we encourage HUD to study whether it is appropriate to make the entire grounds owned by the PHA smoke free (§ 965.653(b))

The proposed rule restricts smoking in outdoor areas within 25 feet from public housing and administrative office buildings, but leaves it to the discretion of each PHA as to whether they will designate additional outdoor areas as smoke-free or whether they will make their entire grounds smoke-free. While we applaud HUD's efforts make in and near PHA buildings smoke-free, we urge HUD to study whether it is appropriate to extend smoke-free policies to <u>all</u> grounds owned by the public housing agency.

We note that studies have shown that concentrations of secondhand smoke in many outdoor areas are often as high as in indoor areas and that the risks posed by such exposure can be significant.³⁶ Drifting tobacco smoke – even outdoors – can trigger an asthmatic attack. There is no "risk-free" level of SHS exposure,³⁷ and a 25 foot perimeter around buildings may be inadequate to protect children who play on playgrounds or use other recreation areas outside of the public housing building itself. We urge HUD to study this issue to determine whether setting a smoke-free campus policy is appropriate.

We strongly urge HUD to include waterpipe to bacco and e-cigarettes under the definition of to bacco products -- \S 965.653(c)

HUD specifically asks whether the proposed smokefree policy in PHAs should be extended to electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) and waterpipe tobacco smoking. The Childhood Asthma Leadership Coalition strongly urges HUD to extend this regulation to cover these harmful products, given their hazardous chemical composition, rising use in the US, common misconceptions about their safety, and their link to childhood asthma.

• **E-cigarette** use is increasing in the U.S. Although marketed as a safer alternative to smoking tobacco that only produces harmless water vapor, e-cigarettes are not emission-free.³⁸ Recent measurements of the chemical emissions from e-cigarettes indicate the following chemicals are inhaled by users and exhaled into the environment where second hand exposures occur: glycols, formaldehyde, nitrosamines, nicotine, and flavorants.³⁹



While e-cigarettes may produce smaller exposures relative to tobacco cigarettes, ⁴⁰ data shows that the vapor released or exhaled from e-cigarettes is a source of secondhand exposure to nicotine. ^{41,42,43,44} Persons exposed to e-cigarette vapor secondhand can also be exposed to substantial amounts of harmful chemicals, including fine and ultrafine particles that can be deposited in the deeper parts of the lung and may harm the respiratory system and exacerbate or increase the risk of acquiring asthma. ⁴⁵

• Waterpipe tobacco smoking is more and more common among populations of all ages, and is falsely thought to be harmless. 46,47 The chemical composition of waterpipe tobacco smoke contains toxicants in quantities similar or even higher than cigarette smoke. 48,49,50,51 Not surprisingly, second hand smoke from waterpipes is very harmful. 52,53 Multiple studies have documented the presence of significant quantities of carbon monoxide, aldehydes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, ultrafine particles and respirable particulate matter in second hand waterpipe smoke. 45,55,56,57,58 Waterpipe smoke may be even more dangerous than cigarette smoke, as waterpipe smoking results in higher emissions of these toxic chemicals than do cigarettes. Even more concerning is that a waterpipe smoking session typically occurs over a longer period of time than occurs when smoking a regular cigarette, exposing the smoker and passive bystanders to more smoke over an extended timeframe.

The toxic substances found in waterpipe smoke have been linked to cancer, heart and lung diseases, and exposure to waterpipe smoke is associated with childhood asthma. 61,62

While waterpipe and e-cigarette use have not been studied as intensively as cigarette smoking, research on patterns of smoking, chemical composition of emissions, and the associated health effects support the idea that exposure to waterpipe and e-cigarette smoke is harmful. Given the danger that e-cigarettes and waterpipe smoke pose to health, the World Health Organization has urged countries to introduce tougher regulations on the use of these tobacco products, including banning the use of these devices indoors. ^{63,64}

E-cigarettes and waterpipe smoke emit dangerous chemicals into the air and need to be regulated in the same manner as tobacco smoking to protect vulnerable children and others exposed to secondhand smoke. We strongly urge HUD to include waterpipe tobacco and e-cigarettes under definition of tobacco products under § 965.653(c).

We urge HUD to extend these policies to all mixed-financing units.

