Excerpt of Report*

"Technical Comments on National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Coal- and Oil-fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units Review of Residual Risk and Technology"

Report Authors

J. Edward Cichanowicz Consultant Saratoga, CA

> James Marchetti Consultant Washington, DC

Michael C. Hein Hein Analytics, LLC Whitefish, MT

*Full report attached to NRECA's comments on the proposed rule, available at Docket ID: <u>EPA-HQ-OAR-2018-0794-5956</u>

1. Summary of Flaws in EPA's Approach

The following is a summary of flaws in EPA's analysis, further described in detail in this report.

Particulate Matter (PM) Database

EPA's database of PM emissions is inadequate. EPA attempts to capture typical PM emissions by acquiring samples from 3 years – 2017, 2019, and 2021. For the vast majority of the units – 80% - EPA uses only 2 of the potentially available 12 quarters (in those 3 years; up to 20 quarters from 2017 to 2021) of data to construct the PM database. Further, of these limited samples. EPA cites the lowest to reflect a target PM emissions rate. EPA cites the use of the "99th percentile" PM rate in lieu of the average compensates for variability; but this approach accounts for variability within a single ("the lowest") quarter. It fails to account for long-term variability, which is affected by changes in fuel and process conditions, among others.

Lack of Design and Compliance Margin

EPA recognizes the need for margin in both design and operation (for compliance) of environmental control equipment, but ignores this concept in developing this proposed rule. The need for design margin is recognized in a 2012 OAQPS memo¹ addressing the initial developments of this very same rule, while margin for operation is considered in evaluating CEMS calibration² for this proposed rule. Neither design nor operating margin is considered in setting target PM standards, resulting in underestimation of number of units affected and total costs to deploy control technology. For some owners of fabric filter-equipped units, the revised rate of 0.010 lbs/MBtu eliminates any operating margin.

Inadequate Cost for ESP Rebuild

Of three categories of ESP upgrades considered by EPA, the cost for the most extensive – a complete rebuild to add collecting plate area – is inadequate. Four such major ESP rebuild projects have been implemented for which costs are reported in the public domain – and not acknowledged by EPA. Incorporating these results elevates the range of cost from EPA's estimate of \$75-100/kW to \$57-213/kW. Consequently, the "average" cost for this action used in the cost per ton (\$/ton) evaluation increases from \$87/kW to \$133/kW.

¹ Hutson, N., National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Analysis of Control Technology Needs for Revised Proposed Emission Standards for New Source Coal-fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units, Memo to Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR—2009-0234, November 16, 2012. Hereafter Hutson 2012.

² Parker, B., PM CEMS Random Error Contribution by Emission Limit, Memo to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2018-0794, March 22, 2023. Hereafter Parker 2023.

Inadequate \$/ton Removal Cost

As a consequence of under-predicting capital required for ESP "rebuild," and not recognizing the need for a design and operating margin, EPA under-predicts the number of units requiring retrofit and incurred cost. As a result, in contrast to the annual cost of \$169.7 M projected by the Industry Study described in this report, EPA estimates a range from \$77.3 to \$93.2 M. Further, the Industry Study estimates the cost per ton (\$/ton) of fPM to be \$67,400, 50% more than the maximum cost estimated by EPA - \$44,900 /ton.

Faulty Lignite Hg Rate Revision

EPA's proposal to lower the Hg emission rate for lignite-fired units to 1.2 lbs/TBtu is based on improper interpretation of Hg emissions data – both in terms of the mean rate and variability. EPA's projection that 85 and 90% Hg removal would be required for the proposed rate is incorrect, with up to 95% Hg removal required for some units – a level of Hg reduction not feasible in commercial systems. In addition to the variability of Hg content in lignite, EPA ignores the deleterious role of flue gas SO₃ in lignite-fired units, which compromises sorbent performance and effectiveness – even though this latter barrier is recognized and cited by EPA's contractor for the IPM model.³

Faults in IPM Modeling

IPM creates a flawed Baseline scenario that does not adequately measure the impacts of the proposed rule. Most notably, IPM err in the number of coal units that would be retired in both 2028 and 2030; as a consequence, EPA underestimates the number of units subject to the proposed rule. Also, IPM unrealistically retrofitted 27 coal units with carbon capture and storage (CCS) in 2030. Consequently, IPM modeling results of the Baseline likely understate the compliance impacts of the proposed rule.

³ IPM Model – Updates to Cost and Performance for APC Technologies: Mercury Control Cost Development Methodology, Prepared by Sargent & Lundy, Project 12847-002, March 2013.