January 25, 2019

The Honorable Alex M. Azar II
Secretary
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Ave, SW
Room 600E
Washington, DC 20201

The Honorable Seema Verma
Administrator
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244

Re: Modernizing Part D and Medicare Advantage to Lower Drug Prices and Reduce Out-of Pocket Expenses, CMS 4180-P

Dear Secretary Azar and Administrator Verma,

We write to voice our strong support and appreciation for the administration's recent proposal regarding pharmacy direct and indirect remuneration (DIR) fees, also known as "pharmacy price concessions," in CMS' Modernizing Part D and Medicare Advantage to Lower Drug Prices and Reduce Out-of-Pocket Expenses, CMS 4180-P (the "proposed rule").¹ We are a group of multi-disciplined stakeholders who represent pharmacies and pharmacists. Together, we share the administration's goal to lower beneficiary out-of-pocket costs in the Medicare program, stabilize the operating environment for pharmacies, and standardize the way in which plan sponsors and PBMs report pharmacy price concessions. To meaningfully accomplish these goals, we write this letter to urge the administration to finalize through formal regulations the proposals regarding the application of pharmacy price concessions in the following ways.

First, we urge the administration to finalize the proposed changes to the "negotiated price" definition in 42 C.F.R § 423.100 that seeks to include all pharmacy price concessions at the point of sale, while excluding additional positive contingent amounts. This change would effectively eliminate retroactive pharmacy price concessions, which have a demonstrably negative impact on patients and pharmacies, and ensure all fees are charged at point of sale. As you noted in the proposed rule, post-point-of-sale pharmacy price concessions, net of all pharmacy incentive payments, grew an extraordinary 45,000 percent between 2010 and 2017.

¹ 83 Fed. Reg. 62152 (Nov. 30, 2018).

Requiring all pharmacy price concessions to be included at the point of sale would accomplish our shared goal to lower beneficiary out-of-pocket costs in the Medicare program. Beneficiaries win when pharmacy price concessions are included in the negotiated price and applied at the point of sale. When a beneficiary's cost-sharing is calculated based on the negotiated price at the point of sale, the beneficiary will benefit from that lower negotiated price. In fact, CMS estimates that beneficiaries would save \$7.1 to \$9.2 billion over ten years from the reduced cost-sharing, offset by slightly higher premiums.² The savings could be considerably higher for those beneficiaries who are prescribed some of the most expensive drugs.

In addition to positively impacting beneficiaries, the proposed change would improve the ability of pharmacies to participate in the Medicare program. For years, pharmacists and pharmacies have experienced the increasing consequences of DIR fees. The unpredictable, inconsistent, retroactive nature of these fees, usually assessed months after beneficiaries' prescriptions are filled, provide very little transparency into the pricing of prescription medications in the Part D program. Requiring all pharmacy price concessions to be applied at the point of sale would provide much-needed predictability, accountability, and transparency for all parties, including the Part D program and Medicare beneficiaries.

Second, in finalizing changes to the definition of negotiated price, we urge the administration to exclude those contingent amounts that are positive incentive payments made to pharmacies. Pharmacies should be incentivized to achieve certain standardized performance program metrics via payments by Part D plan sponsors and their PBMs. Additionally, as CMS noted in the proposed rule, if CMS were to include incentive payments in the negotiated price this would increase the basis used to determine beneficiary cost-sharing and would therefore create a perverse disincentive for beneficiaries to use higher performing pharmacies as they would have higher cost-sharing.

We also urge the administration to simultaneously formalize its proposal to develop or approve a standard set of metrics with achievable goals tailored to pharmacy type, drug dispensed, and disease state being managed, from which plans and pharmacies would base their contractual agreements. We support this standardization of metrics as part of a pharmacy quality incentive program in Part D.

Finally, in addition to addressing our comments to the proposed rule, we also urge the administration to implement safeguards that would provide for the reasonable reimbursement to pharmacies participating in Medicare Part D, even when all pharmacy price concessions are applied at point of sale.

² *Id.* at 62154. In the proposed rule, CMS states that this proposal would raise premiums by \$10.16 a month but reduce patient cost-sharing by \$26.69 a month.

Today's pharmacies often find that the reimbursement they receive is less than the pharmacy's costs (drug acquisition plus cost to dispense).³ Under-reimbursement can force pharmacies out of networks or even out of business, limiting beneficiary access to the pharmacy of their choice. Therefore, the negotiated price should be a product-specific reimbursement rate to network pharmacies that at a minimum covers the pharmacy's costs of purchasing and dispensing covered items and providing covered services. We urge the administration to require that contracts between Part D sponsors and CMS contain this protection to ensure patient access and choice in Medicare Part D plans.

In conclusion, we urge the administration to act swiftly in finalizing the proposed language to amend the definition of negotiated price, to ensure that all pharmacy price concessions are accounted for at the point of sale beginning in 2020. We also urge the administration to develop or approve a standard set of metrics with achievable goals from which plans and pharmacies base contractual agreements, which would serve as a first step toward a pharmacy quality incentive program in Part D. A move of this stature would demonstrate the administration's dedication to providing immediate savings for seniors at the pharmacy counter and needed support to pharmacies and the patients we serve.