HUD's current proposed smokefree policy is not applicable to dwelling units in mixed-finance buildings. We ask that HUD reconsider this proposed policy. HUD should extend the smokefree housing policy to all mixed-financing units, giving equal protection to the children living there.

As more and more adults are protected from secondhand smoke due to federal, state and local smokefree policies in workplaces and other public places, children are not afforded these same regulatory protections in their homes. While the prevalence of voluntary home no-smoking policies has increased over time, low-income children are much less likely to be protected by a home smoking



ban. ^{66,67} Children spend a greater portion of their time at home than do adults, ⁶⁸ and should be afforded the same protections – the same access to clean indoor air – as adults have in the places they spend their day.

Allowing smoking of any kind to continue in publically-financing housing is a misconceived policy that protects smokers' actions over protecting children's health. Children are the most vulnerable of all public housing residents and the least able to take actions to protect themselves from harmful indoor air toxins. A smoke-free policy for <u>all</u> public housing units — even those part of a mixed-financed project — is the only way to ensure that all children who depend on public housing are protected from the dangers of secondhand smoke.

Regulatory Impact Assessment. Our organizations request that HUD amend its regulatory impact assessment (RIA) to correctly reflect the decrease in lost productivity that will result from fewer asthma exacerbations in individuals who live in public housing. Asthma is one of the nation's leading causes of school absenteeism and when children miss school, their parents miss work. ^{69,70} As a result, asthma has a tremendous economic toll -- \$3.8 billion annually – caused by lost productivity resulting from missed school and work days. ⁷¹ The RIA seems to overlook this important indirect cost associated with the burden of asthma.

Thank you for your attention to this critical public health issue. We look forward to working with HUD to promote healthy living environments for all children, free of exposure to secondhand smoke. If you have any questions or would like to contact the Childhood Asthma Leadership Coalition, please contact Mary-Beth Malcarney at mbharty@gwu.edu.

Sincerely,

Association for Asthma Educators
Association of Clinicians for the Underserved
Asthma and Allergy Foundation of America
Asthma Regional Council of New England
Children's National Medical Center
First Focus
Green & Healthy Homes Initiative
Healthy Schools Network
National Association of School Nurses
Nemours Children's Health System
School-Based Health Alliance
Trust for America's Health

¹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma surveillance data. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/asthmadata.htm. Published 2014. Accessed December 27, 2015.

² U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Resident Characteristic Report available at: http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/public_indian_housing/systems/pic/50058/rcr.

³ Homa DM. Disparities in possessing systems of program and smalls in the United States 4000 2003. More

³ Homa DM. Disparities in nonsmokers exposure to secondhand smoke in the United States, 1999-2012. *Mortality and Morbidity Weekly Report* February 3, 2015.

- ⁴ Akinbami, L.J., Mooreman, J.E., Bailey, C., Zahran, H., King, M., Johnson, C., & Liu, X. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. (2012). Trends in asthma prevalence, health care use, and mortality in the United States, 2001-2010. Retrieved from http://www.cdc. gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db94.pdf
- ⁵Office of the Surgeon General. The health consequences of involuntary tobacco smoke: a report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, 2006.
- 6 Institute of Medicine Committee on the Assessment of Asthma and Indoor Air (2000) Clearing the Air: Asthma and Indoor Air Exposures. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- US Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Office of Smoking and Health (2004) The Health Consequences of Smoking: A Report from the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA.
- ⁸ Tinuoye O, Pell JP, Mackay DF. Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Secondhand Smoke Exposure and Physician-Diagnosed Childhood Asthma. 2013 Sept;15(9):1475-1483.
- ⁹ Wang Z, May S, Charoenlap S, Pyle R, Ott NL, Mohammed K, Joshi AY. Effects of secondhand smoke exposure on asthma morbidity and health care utilization in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. 2015 Nov;115(5):396-401.e2.
- Wang Z, et al. Effects of secondhand smoke exposure on asthma morbidity and health care utilization in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2015 Nov;115(5):396-401.
- ¹¹ Wang Z, et al. Effects of secondhand smoke exposure on asthma morbidity and health care utilization in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2015 Nov;115(5):396-401.
- Gren L.H., Taylor B., and Lyon J.L.: Childhood asthma utilization rates in a nonsmoking population of Utah compared to state and national rates. ISRN Pediatr 2011; 2011: pp. 750213
- ¹³ Chan-Yeung M., and Dimich-Ward H.: Respiratory health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. Respirology 2003; 8: pp. 131-139
- ¹⁴ Larsson M.L., Frisk M., Hallstrom J., Kiviloog J., and Lundback B.: Environmental tobacco smoke exposure during childhood is associated with increased prevalence of asthma in adults. Chest 2001; 120: pp. 711-717
- ¹⁵Office of the Surgeon General. The health consequences of involuntary tobacco smoke: a report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, 2006.
- ¹⁶ Gren L.H., Taylor B., and Lyon J.L.: Childhood asthma utilization rates in a nonsmoking population of Utah compared to state and national rates. ISRN *Pediatr* 2011; 2011: pp. 750213 ¹⁷ Larsson M.L., Frisk M., Hallstrom J., Kiviloog J., and Lundback B.: Environmental tobacco smoke exposure during childhood is
- associated with increased prevalence of asthma in adults. Chest 2001; 120: pp. 711-717
- ¹⁸ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. 2006. http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/secondhandsmoke/index.html. ¹⁹Waltraud, E. et al. The Asthma Epidemic. *NEJM* 2006;355(21): 2226-2235.
- ²⁰ Adams, M. The Burden of Asthma in New England: A report by the Asthma Regional Council. March 2006. http://asthmaregionalcouncil.org/uploads/Surveillance/TheBurdenofAsthmainNewEnglandMarch2006.pdf.
- ²¹ Goodwin, R.D.; Cowles, R.A. Household smoking and childhood asthma in the United States: a state-level analysis. Journal of Asthma 2008 Sep;45(7): 607-610.
- ²² Office of the Surgeon General. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, 2006.
- ²³ Kraev TA, Adamkieqicz G, Hammond SK, and Spengler JD. Indoor Concentrations of Nicotine in low-income, multi-unit housing: associations with smoking behaviors and housing characteristics. Tobacco Control, 18: 438-44, 2009.
- ²⁴ Digenis-Bury, EC, Brooks, DR, Chen, L, et al. Use of a Population-Based Survey to Describe the Health of Boston Public Housing Residents. Am J Public Health. 2008 January; 98(1): 85-91.
- ²⁵ Rivo ML. Health corners: reducing chronic disease risks among Black public housing residents in the Nation's Capital. Am J Public Health. 1992 Apr;82(4):611-2.
- ²⁶ Zhang X, Martinez-Donate AP, Kuo D, Jones NR, Palmersheim KA. Trends in home smoking bans in the USA, 1995-2007: Prevalence, discrepancies and disparities. Tobacco Control. 2012 May;21(3):330-336.
- ²⁷ Stein A, Suttie J, Baker L, Agans R, Xue W, Bowling JM. Predictors of smoke-free policies in affordable multiunit housing, North Carolina, 2013. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2015;12(5):E73.
- ²⁸ Kraev TA et al. Indoor concentrations of nicotine in low-income, multi-unit housing: associations with smoking behaviours and housing characteristics. Tob Control. 2009 Dec;18(6):438-44.
- King BA et al. Multiunit housing residents' experiences and attitudes toward smoke-free policies. Nicotine Tob Res. 2010 Jun;12(6):598-605.
- ³⁰ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. 2006. http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/secondhandsmoke/



- ³¹ King BA, Babb SD, Tynan MA, Gerzoff RB. National and state estimates of secondhand smoke infiltration among U.S. multiunit housing residents. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2013 Jul;15(7):1316-1321.
- ³² Levy DE, Adamkiewicz G, Rigotti NA, Fang SC, Winickoff JP. Changes in tobacco smoke exposure following the institution of a smoke-free policy in the Boston Housing Authority. *PLoS ONE*. 2015 Sep;10(9):e0137740.
- ³³ Pizacani BA, Maher JE, Rohde K, Drach L, Stark MJ. Implementation of a smoke-free policy in subsidized multiunit housing: Effects on smoking cessation and secondhand smoke exposure. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2012 Sep;14(9):1027-1034.