Thank you,

Academy of Independent Pharmacy/Georgia Pharmacy Association (AIP)

AIDS Healthcare Foundation

Alabama Pharmacy Association

Alaska Pharmacists Association

Albertsons Companies

Alliance of Independent Pharmacists of Texas

American Associated Pharmacies

American Pharmacies

American Pharmacists Association

American Pharmacy Cooperative, Inc.

American Pharmacy Services Corporation

American Society of Consultant Pharmacists

Arete Pharmacy Network

Arizona Pharmacy Association

Arkansas Pharmacists Association

Brookshire Brothers, Inc.

Brookshire Grocery Company

Burlington Drug Company

California Pharmacists Association

CARE Pharmacies Cooperative Inc.

Cleveland Clinic

³ NCPA, Report for Survey of DIR Fees Imposed on Pharmacies, Dec. 2017, available at http://phrma-docs.phrma.org/files/dmfile/Report---Part-D-Beneficiary-Cost-Relief---FINAL.pdf.

Coborn's Inc.

College of Psychiatric and Neurologic Pharmacists

Colorado Pharmacists Association

Community Oncology Alliance (COA)

Compliant Pharmacy Alliance Cooperative

Connecticut Pharmacists Association

Costco

Currus Inc.

Dakota Drug, Inc.

Delaware Supermarkets

Discount Drug Mart

EPIC Pharmacies Inc.

Federation of Pharmacy Networks

Florida Pharmacy Association

Food Lion Pharmacy

Food Marketing Institute

Fruth Pharmacy

Garden State Pharmacy Owners

Gary & Leo's Health Mart

Georgia Pharmacy Association

Gerimed

Giant / Martin's Pharmacy

Good Neighbor Pharmacy

Hannaford Pharmacy

Harps Food Stores

Hartig Drug

Health Mart

HealthWise Pharmacy

Hematology/Oncology Pharmacy Association

Hercules Pharmaceuticals

Hi-School Pharmacy

Homeland Stores

Hometown Pharmacies of Wisconsin

Hy-Vee, Inc.

Idaho State Pharmacy Association

Illinois Pharmacists Association

Independent Pharmacy Alliance

Independent Pharmacy Buying Group, Inc.

Independent Pharmacy Cooperative

Indiana Pharmacists Alliance

International Academy of Compounding Pharmacists

Iowa Pharmacy Association

Kansas Pharmacists Association

Kentucky Pharmacists Association

Keystone Pharmacy Purchasing Alliance

Kinney Drugs

KTA Super Stores

KVAT Food Stores Inc.

LeaderNET

Lewis Drug

Louisiana Independent Pharmacies Association

Louisiana Pharmacists Association

Louisiana Wholesale Drug Company, Inc.

Mackenthun's Fine Foods

Maine Pharmacy Association

Maryland Pharmacists Association

Massachusetts General Hospital

Massachusetts Independent Pharmacists Association

Massachusetts Pharmacists Association

McKesson

Medicine Shoppe and Medicap

Michigan Pharmacists Association

Minnesota Pharmacists Association

Mississippi Independent Pharmacies Association

Missouri Pharmacy Association

Montana Pharmacy Association

Mutual Drug

National Alliance of State Pharmacy Associations

National Association of Chain Drug Stores

National Association of Specialty Pharmacy

National Community Pharmacists Association

National Grocers Association

ND Pharmacists Association

ND Pharmacy Service Corporation

Nebraska Pharmacists Association

New Hampshire Pharmacists Association

New Jersey Pharmacists Association

New Mexico Pharmacists Association

New Mexico Pharmacy Business Council

Niemann Foods INC

North Carolina Association of Pharmacists

Northeast Pharmacy Service Corporation

Ohio Pharmacists Association

Oklahoma Pharmacists Association

Oregon State Pharmacy Association

Osborn Drugs

PARD, an Association of Community Pharmacies

PBA Health

PCCA

Pennsylvania Pharmacists Association

Pharmacists Society of the State of New York

Pharmacy Alternatives

Pharmacy First

Pharmacy Franchisees and Owners Association

Pharmacy Providers of Oklahoma

Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin

PPSC

Puckett's Food Stores

QualityCare Pharmacies

Reasor's LLC

Restore Rx Inc.

Rite Aid

Rochester Drug Cooperative

Rodhe's Market, Inc.

Rosauers Supermarkets

RxPlus Pharmacies Inc.

Sav-Mor Drug Stores, Inc.

ShopRite

Smith Drug Company

South Carolina Pharmacists Association

South Dakota Pharmacists Association

Southern Pharmacy Cooperative

SUPERVALU

Tennessee Grocers & Convenience Store Association

Tennessee Pharmacists Association

Texas Pharmacy Association

Texas Pharmacy Business Council

Texas Retailers Association

The Bartell Drug Company

Thrifty White Pharmacies

University of Wisconsin Health

US Oncology Network

Utah Pharmacy Association

Value Drug Company

Value Specialty Pharmacy LLC

Vermont Pharmacists Association

Virginia Pharmacists Association

Walgreens

Washington DC Pharmacy Association

Washington State Pharmacy Association West Virginia Pharmacists Association West Virginia University Health Systems Western States Pharmacy Coalition Wyoming Pharmacy Association

Cc: Mick Mulvaney, Acting White House Chief of Staff and Director, the Office of Management and Budget
Russell Vought, Acting Director, the Office of Management and Budget