 ³⁴ Russo ET et al. Comparison of indoor air quality in smoke-permitted and smoke-free multiunit housing: Findings from the

Boston housing authority. Nicotine and Tobacco Research 2014. 10(1093)

- Wilson KM, Torok M, McMillen R. Tanski S, Klein JD, Winickoff JP. Tobacco smoke incursions in multiunit housing. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014 Aug;104(8):1445-1453.
- Potera C. Outdoor Smoking Areas: Does the Science Support a Ban? Environ Health Perspect. 2013 Jul; 121(7): a229.
- ³⁷ Bartholomew KS. Policy options to promote smokefree environments for children and adolescents. *Current Problems in Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care*. 2015 Jun;45(6):146-181
- ³⁸ Schober W, Szendrei K, Matzen W, Osiander-Fuchs H, Heitmann D, Schettgen T, Jorres RA, Fromme H. Use of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) impairs indoor air quality and increases FeNO levels of e-cigarette consumers. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*. 2014 Jul;217(6):628-637.
- ³⁹ Offermann FJ. Chemical emissions from e-cigarettes: Direct and indirect (passive) exposures. *Building and Environment*. 2015 Nov;93(P1):101-105.
- ⁴⁰ Goniewicz ML, Knysak J, Gawron M, Kosmider L, Sobczak A, Kurek J, Prokopowicz A, Japlonska-Czapla M, Rosik-Dulewska C, Havel C, Jacob III P, Benowitz N. Levels of selected carcinogens and toxicants in vapour from electronic cigarettes. *Tobacco Control*. 2014 Mar; T
- ⁴¹ Ballbe M, Martinez-Sanchez JM, Sureda X, Fu M, Perez-Ortuno R, PAscual JA< Salto E, Fernandez E. Cigarettes vs. e-cigarettes: Passive exposure at home measured by means of airborne makers and biomarkers. *Environmental Research*. 2014 Nov;135:76-80.
- ⁴² Czogala J, Goniewicz ML, Fidelus B, Zielinska-Danch W, Travers MJ, Sobczak A. Secondhand exposure to vapors from electronic cigarettes. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2014 Jun;16(6):655-662.
- ⁴³ Kolar SK, Rogers BG, Hooper MW. Support for indoor bans on electronic cigarettes among current and former smokers. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2014 Nov;11(12):12174-12189
- ⁴⁴ Schripp T, Markewitz D, Uhde E, Salthammer T. Does e-cigarette consumption cause passive vaping? *Indoor Air*. 2013 Feb;23(1):25-31
- Fromme H, Schober W. Waterpipes and e-cigarettes: Impact of alternative smoking techniques on indoor air quality and health. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2015 Apr;106:429-441.
- ⁴⁶ World Health Organization Study Group on Tobacco Product Regulation (Tobreg). Waterpipe tobacco smoking: Health effects, research needs and recommended actions by regulators. Geneva: WHO; 2005 [cited 2014 Mar 4]. Available from: http://avww.who.int/tobacco/global_inter action/ tobreg/Waterpipe%20recommendation_Final.pdf.
- ⁴⁷ Martinasek MP, Gibson-Young L, and Forrest J. Hookah smoking and harm perception among asthmatic adolescents: findings from the Florida youth tobacco survey. *J Sch Health*. 2014 May;84(5):334-41.
- ⁴⁸ Waked M and Salameh P. Maternal waterpipe smoke exposure and the risk of asthma and allergic diseases in childhood: A post hoc analysis. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2015;28(1):147-56.
- ⁴⁹ Sepetdjian E, Shihadeh A, Saliba NA. Measurement of 16 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in narghile waterpipe tobacco smoke. Food Chem Toxicol. 2008;46(5):1582-90.
- ⁵⁰ Schubert J, Hahn J, Dettbarn G, Seidl A, Luch A, Schulz TG. Mainstream smoke of the waterpipe: Does this environmental matrix reveal as significant source of toxic compounds? Toxicol Lett. 2011;205:279-84.
- ⁵¹ Shafagoj YA, Mohammed FI, Hadidi KA. Hubble-bubble (water pipe) smoking: Levels of nicotine and cotinine in plasma, saliva and urine. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2002;40:249-55
- Fromme H, Schober W. Waterpipes and e-cigarettes: Impact of alternative smoking techniques on indoor air quality and health. *Atmospheric Environment*. 2015 Apr;106:429-441.
- ⁵³ Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking: Health Effects, Research Needs and Recommended Actions by Regulators. WHO Study Group on Tobacco Product Regulation. 2005. Available at:
- http://www.who.int/tobacco/global_interaction/tobreg/Waterpipe%20recommendation_Final.pdf. Accessed December 29, 2015.
- Maziak W, Ibrahim I, Rastam S, Ward KD, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe-associated particulate matter emissions. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2008;10:519–23.
- Hammal F, Chappell A, Wild TC, Kindzierski W, Shihadeh A, Vanderhoek A, et al. "Herbal" but potentially hazardous: an analysis of the constituents and smoke emissions of tobacco-free waterpipe products and the air quality in the cafés where they are served. *Tob Control* 2013; doi: 10.1136/tobacco-control-2013-051169.



⁵⁶ Cobb CO, Vansickel AR, Blank MD, Jentink K, Travers MJ, Eissenberg T. Indoor air quality in Virginia waterpipe cafes. *Tob* Control 2013;22:338-43.

Fromme H, Dietrich S, Heitmann D, Dressel H, Diemer J, Schulz T, et al. Indoor air contamination during a waterpipe (narghile)smoking session. Food Chem Toxicol 2009;47:1636-41.

Markowicz P, Löndahl J, Wierzbicka A, Suleiman R, Shihadeh A, Larsson L. A study on particles and some microbial markers in

waterpipe tobacco smoke. *Sci Total Environ* 2014;499:107–13.

Daher N, Saleh R, Jaroudi E, Sheheitli H, Badr T, Sepetdijan E, et al. Comparison of carcinogen, carbon monoxide, and ultrafine particle emissions from narghile waterpipe and cigarette smoking: sidestream smoke measurements and assessment of secondhand smoke emission factors. Atmos Environ 2010;44:8-14.

⁶⁰ Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking: Health Effects, Research Needs and Recommended Actions by Regulators. WHO Study Group on Tobacco Product Regulation. 2005. Available at:

http://www.who.int/tobacco/global_interaction/tobreg/Waterpipe%20recommendation_Final.pdf. Accessed December 29, 2015.

⁶¹ WHO Study Group on Tobacco Product Regulation (TobReg). Advisory note. Waterpipe tobacco smoking: health effects, research needs and recommended actions by regulators. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.

⁶² Waked M and Salameh P. Maternal waterpipe smoke exposure and the risk of asthma and allergic diseases in childhood: A

post hoc analysis. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2015;28(1):147-56.

Graph of the World Health Organization. Framework Convention on Tobacco Control, Sixth Session. October 18, 2014. Available at: http://apps.who.int/gb/fctc/PDF/cop6/FCTC_COP6(9)-en.pdf?ua=1. Accessed: December 28, 2015.

Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking & Health. World Health Organization. 2015. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/179523/1/WHO_NMH_PND_15.4_eng.pdf?ua=1&ua=1. Accessed" December 29,

⁶⁵Office of the Surgeon General. The health consequences of involuntary tobacco smoke: a report of the Surgeon General. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services, 2006.

⁶⁶ Zhang X, Martinez-Donate AP, Kuo D, Jones NR, Palmersheim KA. Trends in home smoking bans in the USA, 1995-2007: Prevalence, discrepancies and disparities. Tobacco Control. 2012 May;21(3):330-336.

⁶⁷ King BA, Dude SR, Homa DM. Smoke-free rules and secondhand smoke exposure in homes and vehicles among US adults, 2009-2010. Preventing Chronic Disease. 2013;10:E79.

68 Bartholomew KS. Policy options to promote smokefree environments for children and adolescents. *Current Problems in* Pediatric and Adolescent Health Care. 2015 Jun;45(6):146-181.

⁶⁹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: National Center for Health Statistics, National Hospital Discharge Survey, 1995-2010. Analysis by the American Lung Association Research and Health Education Division. Available at:

http://www.lung.org/lung-disease/asthma/resources/facts-and-figures/asthma-children-fact-sheet.html#4. Accessed: January

4, 2013.

70 Barnett SB, Nurmagambetov TA. Costs of Asthma in the United States: 2002-2007. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology,

71 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Asthma and Schools." http://www.cdc.gov/healthyschools/asthma/index.htm. Accessed January 7, 2016.